Country Questionnaire: Gambia

PBS-1. What is the fiscal year of the PBS evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source:</td>
<td><a href="https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget">https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>The Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework (MTEFF) 2020 - 2024 is the Pre Budget Statement of The Gambia upon which the Executive Budget Proposal is derived from.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: The MTEFF was prepared and used as a baseline instrument for the budget preparation. Also it was prepared and approved on time during 2020 for 2021 budget.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: |

PBS-2. When is the PBS made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for a PBS to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public one month before the Executive’s Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration. If the PBS is not released to the public at least one month before the Executive’s Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the PBS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
<th>a. At least four months in advance of the budget year, and at least one month before the Executive’s Budget Proposal is introduced in the legislature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source:</td>
<td>Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Comment: | The Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework (MTEFF) (2020-2024) was made available in July 2020 after it was adopted by Cabinet in June 2020. That is at least 4 months before the tabling of the Executive Budget Proposal for FY 2021. Accor...

According to the Director of Budget, the MTEFF is updated every year and tabled before Cabinet in June of the fiscal year. The upcoming budget policy document or the EBP is built on from the MTEFF that is approved by cabinet. The MTEFF 2020-2024 gives a macroeconomic projections for 4 years, revenue (tax and non-tax) and expenditure forecast etc. It also reviews the governments development blue print, which is the National Development Plan 2018-2021 and as well review the budget performance for the previous years (2018-2019)

The most recent MTEFF that is available on the Ministry of Finance’s website is MTEFF 2020-2024. (https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy look for the "MEDIUM TERM ECONOMIC FISCAL FRAMEWORK (2019-2024)").
PBS-3a. If the PBS is published, what is the date of publication of the PBS?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer: 18/2/2020

Source: Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Comment: The researcher gathered from the Director of Budget that the MTEFF was published between June-July of 2020. The researcher contacted the ICT Department at the Ministry but the actual publication date couldn't be established by the unit.

Using the Wayback Machine the publication date of the MTEFF is February 18th 2020.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

PBS-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the PBS.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer: Through Wayback Machine

Source: https://mofea.gm/downloads/publications

Comment: The actual publication date of MTEFF 2020-2024 was established through Wayback Machine.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
PBS-4. If the PBS is published, what is the URL or weblink of the PBS?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

Comment:
The PBS could be found under the Ministry of Finance's website as "MEDIUM TERM ECONOMIC FISCAL FRAMEWORK (2019-2024)"

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: The directorate in charge of the MTEFF preparation is the Directorate of Economic Policy and Research and they post the MTEFF in the following link: https://mofea.gm/directorates/economic-policy-and-research

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

PBS-5. If the PBS is published, are the numerical data contained in the PBS available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) or HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option "d" applies if the PBS is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:
c. No

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The numerical data in the PBS are not available in a machine readable format as they are published in a pdf format

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

PBS-6a. If the PBS is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the PBS is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question PBS-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question PBS-2)
Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in
soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option “c” applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option “d” applies if the document is not produced at all.
Option “e” applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer “c” or “d” applies.

---

**Answer:**
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

**Source:**
https://mofea.gm/downloads/publications

**Comment:**
The MEDIUM TERM ECONOMIC FISCAL FRAMEWORK (2020-2024) is publicly available on the Ministry’s website.

---

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:

---

**PBS-6b.** If you selected option “c” or “d” in question PBS-6a, please specify how you determined whether the PBS was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option “a,” “b,” or “e” was selected in question PBS-6a, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”*

---

**Answer:**

**Source:**

**Comment:**

---

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion:

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:

---

**PBS-7.** If the PBS is produced, please write the full title of the PBS.

*For example, a title for the Pre-Budget Statement could be “Proposed 2021 State Budget” or “Guidelines for the Preparation of Annual Plan and Budget for 2020/21.”*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”*

---

**Answer:**
MEDIUM TERM ECONOMIC FISCAL FRAMEWORK 2020–2024

**Source:**
“MEDIUM TERM ECONOMIC FISCAL FRAMEWORK 2020–2024”

https://mofea.gm/downloads/publications
PBS-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the PBS?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/.

Answer: b. No

Source: https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment: The PBS does not have a citizen’s version.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
EBP-1b. When is the EBP submitted to the legislature for consideration?

Please enter the date in the following format: “DD/MM/YYYY.” For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer: 12/11/2020

Source: https://gainako.com/mofea-finance-ministers-speech-on-tabling-of-2021-draft-budget/

Comment: The EBP was laid to the Parliament on November 12th 2020 by the Minister of Finance for legislative oversight and approval.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

EBP-2. When is the EBP made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EBP to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public while the legislature is still considering it and before the legislature approves (enacts) it. If the EBP is not released to the public before the legislature approves it, option “d” applies. Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the EBP.

The OBS definition of an Executive’s Budget Proposal is a document(s) that (i) the executive submits to the legislature as a formal part of the budget approval process and (ii) the legislature either approves or on which it approves proposed amendments.

The OBS will treat the Executive’s Budget Proposal as “Not Produced,” in the following cases:

- The executive does not submit the draft budget to the legislature; or
- The legislature receives the draft budget but does not approve it or does not approve recommendations on the draft budget; or
- The legislature rejects the draft budget submitted by the executive, but the executive implements it without legislative approval; or
- There is no legislature, or the legislature has been dissolved.

Answer: c. Less than two months in advance of the budget year, but at least in advance of the budget being approved by the legislature

Source: https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment: The EBP was made available to the public in November 2020, at least one month in advance of FY 2021.
EBP-3a. If the EBP is published, what is the date of publication of the EBP?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their date of publication.

Answer: 26/11/2020

Source: Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget

Comment: According to the Ministry of Finance in an interview with the OBS Researcher, the Executive Budget Proposal was made available a week after it was tabled before parliament. However, the actual date of the publication could not be established.

The actual publication date does not reflect on Ministry of Finance’s website but publication date has been established through Wayback Machine.

EBP-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EBP.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer: Actual publication date was determined through Wayback Machine

Source: Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment: Actual publication date was determined through Wayback Machine

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question
Comments: It is not possible to confirm the response from the consultant.
EBP-4. If the EBP is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EBP?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their URL or weblink.

Answer:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
FY 2021 EBP is made freely available on the Ministry of Finance's website

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

EBP-5. If the EBP is published, are the numerical data contained in the EBP or its supporting documents available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/.

Option “d” applies if the EBP is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:
c. No

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
FY 2021 EBP is available in pdf format, thus not available in machine readable format

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

EBP-6a. If the EBP is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EBP is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EBP-2 was “d”), a government may nonetheless produce the document.
Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EBP-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
https://thepoint.gm/africa/gambia/opinion/2021-budget-more-of-the-same
https://standard.gm/mai-fatty-tells-nams-to-reject-2021-budget-says-budget-tailor-made-for-political-reasons/

Comment:
The EBP for FY 2021 is readily available online and it was published on time while the legislature was discussing and debating on it. Thus, citizens have access on FY 2021 EBP well before parliament approves it thus giving citizens the opportunity to have a level of oversight on the executives fiscal year proposal.

There has been numerous reaction from citizens and politicians as they were avail an unprecedented opportunity to vet the executives budget. One prominent activist, Madi Jobarteh described the 2021 EBP "More of the same" while the party leader for GMC, Mai Ahmet Fatty urges Parliamentarians to reject the Executive Budget Proposal.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question
Comments: Comments made by the consultant are based on opinions from sources that I can not verify.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

EBP-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EBP-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EBP was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EBP-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
EBP-7. If the EBP is produced, please write the full title of the EBP.

For example, a title for the Executive’s Budget Proposal could be “Draft Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for BY 2020-21, produced by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development.”

If there are any supporting documents to the EBP, please enter their full titles in the comment box below.

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Answer:
2021 EXECUTIVE BUDGET PROPOSAL

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The full title for FY 2021 EBP is “Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure 2021 (Draft Copy)” and the soft copy available online is titled “2021 EXECUTIVE BUDGET PROPOSAL”

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

EBP-8. Is there a “citizens version” of the EBP?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a “citizens” version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/

Answer:
b. No

Source: N/A

Comment:
There is no citizen version of the EBP for FY 2021

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

EB-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: “FY YYYY” or “FY YYYY-YY.”
EB-1b. When was the EB approved (enacted) by the legislature?

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:
28/11/2020

Source:
https://foroyaa.net/national-assembly-approves-budget-of-over-d29-billion/

Interview with Hon. Alagie Mbowe, Elected Member of Parliament

Comment:
National Assembly in exercising its legislative mandate as codified in the 1997 constitution reviewed and later approve the budget for FY 2021 on November 28th 2020.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

EB-2. When is the EB made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey; now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EB to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public three months after the budget is approved by the legislature. If the EB is not released to the public at least three months after the budget is approved by the legislature, option “d” applies. Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the EB.

Answer:
b. Between two weeks and six weeks after the budget has been enacted

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance
Comment:
The actual publication of the Enacted Budget does not reflect on the Ministry of Finance’s website. However, the Director of budget highlighted that the Enacted Budget was made available in December 2020 immediately after the budget speech which took place in December 4th 2020.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

EB-3a. If the EB is published, what is the date of publication of the EB?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:
11/12/2020

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance
ICT Department, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
As the Enacted Budget was published in December 2020, however, the actual publication date is not on the website. The ICT Department could not as well provide the actual publication date.

The publication date of this document was determine through Wayback Machine

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question
Comments: I can not confirm the publication date, despite that it was published on the website of the MOFEA.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

EB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Answer:
Through Wayback Machine

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance
ICT Department, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
The actual publication date couldn’t be established
EB-4. If the EB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EB?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget#

**Source:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget#

**Comment:**
The Enacted Budget for FY 2021 is publicly accessible on the Ministry of Finance’s website

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Also the budget 2021 can be found in the following link: https://mofea.gm/downloads-file/approved-budget-2021

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:

---

EB-5. If the EB is published, are the numerical data contained in the EB available in a machine readable format?

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: [http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/](http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/)*

*Option “d” applies if the EB is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

**Answer:**
c. No

**Source:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget#

**Comment:**
The numerical data contained in the Enacted Budget is in a pdf format and thus not in a machine readable format.

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:
EB-6a. If the EB is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EB is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EB-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EB-2).
Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.
Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

- e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The Enacted Budget is publicly available

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

EB-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EB-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EB-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

EB-7. If the EB is produced, please write the full title of the EB.

For example, a title for the Enacted Budget could be "Appropriation Act n. 10 of 2018."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
EB-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EB?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/.

Answer:
b. No

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
The Citizens Version for the 2021 Budget is being drafted and it is expected to be published by end February 2021

CB-1. What is the fiscal year of the CB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for each CB please indicate the document the CB simplifies/refers to, and the fiscal year.

Answer:
FY 2020

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget#
The fiscal year for the Citizens Budget evaluated under this survey is FY 2020

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

IBP Comment
The Citizens Budget for FY 2020 is evaluated in OBS 2021 for methodological and cross-country consistency. In The Gambia, the CB corresponds to the Enacted Budget. The Enacted Budget for FY 2021 was approved on 28 November 2020. OBS methodology gives governments three months to publish the citizens version of the EB, which means that the publication deadline for the CB FY 2021 is after the OBS 2021’s research cut-off date. As such, we use the previous fiscal year - FY 2020.

CB-2a. For the fiscal year indicated in CB-1, what is the public availability status of the CB?

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document (Executive’s Budget Proposal or Enacted Budget) you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its public availability status.

Remember that publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

Option “a” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology
Option “b” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option “b” also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option “c” applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option “d” applies if the document is not produced at all.
Option “e” applies if the document is publicly available.

Answer:
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source: https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget#

Comment:
The Citizens Budget for FY 2020 is publicly available on the website of the Finance Ministry. Compared to the 2019 CB, the 2020 CB is more comprehensive and citizen friendly. For the first time, the Finance Ministry developed a more comprehensive one-page CB, though not accessible online, the detailed 2020 is publicly available and accessible on Finance Ministry’s website.

In addition to the one-page CB, the more detailed CB explains the governments plan for the citizens for FY 2020, it also defined key budget terms that are frequently used in the document and it as well detail the government’s estimated revenue, where it is expected to come from and its expenditure estimates for the citizens.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Also the Citizens Budget 2021 can be found in the following link: https://mofea.gm/downloads-file/citizens-budget-2021

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

CB-2b. If you selected option “c” or “d” in question CB-2a, please specify how you determined whether the CB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option “a,” “b,” or “e” was selected in question CB-2a, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”
CB-3a. If the CB is published, what is the date of publication of the CB?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its dates of publication.

Answer: 10/3/2020

Source: Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance
ICT Department, Ministry of Finance
Wayback Machine

Comment: The actual publication date for the established budget couldn't be established. The document does not appear with a publication date on the Ministry of Finance's website and the Ministry couldn't provide the actual publication date.
However, through Wayback Machine the publication was determined.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question
Comments: It is not possible to confirm the response from the consultant.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

CB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the CB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer: Wayback Machine

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance
ICT Department, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
The publication date couldn't be determined

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question
Comments: It is not possible to confirm the response from the consultant.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

CB-4. If the CB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the CB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its URL or weblink.

Answer:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget#
Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget#
Comment:
The CB for FY 2020 is publicly available and accessible on the website of the Finance Ministry in a pdf format.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: https://mofea.gm/downloads-file/citizens-budget-2021

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
IBP Comment
The Citizens Budget can be accessed through both links provided by researcher and peer reviewer.

CB-5. If the CB is produced, please write the full title of the CB.

For example, a title for the Citizens Budget could be "Budget 2020 People's Guide" or "2021 Proposed Budget in Brief: A People’s Budget Publication."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for the other CB, indicate the document the CB refers to and, next to it, its full title.

Answer:
"The Gambia 2020 Citizen's Budget" A Budget for Gambians
Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Comment:
The title for the FY 2020 citizen's budget corresponding the enacted budget is titled "The Gambia 2020 Citizen's Budget" A Budget for Gambians.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

CB-6: If the CB is produced, please indicate which budget document it corresponds to.

*If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and which budget document it simplifies.*

Answer:
FY 2020 Enacted Budget

Source:
The Gambia Citizens Budget 2020, A Budget for Gambians

Comment:
Page 1 of the Citizen's Budget under the "Message from the Minister of Finance and Economic Affairs" it is reported in the first paragraph that "The 2020 Citizen's budget is a simplified version of the 2020 ap-proved budget estimates aimed at communicating key Public Finance information and development objectives of the Government of The Gambia to its citizens." Thus, the 2020 Citizens Budget corresponds to that of FY 2020 Enacted Budget

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

IYRs-1. What is the fiscal year of the IYRs evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2019 & 2020

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The In-Year Reports evaluated under this survey is FY 2019 & 2020. The Gambia's fiscal calendar starts from January 1st to December 31st. As a result, the In-Year reports reviewed under this survey is FY 2019 & 2020 as FY 2021 just began and the most recent IYRs under the Ministry of Finance's website is FY 2020.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
**IYRs-2. When are the IYRs made available to the public?**

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the timeframe specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least seven of the last 12 monthly IYRs, or at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the IYRs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c. At least every quarter, and within three months of the period covered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**

JANUARY-MARCH 2020 EXPENDITURE BRIEF
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**

In the past year, the Ministry of Finance has published all the In-Year Reports covering January - December 2019 and 2020. The In-Year Reports are published covering the governments expenditure from January until the reporting period. For example, the "JANUARY-MARCH 2020 EXPENDITURE BRIEF" on page 3 reported that the Government of The Gambia’s total Government Local Fund (GLF) as of March 2020 was at D4.0 billion, which records 26% increase in expenditure compared to the same period in the previous fiscal year (i.e. 2019).

The January-March 2020 Expenditure Brief also reports that the total government expenditure (GLF) absorbed from the 2020 approved budget is at 19%. This data are regularly updated in all the subsequent In-Year Reports.

In addition, all the monthly expenditure report for 2019 were also reported and uploaded on MOFEA’s website.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. At least every month, and within one month of the period covered

Comments: During 2020 reports were uploaded in a monthly basis.

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion:

**IBP Comment**

Ten IYRs were published after 4 weeks and up to 3 months. Therefore, answer c remains correct.

---

**IYRs-3a. If the IYRs are published, what are the dates of publication of the IYRs?**

Specifically: if quarterly In-Year Reports are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least three of the last four IYRs that were publicly available. If monthly IYRs are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least seven of the last 12 IYRs that were publicly available.

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the timeframe accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: “DD Month YYYY.” For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05 September 2020. If the document is not published or not produced, please mark this question “n/a.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2020 - 1st May 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2020 - 6 April 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2020 – 1st May 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2020 – 7 June 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2020 – 4th July 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2020 – 4th September 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IYRs-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the IYRs.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”*

**Answer:**
The dates of the IYRs were determined through the use of wayback machine

**Source:**
Interview with the ICT Unit, Ministry of Finance and Economic Affairs

**https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget**

**Comment:**
The dates of the IYRs were determined through the use of wayback machine

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:

---

IYRs-4. If the IYRs are published, what is the URL or weblink of the IYRs?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Researchers should provide the weblink to the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the weblinks to older IYRs.*

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
IYRs-5. If the IYRs are published, are the numerical data contained in the IYRs available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option “d” applies if the IYRs are not publicly available, therefore their machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:
c. No

Source:
January - December 2020 Expenditure Brief
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The IYRs for FY 2019 and 2020 are available in pdf version, thus not in machine readable format

IYRs-6a. If the IYRs are not publicly available, are they still produced?

If the IYRs are not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question IYRs-2 was “d”), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option “a” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question IYRs-2).
Option “b” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option “c” also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option “c” applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option “d” applies if the document is not produced at all.
Option “e” applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer “c” or “d” applies.

Answer:
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)
IYRs-6b. If you selected option “c” or “d” in question IYRs-6a, please specify how you determined whether the IYRs were produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option “a,” “b,” or “e” was selected in question IYRs-6a, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Answer:
Source:
Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:
Government Reviewer
Opinion:

IYRs-7. If the IYRs are produced, please write the full title of the IYRs.

For example, a title for the In-Year Report could be “Budget Monitoring Report, Quarter 1” or “Budget Execution Report January-March 2020.”

If In-Year Reports are not produced at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Researchers should provide the full title of the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the full titles of older IYRs.

Answer:
January - December 2020 Expenditure Report
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Monthly Expenditure 2019

January 2019 expenditure report
February 2019 Expenditure Report
March 2019 Expenditure Report
JANUARY-APRIL 2019 EXPENDITURE BRIEF
JANUARY-MAY 2019 EXPENDITURE BRIEF
January-June 2019 expenditure report
January-July 2019 expenditure report
IYRs-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the IYRs?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/

Answer:
b. No

Source: https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
There is no citizen version of the In-Year Reports

MYR-1. What is the fiscal year of the MYR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2020
Source: https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Comment: The Mid-Year Review that will be evaluated under this survey is FY 2020

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

MYR-2. When is the MYR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an MYR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends (i.e., three months after the midpoint of the fiscal year). If the MYR is not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option “d” applies. Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the MYR.

Answer:

- d. The MYR is not released to the public, or is released more than three months after the midpoint

Source: https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance
https://gainako.com/d3-3-billion-dalasis-supplementary-appropriation-bill-requested-by-finance-minister/

Comment:
Mid Year Review, covering FY 2020 is not made available to the public but for internal use. However on 9th July 2020 when the Minister of Finance was tabling the Supplementary Appropriation Bill 2020 at National Assembly, the Minister gave an oral speech reviewing macroeconomic projections from January to July 2020.

For example, on revenue, the Minister stated that “as the adverse effects of the COVID-19 continue to unfold MOFEA assessment suggests that a total of D3 billion in revenue will be lost if the pandemic does not drastically subside by the end of the third quarter. This indicates a 19.0 per cent decline from an initial revenue target of D12.45 billion, compared to a revised revenue forecast of D9.45 billion.

The above is made available to the public in a televised statement and through private media outlets but the statement or the document itself is not publicly available on the Ministry of Finance’s website.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

MYR-3a. If the MYR is published, what is the date of publication of the MYR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.
Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

| MYR-3b | In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the MYR. | Answer: N/A | Source: https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget | Comment: Mid Year Review for FY 2020 is not publicly available |

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question
Comments: It is not possible to confirm the response from the consultant.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: |

| MYR-4 | If the MYR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the MYR? | Answer: | Source: | Comment: |

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

MYR-5. If the MYR is published, are the numerical data contained in the MYR available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option “d” applies if the MYR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:
d. Not applicable

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The Mid-Year Review is not publicly available

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

MYR-6a. If the MYR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the MYR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question MYR-2 was “d”), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option “a” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question MYR-2).
Option “b” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option “c” also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option “d” applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option “e” applies if the document is not produced at all.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer “c” or “d” applies.

Answer:
c. Produced for internal purposes/use only

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
Ministry of Finance every year reviews its budget performance for the fiscal year and make the macroeconomic assumptions but this is not made publicly available. The Ministry does this in partnership with the International Monetary Fund (IMF). The Mid-Year Review for by the Ministry of Finance is done in order to gauge the performance of the budget and relate it to the realities of achieving the estimates for revenue collection, expenditure, debt servicing and the percentage of inflation.
This is also done reflecting on the global economy and its effect on the domestic economy.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

MYR-6b. If you selected option “c” or “d” in question MYR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the MYR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option “a,” “b,” or “e” was selected in question MYR-6a, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Answer:
Through an in-person interview with the Director of Budget

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
The researcher determined the production of the Mid-Year Review for internal use through an in-person interview with the Director of Budget at the Ministry of Finance.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

MYR-7. If the MYR is produced, please write the full title of the MYR.

For example, a title for the Mid-Year Review could be “Semi-annual Budget Performance Report, FY 2019/20” or “Mid-Year Report on the 2020 National Budget.”

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Answer:
N/A

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
Document not made publicly available

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
MYR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the MYR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/

Answer:

b. No

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
There is no citizen version for the MYR

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

YER-1. What is the fiscal year of the YER evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2019

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The Year End Report assessed under this survey is FY 2019. The YER for FY 2019 is due in by end December 2020 which is within the OBS methodology cut-off date.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

YER-2. When is the YER made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an YER to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than one year after the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the YER is not released to the public within one year after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances,
YER-3a. If the YER is published, what is the date of publication of the YER?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:
Source:
Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

YER-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the YER.
If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer: n/a
Source: N/A
Comment: N/A

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: 
Government Reviewer
Opinion: 

YER-4. If the YER is published, what is the URL or weblink of the YER?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:
Source: 
Comment: 

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: 
Government Reviewer
Opinion: 

YER-5. If the YER is published, are the numerical data contained in the YER available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option “d” applies if the YER is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:
d. Not applicable
Source: https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Comment: The YER is not published thus, not available in machine readable format.

Peer Reviewer
YER-6a. If the YER is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the YER is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question YER-2 was "d"); a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question YER-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:
c. Produced for internal purposes/use only

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

January - December 2020 Expenditure Brief

https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure 2019

Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure 2021

Comment:
The Ministry of Finance on a regular basis do receive revenues generated from various revenue making institutions like the Gambia Revenue Authority which is accumulated to form the total revenue collected for the fiscal year.

The Ministry of Finance also receives monthly expenditure reports from various government institutions and embassies in the foreign service which forms the total expenditure for the fiscal year. However, these information are not available in the "January - December 2020 Expenditure Brief". The total actual revenue, actual expenditure by administrative units, total debt servicing for the fiscal year are reported in the EBP and EB two years after the end of the fiscal year (i.e FY 2021).

YER-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question YER-6a, please specify how you determined whether the YER was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question YER-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance
YER-7. If the YER is produced, please write the full title of the YER.

*For example, a title for the Year-End Report could be "Consolidated Financial Statement for the Year Ended 31 March 2020" or "Annual Report 2019 Published by the Ministry of Finance and Planning." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**
January - December 2020 Expenditure Brief

**Source:**
N/A

**Comment:**
N/A

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: I choose not to review this question
Comments: Nothing to comment.

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:

**IBP Comment**
Answer changed to "January - December 2020 Expenditure Brief" from "n/a" given that the document is produced, but published late on the ministry's website.

YER-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the YER?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: [https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/](https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/)

**Answer:**
b. No

**Source:**
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Comment:
There is no Citizen Version of the Year End Report.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
Opinion:

AR-1. What is the fiscal year of the AR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2018

Source:
Interview with the Director of Audit, Pa Majagne Ndow, National Audit Office

Comment:
The Audit Report assessed under this survey is FY 2018

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
Opinion:

AR-2. When is the AR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an AR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the AR is not released to the public at least 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the AR.

Answer:
d. Does not release to the public, or is released more than 18 months after the end of the budget year

Source:
Interview with the Director of Audit, Pa Majagne Ndow, National Audit Office

https://nao.gm/reports/

Comment:
The Audit Report for FY 2018 is prepared and tabled before Parliament in December 4th 2020 but it is not made publicly available on National Audit Office's website, until May of 2021. The NAO is not required to make the audit report publicly available until it is discussed and considered by Parliament as per Section 160(1)(e) of the 1997 constitution.
AR-3a. If the AR is published, what is the date of publication of the AR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:
Source:
Comment:

AR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the AR.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
The audit report is made available after the OBS cut off date.

Source:
Interview with the Director of Audit, Pa Majagne Ndow, National Audit Office
https://nao.gm/reports/

Comment:
The 2018 Audit Report was tabled before parliament in December 2020 but not publicly available until May 2021. The Audit Report is only made available online after Parliament’s oversight on the document which usually experiences prolong delay for consideration.

AR-4. If the AR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the AR?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the
**AR-5. If the AR is published, are the numerical data contained in the AR available in a machine readable format?**

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: [http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/](http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/)

Option “d” applies if the AR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

**Answer:**

d. Not applicable

**Source:**
https://nao.gm/reports/

**Comment:**
The Audited Report for FY 2018 is not published, thus, its machine readability cannot be evaluated.

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:

---

**AR-6a. If the AR is not publicly available, is it still produced?**

If the AR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question AR-2 was “d”), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option “a” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question AR-2).
Option “b” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option “b” also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option “c” applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option “d” applies if the document is not produced at all.
Option “e” applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer “c” or “d” applies.

**Answer:**

---
c. Produced for internal purposes/use only

Source:
https://nao.gm/reports/

Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
The Audit Report is produced and laid before Parliament on December 4th 2020 for Parliament oversight. However, the audit report was published too late and beyond the OBS cut off date.

Thus, as of the OBS cut off date, the Audit Report reviewed was made available for internal use only.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

AR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question AR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the AR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question AR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
n/a

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
The researcher determined that the Audit Report is produced and made available to parliament through an interview with the Director of Audit.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

AR-7. If the AR is produced, please write the full title of the AR.

For example, a title for the Audit Report could be "Annual General Reports of the Controller and Auditor General." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
Management Letter - GoTG Audited Accounts - 2018

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance
https://nao.gm/audit-reports/

Comment:
The audit report under review is the "Management Letter - GoTG Audited Accounts - 2018" which was published way beyond the OBS cut off date. Thus, as of December 31st 2020, the Audit Report reviewed was made available for internal use only.
AR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the AR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/.

Answer:

b. No

Source:
Interview with Bai Madi Ceesay, Director of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Comment:
There is no citizen version of the 2018 Audit Report

GQ-1a. Are there one or more websites or web portals for disseminating government fiscal information? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

GQ-1a asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where fiscal information can be found. For example, in New Zealand the Treasury website (http://www.treasury.govt.nz/) hosts important budget-related information, including the Pre-Budget Statement, the Executive’s Budget Proposal, the Citizens Budget, In-Year Reports, the Mid-Year Review, and the Year-End Report. In addition, New Zealand’s Parliamentary Counsel Office (http://www.legislation.govt.nz/) posts the Enacted Budget while the Controller and Auditor-General website (http://www.oag.govt.nz/) publishes the annual Audit Report. The New Zealand researcher would provide the links to each of these sites. Other countries have developed portals that include fiscal information, though not in the "documents" format. For example, these portals have been created by Mexico (https://www.transparenciapresupuestaria.gob.mx/) and Brazil (http://www.portaltransparencia.gov.br/). Some countries have both a website and a portal. The Brazilian government, for example, apart from the Transparency Portal, has a dedicated website for the federal budget, where all key documents and other information can be found (www.orcamentofederal.gov.br). Researchers should include details about all of the relevant websites and/or portals that can be used to access budget information.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
https://gambia.datawarehousepro.com/
https://mofea.gm/directorates/aid-coordination
https://mofea.gm/directorates/internal-audit

Comment:
Information or documents relating to fiscal information are hosted under the page of the "DIRECTORATE OF BUDGET" which is under the Ministry of Finance. Under this page, fiscal documents like EBP, EB, IYR, Budget Speech, CB, etc are available in a downloadable format.
The Central’s Banks “Data Warehouse” also gives timely information on Macroeconomic status in the Gambia which is also user interactive. The internal audit, under the Ministry of Finance also do provide government institution audit reports that are available in a downloadable format.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Also the Gambia Bureau of Statistics publish the Central Government Operations in a monthly basis. The link below: https://www.gbosdata.org/topics/economy/56c350df-5a94-11e8-9637-00155d01ca07

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

GQ-1b. On these websites/portals, can revenue and/or expenditure data for the current fiscal year be downloaded as a consolidated, machine readable file (or set of files)? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/.

GQ-1b, GQ-1c, and GQ-1d ask about whether governments publish specific types of content on their websites/portals: (a) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for the current fiscal year; (b) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for multiple years in consistent formats; and (c) infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis. Researchers should provide the links to relevant webpages and some explanations of what they contain.

Answer:
a. Yes, both revenue and expenditure data can be downloaded as a consolidated file

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
https://gambia.datawarehousepro.com/

Comment:
Only expenditure data focusing on Government Local Fund are downloadable in consolidated document but they are not eligible for machine readability

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Central Government Operations: https://www.gbosdata.org/topics/economy/56c350df-5a94-11e8-9637-00155d01ca07

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

GQ-1c. On these websites/portals, can disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure data in consolidated, machine readable files be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/.

Answer:
a. Yes, both revenue and expenditure data can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats

Source:
https://gambia.datawarehousepro.com/

Comment:
The Central Bank of The Gambia has a data warehouse where government financing covering: revenue grants, debts, expenditure and net lending, overall balance on cash basis are downloadable in machine readable format. The data could be filtered based on choice and exported in excel format showing multiple years by quarter.
GQ-1d. On these websites/portals, are infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>a. Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source:</td>
<td><a href="https://gambia.datawarehousepro.com/">https://gambia.datawarehousepro.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>The Data warehouse of the Central Bank of The Gambia uses interactive charts that users can interact with. Users can select and filter specific item(s) that will automatically show in a form of an interactive chart which show the amount and date when hover over it. Users also have the option to track the growth rate and first differences of the filtered items.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree  
Comments: Central Government Operations: https://www.gbosdata.org/topics/economy/56c350df-5a94-11e8-9637-00155d01ca07

GQ-2. Are there laws in place guiding public financial management and/or auditing? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where the law(s) contains specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

GQ-2 asks about the existence of any national laws governing public financial management and auditing. These may include a public finance act, a section of the constitution, or an organic budget law. In some countries, fiscal responsibility legislation may also be relevant. For example, the Kenya researcher may include the link to its Public Finance Management Act, 2012 (http://kenyalaw.org:8181/exist/kenyalex/actview.xql?actid=No.%2018%20of%202012), and the Macedonian researcher may include a link to its State Audit Law (https://www.finance.gov.mk/files/u11/Audit%20law.pdf). Researchers should provide links to websites where such laws are published, if possible, or an electronic copy of the law itself. They should also indicate if and where (e.g. which article) these laws include specific provisions for budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>a. Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Source:          | Public Finance Act 2014  
Public Finance Regulations 2016  
National Audit Office Act 2015  
1997 Constitution, Section 160(e)  
https://www.moj.gm/downloads  
https://mofea.gm/pension-law  
https://mofea.gm/financial-regulations-2016  
https://mofea.gm/public-procurement-law  
https://nao.gm/reports/laws-standards/ |
| Comment:         | There are legal frameworks in the constitution of the Gambia and other acts like the Public Finance Act, Financial Regulations and other laws on fiscal transparency and accountability. The foundation for a strong public finance management must have a legal mechanisms that will govern revenue collection and withdrawal to support government activities.  
Section 151(2) of the 1997 constitution codified that “No money shall be withdrawn from any other public fund of The Gambia unless the issue of |
that money has been authorised by law" thus limiting the executive autonomy and empowering oversight institutions on state funds which is supported by section 8 of the Public Finance Act.

Section 13 of the Public Finance Act also restricts government officials in opening an official account, in a local or international bank, without the Authority being given by the Accountant General. On Debt Management, section 36 of the Act provides legislative oversight before expending loan taking by the Parliament.

Section 159 of the 1997 constitution establishes the National Audit Office and act of Parliament in detail gives the national audit office the necessary mandate to audit government accounts and table its report to the National Assembly for discussion and consideration. Section 160 of the Constitution also empowers the Auditor General to ensure withdrawal from the Consolidated Revenue Fund follows the due process. The National Audit Office Act of 2015 also empowers the office to conduct special audits on government activities. The Office under Section 160(5) can present reports of maladministration and fraud to the Inspector General of Police for further investigation and prosecution.

The Gambia Public Procurement law of 2014 also governs the procurement system of the Gambia in order to ensure fair, competitive, transparent and accountable procurement system.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

GO-3. Is there at least one additional law regulating: (1) access to information; (2) government transparency; or (3) citizens participation? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where these laws contain specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

The third and last question asks researchers to list any additional laws regulating access to information, transparency, or citizens’ participation that are relevant for the promotion of budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes. These might include legislation related to access to information, to planning processes, or to public administration more generally. India’s Right to Information Act of 2005 (https://www.ncess.gov.in/facilities/central-public-information-officer/rti-act-details.html) is an example of this type of law. More information on access to information legislation (constitutional provisions, laws, and regulations), including examples of model laws, can be found here: http://www.right2info.org/laws/constitutional-provisions-laws-and-regulations#section-1.

Answer:

a. Yes  

Source: 
Section 3(1)(e)(g) of the Public Finance Act 2014  
Section 160(e) of the 1997 Constitution 

Access to Information Bill, 2020  

Comment:  
The Public Finance Act of 2014 provides for the Ministry of Finance to ensure budget transparency. Section 3(1)(e) of the act mandates the Ministry of Finance to exercise transparency and effective management of government funds.

In addition, Section 3(1)(g) of the same act requires the Minister of Finance to publish budget execution and progress report to the public which create room for citizens to access budget documents. Section 160(e) of the 1997 Constitution also provides for the Auditor General to cause his/her report publicly available.

Although there is no current law on access to information, however, there is an Access to Information Bill that is at the advance stage at the national assembly.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
1. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 1 addresses the presentation of expenditure by administrative unit. This information indicates which government entity (ministry, department, or agency, or MDAs) will be responsible for spending the funds and, ultimately, held accountable for their use.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all administrative units, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer “b,” the administrative units shown individually, in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation, must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. In other words, the sum of the expenditures assigned to the individual MDAs (education, health, infrastructure, interior, defense, etc.) must account for at least two-thirds of the total expenditure budgeted for that particular year. A “c” answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents administrative units that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if expenditures are not presented by administrative unit.

Answer:

a. Yes, administrative units accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Page 1 “Budget Appropriation Report”
Page 18 “Departmental Overviews”
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The Executive Budget Proposal for FY 2021 has classified all expenditures by administrative units in the very first page of the document. Each administrative unit is given a budget code, entity name and the amount allocated for that particular ministry.

In addition, under “Departmental Overviews” page 18 of the EBP 2021 further sub-classified administrative expenditures into recurrent and developmental expenditure. For example, Ministry of Finance which is Budget Code (BE) 12 is allocated D1.5 billion which is 4.3% of the entire 2021 budget. 34.85% of its budget is designated for Development while 65.15% goes into recurrent expenditure.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

2. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by functional classification?

GUIDELINES:

Question 2 addresses the presentation of expenditure by functional classification. This classification indicates the programmatic purpose, sector, or objective for which the funds will be used, such as health, education, or defense. Administrative units are not necessarily aligned with functional classifications. For instance, in one country all functions connected with water supply (which fall into the “Housing” function) may be undertaken by a single government agency, while in another country they may be distributed across the Ministries of Environment, Housing, and Industrial Development. In the latter case, three ministries have programs addressing water supply, so three ministries contribute to one function. Similarly, some administrative units may conduct activities that cut across more than one function. For instance, in the example above, some programs of the Ministry of Environment would also be classified in the “environmental protection” function.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by functional classification.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by functional classification.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
“Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure”
Comment:
The Executive Budget Proposal under "Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure" from page 149 outlines the functional classification of all the budget entities for FY 2021. Government development projects and programs that are sourced both from the Government Local Fund (GLF) and government bilateral and multilateral partners are reported in the EBP 2021.

For example, the proposed budget for FY 2021 for the continuation of the "Building Resilience Against Food and Nutrition Insecurity" under the Ministry of Agriculture is D66.2 million dalasi under page 163 of the EBP. The central government of The Gambia commits to provide D6m of the Program while the African Development Bank will be paying D62.2m support to the government of The Gambia.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

3. If the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by functional classification, is the functional classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:
Question 3 asks whether a country’s functional classification meets international standards. To answer “a,” a country’s functional classification must be aligned with the OECD and the UN’s Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), or provide a cross-walk between the national functional presentation and COFOG.


Answer:
a. Yes, the functional classification is compatible with international standards.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
"Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure"
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
COFOG page 46

Comment:
The functional classification of the FY EBP 2021 is consistent with international standards. For example, the functional classification for Agriculture under the Gambia EBP 2021 corresponds to the COFOG recommendations on Agriculture. Operating cost, construction and farm inputs as recommended by COFOG on page 46 are classified under the Ministry of Agriculture, functional classification.

The source of funding under Agricultural programs and projects are also highlighted under "Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure" from page 162 of the Executive Budget Proposal.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
4. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by economic classification?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 4 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification. Economic classification provides information on the nature of the expenditure, such as whether funds are being used to pay for wages and salaries, capital projects, or social assistance benefits. Please note that a presentation of expenditures by current and capital expenditures without additional disaggregation or detail will not qualify as an economic classification.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

**Source:**
Expenditure Budget Overview by Item
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**
FY 2021 EBP of The Gambia has classified expenditures by economic classifications from page 121 marked as page 12 in the document. The economic classifications are disaggregated into two categories namely: Current and Capital Expenditures with more detailed information.

For example, “wages and salaries” under “Current” on page 121 marked as page 12 in the document covers for D4.9 billion with extra information on how the D4.9 billion will be spent. Which covers for car allowance, allowance for board members, transportation allowance, telephone, residential, overtime allowance etc.

Page 124 marked as page 15 in the document provides for “Capital” expenditure which provides extra information on how the monies will be spent. For example, “Roads and Bridges” accumulates D1.8 billion, “wells, boreholes, water points, reticulation systems” covering D10.5m.

---

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

5. If the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by economic classification, is the economic classification compatible with international standards?

**GUIDELINES:**


**Answer:**

a. Yes, the economic classification is compatible with international standards.

**Source:**
Expenditure Budget Overview by Item
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**
The economic classifications of the executive budget proposal for FY 2021 are consistent with Appendix 4 of IMF's "Economic Classification of Expense"
6. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 6 asks whether expenditures are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should treat the term “program” as meaning any level of detail below an administrative unit – that is, any programmatic grouping that is below the ministry, department, or agency level. For example, the Ministry of Health’s budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as “primary health care,” “hospitals,” or “administration.” These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detailé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer “b,” the programs shown individually in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. A “c” answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Budget decisions for the upcoming year can also affect the parameters of future budgets. It is therefore useful to estimate revenues and expenditures for multi-year periods, understanding that these estimates might be revised as circumstances change. Sometimes referred to as a Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), a three-year period – that is, the budget year plus two more years – is generally considered an appropriate horizon for budgeting and planning.

---

**Answer:**

a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

**Source:**

"Recurrent Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure PBB"

2021 Executive Budget Proposal

https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**

Individual programs under each administrative unit are classified in the EBP FY 2021. The proposed budget for the Ministry of Health is D3 billion (all funds) which is 8.5% of the 2021 EBP due to the nature of various programs undertaking by the health ministry.

The proposed budget for “Health Programs” (page 210 marked as 105 in the document) was D4.6 million which covers health programs like “Environmental Health Services”, “Traditional Medicine Development”, “Health Communication Services” etc.

Like the Ministry of Health, all the other administrative units have their budget presented for individual programs.

---

7. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 7 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit
indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent, and economic classification displays what the money is spent on.

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," multi-year expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

**Answer:**
d. No, multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any expenditure classification.

**Source:**
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**
The Executive Budget Proposal does not present multi-year expenditures for administrative, functional or economic classifications.

---

7b. Based on the response to Question 7, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for a multi-year period in the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

**Answer:**
None of the above

**Source:**
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**
The Executive Budget Proposal for FY 2021 does not present multi-year expenditures for administrative, functional or economic classifications.

---

8. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by program?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 8 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health’s budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as “primary health care,” “hospitals,” or “administration.” These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detalie. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional level detail.)
To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures over the multi-year period. A "c" answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if multi-year estimates are not presented by program.

Revenues generally are separated into two major categories: “tax” and “non-tax” revenues. Taxes are compulsory transfers that result from government exercising its sovereign power. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes. The category of non-tax revenues is more diverse, ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services. Note that some forms of revenue, such as contributions to social security funds, can be considered either a tax or non-tax revenue depending on the nature of the approach to these contributions. Particularly because different revenues have different characteristics, including who bears the burden of paying the tax and how collections are affected by economic conditions, it is helpful when estimates for revenues are disaggregated and displayed based on their sources.


Answer:
d. No, multi-year estimates for programs are not presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The Executive Budget Proposal for FY 2021 does not present multi-year expenditures by programs beyond the budget year.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

9. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 9 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes.

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of tax revenue for the budget year, and “other” or “miscellaneous” revenue must account for three percent or less of all tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:
a. Yes, individual sources of tax revenue accounting for all tax revenue are presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
"Detailed Revenue Estimates by Collecting Agency"
Page 15 (marked as 32)
Budget Overview
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The Executive Budget Proposal for FY 2021 under “Detailed Revenue Estimates by Collecting Agency” details the individual tax sources. The Gambia Revenue Authority (GRA) is the tax collecting agency of The Gambia and the EBP details the source of individual taxes to be collected by GRA.

For example, "GRA Income Tax" for the year 2021 is estimated at D2.8 billion. The document further outlines the sources of income tax ranging from: income tax personal, payroll tax, income tax payable by corporations etc.
In addition, tax collection by GRA are further outline under “GRA-Customs and Excise” which is estimated at D6.3 billion. Customs and Excise are collecting through Import tax/VAT on goods and services.

The overall estimated tax revenue for FY 2021 is estimated at D12.2 billion in the EBP.

10. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of government-produced goods and services) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 10 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of “non-tax” revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The category of non-tax revenues is diverse, and can include revenue ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of non-tax revenue for the budget year, and “other” or “miscellaneous” revenue must account for three percent or less of all non-tax revenue. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of non-tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all non-tax revenue, but not all revenue. A “c” answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of non-tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of non-tax revenues. Answer “d” applies if individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:
a. Yes, individual sources of non-tax revenue accounting for all non-tax revenue are presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
"Detailed Revenue Estimates by Collecting Agency"
Budget Overview
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The overall non-tax revenue in the EBP for FY 2021 is estimated at D1.5 billion with Project Grants and Programs (Budget Support) estimated at D12 billion.

The EBP outlines the sources of non-tax revenues under "Detailed Revenue Estimates by Collecting Agency". Non-tax revenues like passport fees are estimated at D30.2 million under the Ministry of Interior and other non-tax revenues like court fees, rent of state land, ISP license fee etc are all outline in the EBP.

11. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 11 evaluates whether revenue estimates are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year) by “category,” that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of revenues classified by category for at least two years following the budget year in question.
12. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates for individual sources of revenue presented for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 12 evaluates whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented for a multi-year period.

Answer:
d. No, multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue are not presented.

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
The EBP does not present multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue. Sources of revenue are only limited to two year prior the budget year.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

13. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 13 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include:
Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a “b” answer, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a “c” answer, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer “d” applies no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the budget year.

Answer:
b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:
“Budget Overview” 2021 Executive Budget Proposal, page 1
2021 Budget Speech, page 12
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
In the 2021 EBP, Net Lending is divided into two components in which D24m is allocated for “Lending and Equity Participation” while -D15m goes to “Repayments Government Enterprises” leaving a total of D9m which is 0.01% of the 2021 EBP.

Although the 2021 EBP does not show the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year, however, the 2021 Budget Speech delivered by the Minister of Finance at the National Assembly on December 4th 2020, which is publicly available reports that the total debt stock as of September 2020 stood at D67.6 billion which is 71% of the country’s GDP.

The total debt interest for FY 2021 as highlighted in the EBP stands at D3 billion which is 2.87% of GDP.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

13b. Based on the response to Question 13, check the box(es) below to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt are presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal:

Answer:
The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year
The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year

Source:
“Budget Overview” 2021 Executive Budget Proposal, page 1
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Both Net Lending and total Interest Payments are presented in the EBP.
14. "Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 14 focuses on the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year, asking whether “core” information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:*

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 13, domestic debt is held by a country’s citizens, banks, and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country’s debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt, and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding at the end of the budget year.

**Answer:**
c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

**Source:**
2021 Budget Speech, page 12
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**
Although the EBP 2021 does not present the total debt outstanding for the budget year, however, page 12 of the Budget Speech (as a supporting document) reported the outstanding debt with some core information.

The document reported that as of September 2020, the total debt burden is D67.6 billion (71% of GDP) Of which, the "domestic debt constitutes D32.1 billion (34 percent of GDP) while external debt constitutes D35.5 billion (37 percent of GDP) in 2020."

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
Answer:
Whether the debt is domestic or external

Source:
"Budget Overview" 2021 Executive Budget Proposal, page 1
2021 Budget Speech, page 12
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The document classified the total debt burden into domestic and external.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

15. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?"

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 15 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the budget's revenue and expenditure estimates, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

While the core macroeconomic information should be a standard feature of the Executive's Budget Proposal, the importance of some types of macroeconomic assumptions may vary from country to country. For example, the budget estimates of some countries are particularly affected by changes in the price of oil and other commodities.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short and long-term interest rates; rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:
c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Page 2
Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly
Page 4 Article 14
Page 10 Article 32
Page 3 Article 9
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The Executive Budget Proposal does not present macroeconomic forecast upon which the projection are based, however, the "Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly" when the EBP was being laid for the first time gives macroeconomic projections which include some but not all core information.
It is reported in the document on page 4 Article 14 that the inflation rate for 2020 declined from 7.1% in 2019 to 6.5% in 2020.
Page 2 of the Executive Budget Proposal includes the Nominal GDP level for funds including revenue (tax and non-tax), expenditure and net lending, and budget deficit.

Real GDP growth according to the document (page 3 Article 9) was "revised from 6.5% to -1.5% with an anticipated 3% of GDP loss in tax revenue for the fiscal year." This decline according to the report is due to the negative impact of Covid-19 on the economy.

Debt interest payment (Page 10 Article 32) for 2021 is projected at D3.8 billion which is 22% of domestic revenue collected from tax and non-tax revenues. However, this will increase to D5.97 billion when interest payments and amortization are added.

Under Interest rates- the EBP or the various supporting budget documentation does not refer to projections of interest rates charged by central banks or projections of benchmark interest rates such as the LIBOR rate.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

15b. Based on the response to Question 15, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast are included in the Executive’s Budget Proposal:

Answer:
Nominal GDP level
Inflation rate
Real GDP growth

Source:
Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly
Page 4 Article 14
Page 10 Article 32
Page 3 Article 9
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The "Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly" presents macroeconomic information on inflation rate, and real GDP growth rate.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

16. "Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget?"

(The core information must include estimates of the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 16 focuses on the issue of whether the Executive’s Budget Proposal shows how different macroeconomic assumptions affect the budget estimates (known as a "sensitivity analysis"). It asks whether "core" information related to a sensitivity analysis is presented, estimating the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for:

- inflation rate;
A sensitivity analysis shows the effect on the budget of possible changes in some macroeconomic assumptions, and is important for understanding the impact of the economy on the budget; for instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? Or what would happen to expenditure if inflation were higher than estimated? Or how will revenue be affected by a decrease in the price of oil?

As noted for Question 15, changes in certain macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil and other commodities, can have a significant impact on the budget estimates. As a result, some sensitivity analyses may also examine the impact on the budget estimates of changes in assumptions such as the price of oil that are beyond the core elements of the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to a “sensitivity analysis” as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to a “sensitivity analysis” is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information on “sensitivity analysis” is presented.

**17. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?**

**GUIDELINES:** Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals — whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people’s lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to different macroeconomic assumptions is not presented.

**Source:**

Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly
Page 4 Article 14
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**

The “Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly” do present the impact of macroeconomy assumption on the economy. However, it does not present comparative analysis on what will happen to expenditure, revenue collection and development if the government targets are not met. The document do provide rationale on the decline and increase of inflation rate, debt and real GDP growth but it does not specify how this will affect the budget. For example, Article 14 of the document states that:

“On Monetary Policy, the inflation rate is projected to decline from 7.1 percent in 2019 to 6.5 percent in 2020. The higher inflation rate in 2019 was due to several factors, including a one-time hike in postal charges in March 2019, an increase in food prices associated with the Ramadan, and a low food harvest. Meanwhile, the 2020 inflation rate is anchored on a stable exchange rate, an increased domestic food supply supported by Government intervention to promote agriculture, and a sound monetary and fiscal policy implementation.”

The document does provide justification on how this will affect the economy for the upcoming fiscal year.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree
Comments: The MTEFF is the only document where fiscal risks analysis and different economic scenarios are presented.

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion:
d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect expenditure is not presented.

Source: Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment: The Executive Budget Proposal or its supporting document "Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly" does not present information on new policy proposal, its significance and difference from existing policies and how it will affect expenditure.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: The MTEFF is the only document where fiscal risks analysis and different economic scenarios are presented.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

18. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals — whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people’s lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Prior-year information constitutes an important benchmark for assessing the proposals for the upcoming budget year. Estimates of prior years should be presented in the same formats (in terms of classification) as the budget year to ensure that year-to-year comparisons are meaningful. For example, if the budget proposes shifting responsibility for a particular program from one administrative unit to another — such as shifting responsibility for the training of nurses from the health department to the education department — the prior-year figures must be adjusted before year-to-year comparisons of administrative budgets can be made.

Typically, when the budget proposal is submitted, the year prior to the budget year (BY-1), also known as the current year, has not ended, so the executive will provide estimates of the anticipated outcome for BY-1. The soundness of these estimates is directly related to the degree to which they have been updated to reflect actual expenditures to date, legislative changes that have occurred, and anticipated changes in macroeconomic, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

The first year that can reflect actual outcomes, therefore, is generally two years before the budget year (BY-2). Thus the OECD recommends that data covering at least two years before the budget year (along with two years of projections beyond the budget year) are provided in order to assess fully the trends in the budget.

Answer:
d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect revenues is not presented.

Source: Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment: EBP for FY 2021 or its supporting document "Laying of the 2021 Budget at the National Assembly" does not present information on new policy proposal and its difference from existing policies and how it will affect revenue.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: The MTEFF is the only document where fiscal risks analysis and different economic scenarios and policies are presented.
19. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 19 asks if expenditure estimates for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) are presented by one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-1 by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” expenditure estimates for BY-1 must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:
a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Departmental Overview page 11, (marked 18 on the document)
Expenditure Budget Overview by Item, page 121 (marked 12 on the document)
Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure, from page 149
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The Executive Budget Proposal for FY 2021 presents functional, administrative and economic classifications for BY-1. Page 11 of the EBP (marked 18 on the document) "Departmental Overview" presents administrative expenditure estimates for the preceding year (FY 2020) and the actual expenditures for 2019. For example, the Office of the President’s budget for FY 2020 was D1 billion and its estimates for 2021 is D981 million.

On page 121 (marked 12 on the document) “Expenditure Budget Overview by Item” gives the economic classification for FY 2020 (BY-1). Wages and Salary for example increased by D5million from 2020 (D4.4b) to 2021 (4.9b).

Finally, "Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure” from page 149 outlines the functional classification of BY-1.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

20. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 20 asks if expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health’s budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as “primary health care,” “hospitals,” or “administration.” These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all
21. In the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have expenditure estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect actual expenditures?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 21 asks whether the expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) have been updated from the original enacted levels. Updates can reflect actual experience to date; revised estimates due to shifting of funds by the executive, as permitted under the law; enactment of supplemental budgets; and revised assumptions regarding macroeconomic conditions, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

**Answer:** 
"a" applies if the estimates have been updated; answer "b" applies if the original estimates are still being used.

**Answer:**
b. No, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have not been updated from the original enacted levels.

**Source:**
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
“Recurrent Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure PBB”
Page 210 (marked 105 in the document)

**Comment:**
No, the EBP does not present actual expenditure for BY-1

---

22. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 22 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by any of the three expenditure
classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any of the three classifications

Answer:
a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
"Departmental Overviews” on page 11 (marked as 18 in the document)
"Expenditure Budget Overview by Item” page 121 (marked as 149 in the document)
"Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure” page 28 (marked as 149 in the document)

Comment:
Yes, the EBP present estimates of expenditure for BY-2 by economic, functional and administrative classification. These classifications could be found on page 18 for “Administrative Classification”, “Functional Classification” on page 28 (marked as 149 in the document) and “Economy Classification” on page 121 (marked as 149 in the document)

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

22b. Based on the response to Question 22, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year in the Executive Budget Proposal:

Answer:
Administrative classification
Economic classification
Functional classification

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
"Departmental Overviews” on page 11 (marked as 18 in the document)
"Expenditure Budget Overview by Item” page 121 (marked as 149 in the document)
"Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure” page 28 (marked as 149 in the document)

Comment:
All the above.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

23. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for more than one year preceding the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?
GUIDELINES:

Question 23 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year before the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health’s budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as “primary health care,” “hospitals,” or “administration.” These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-2 and prior years. To answer “b,” the programs shown individually in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-2 and prior years. A “c” answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:

a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
“Recurrent Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure PBB”
Page 210 (marked 105 in the document)

Comment:
All expenditures for individual programs are presented for BY-2 in the Executive Budget Proposal. For example, the actual expenditure for “Health Programs” under the Ministry of Health for the year 2019 (BY-2) is D1.3m.

All the other administrative units have their individual program actual expenditure for BY-2 presented in the EBP.

24. In the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Question 24 asks for which year the actual outcomes for expenditures are shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated all its expenditure data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an “a” answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

Answer:

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The actual expenditures presented in the EBP is BY-2.
25. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:
a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 are presented by category.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Overview of Revenue, Grants and Loans
page 139 (marked as page 3)

Comment:
Yes, the EBP presents revenue for the year preceding the budget year by category (i.e tax and non-tax)

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

26. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:
a. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue are presented for BY-1.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Overview of Revenue, Grants and Loan

Comment:
Yes, individual sources of revenue for BY-1 accounting for all funds are all presented in the EBP ranging from individual sources of revenue from tax, grants, loans, fees (non-tax).

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

27. In the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have the original estimates of revenue for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated to reflect actual revenue collections?
GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

b. No, revenue estimates for BY-1 have not been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Overview of Revenue, Grants and Loan

Comment:
No, the EBP does not present actual revenue for BY-1.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

28. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:
a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by category.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Overview of Revenue, Grants and Loan
Receipts of Revenues, Grants and Loans “Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure (2019 Approved Budget)

Comment:
Revenue estimates are presented by category (tax and non-tax) for BY-2. The revenue estimates are in actual figures for BY-2. For example, the actual revenue collected from tax is D8.9 billion compared to the original estimate D12.1 in the enacted FY-2019 budget.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

29. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:
a. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue are presented for BY-2 and prior years.
30. In the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:
a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Overview of Revenue, Grants and Loan

Comment:
The actual revenue presented in the EBP corresponds to BY-2

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

31. "Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?"

(The core information must include the total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1; the amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1; interest payments on the debt; interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:
Question 31 focuses on prior-year debt information, rather than on prior-year expenditures or revenues, asking whether "core" information is provided on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1).

The "core" information includes:
- total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1;
- amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1;
- interest payments on the debt;
- interest rates on the debt instruments;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether it is domestic or external debt.

This core information for BY-1 is consistent with the budget year information for borrowing and debt, which is examined in Questions 13 and 14. Please note that for the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.
In addition, some governments provide information beyond the core elements, such as gross new borrowing required during BY-1; currency of the debt; whether the debt carries a fixed or variable interest rate; whether it is callable; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for BY-1 as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on government borrowing and debt for BY-1.

---

### Answer:

**c.** Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

**Source:**
2021 Executive Budget Proposal, "Expenditure Budget Funding Overview"

Laying of the Executive Budget
2021 Budget Speech

**Comment:**
The Executive Budget Proposal for FY 2021 does not present all core information on government borrowing for BY-2. However, it does present that D4.4 billion was the actual expenditure for debt servicing for BY-2.

The Budget Speech delivered on December 4th 2020 by the Minister of Finance at the National Assembly do present some core information on government borrowing for BY-2. For example, page 12 reported that the total public debt stock for 2019 was D71.3 billion which registered 81% of GDP. The Budget Speech does not classify whether the debt is domestic or external for Budget Year 2.

The Laying of the Executive Budget Proposal also reported that the inflation rate in 2019 was at 7.1%.

---

### 32. In the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 32 asks for which year the actual outcome for total debt outstanding is shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated its debt data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an “a” answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

It is essential that all government activities that may have an impact on the budget — in the current budget year or in future budget years — be fully disclosed to the legislature and the public in budget documents. In some countries, for instance, entities outside central government (such as public corporations) undertake fiscal activities that could affect current and future budgets. Similarly, activities that can have a significant impact on the budget, such as payment arrears and contingent liabilities, sometimes are not properly captured by the regular presentations of expenditure, revenue, and debt.

**Answer:**

**a.** Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

**Source:**
2021 Executive Budget Proposal, "Expenditure Budget Funding Overview"

**Comment:**
The EBP presents that D4.4 billion was the actual expenditure for debt servicing for BY-2.

---

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:
33. "Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on extra-budgetary funds for at least the budget year? (The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund; and complete income, expenditure, and financing data on a gross basis.)"

GUIDELINES:
Question 33 focuses on extra-budgetary funds, asking whether "core" information related to these funds, which exist outside the budget, are presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund (i.e., why was a particular fund set up? what is it used for?); and
- estimates of its income, expenditure, and financing. (These estimates should be presented on a gross basis so that it is possible to tell how much money flows through each extra-budgetary fund.)

In most countries, governments engage in certain budgetary activities that are not included in the central government’s budget. Known as extra-budgetary funds, they can range in size and scope. For example, countries frequently set up pension and social security programs as extra-budgetary funds, where the revenues collected and the benefits paid are recorded in a separate fund outside the budget. Another example of an extra-budgetary fund can be found in countries dependent on hydrocarbon/mineral resources, where revenues from producing and selling those resources are channeled through systems outside the annual budget.

In some cases, the separation engendered by an extra-budgetary fund serves a legitimate political purpose, and the finances and activities of these funds are well documented. In other cases, however, this structure is used for obfuscation, and little or nothing is known about a fund’s finances and activities.

The availability of information related to extra-budgetary funds is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the government’s true fiscal position. In addition to the core information, other information about extra-budgetary funds is also desirable. Such information includes a discussion of the risks associated with the extra-budgetary fund; expenditures classified by economic, functional, or administrative unit; and the rules and procedures that govern the operations and management of the extra-budgetary fund.


To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to extra-budgetary funds as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. A "b" answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation presents all of the core information. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to extra-budgetary funds is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on extra-budgetary funds.

Please provide in the comments a list of all known extra-budgetary funds.

Answer:
d. No, information related to extra-budgetary funds is not presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
Extra-budgetary funds do not form part of the 2021 Executive Budget Proposal.
on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 34 asks whether the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documents present the finances of the central government on a consolidated basis, showing both its budgetary and extra-budgetary activities. Virtually all of the questions in the OBS questionnaire focus on budgetary central government – the activities of the ministries, departments, or agencies of central government. In addition, Question 33 asks about extra-budgetary funds, such as social security funds that are not included in the budget.

Coverage is an important aspect of fiscal reporting. Budget documents should cover the full scope of government’s financial activity. In many countries, extra-budgetary activities are substantial, and can represent a sizable share of the central government’s activities. To get a full picture of the central government’s finances, therefore, it is necessary to examine both activities that are included in the budget and those that are extra-budgetary. This question asks whether such a consolidated presentation of central government finances is provided.

The central government is only one component of the overall public sector. The public sector also includes other levels of government, such as state and local government, and public corporations. (See Box 2.1 under Principle 1.1.1 of the IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018): https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml For the purpose of answering this question, please consider only the central government level.

In order to answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year.

Answer:

b. No, central government finances are not presented on a consolidated basis.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
Central government finances and extra-budgetary funds are not consolidated in the EBP FY 2021.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

35. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of intergovernmental transfers for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 35 asks about intergovernmental transfers. In many cases, the central government supports the provision of a good or service by a lower level of government through an intergovernmental transfer of funds. This is necessary because, independent from the level of administrative decentralization that exists in a given country, the capacity for revenue collection of a local government is unlikely to be sufficient to pay for all its expenses. However, because the activity is not being undertaken by an administrative unit of the central government, it is unlikely to receive the same level of review in the budget. Thus it is important to include in the budget proposal a statement that explicitly indicates the amount and purposes of these transfers.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all intergovernmental transfers and a narrative discussing these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all intergovernmental transfers are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, intergovernmental transfers (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no estimates of intergovernmental transfers are presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all intergovernmental transfers are presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal,
“Recurrent Budget” page 251 (marked as page 39)

Comment:
The EBP presents subsidies to Public Corporations/ Institutions at an estimate of D3.7 billion for the year 2021 on page 251 (marked as page 39) which accounts for 13.84% of current expenditures, but it does not come with narrative discussion.
36. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present alternative displays of expenditures (such as by gender, by age, by income, or by region) to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 36 asks about “alternative displays” of expenditures that highlight the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens. As discussed above, expenditures are typically presented by at least one of three classifications – administrative, functional, and economic classifications (see Questions 1-5) – and by individual program (Question 6). In addition, governments can provide alternative displays to emphasize different aspects of expenditure policies and to show who benefits from these expenditures.

For the purpose of answering this question, the alternative presentation must differ from the presentations (such as administrative, functional, or economic classifications or presentation by program) used to answer other questions. The alternative display can cover all expenditures or only a portion of expenditures. For instance, it can show how all expenditures are distributed according to geographic region or it can show how selected expenditures (such as the health budget or the agriculture budget) are distributed to different regions. But such a geographic display must be something different than the presentation of intergovernmental transfers used to answer question 35. One exception is when a country includes a special presentation of all policies intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (and is used to answer Question 52) then that can be considered an alternative display for purposes of answering this question as well. Finally, brief fact sheets showing how proposals in the budget benefit particular groups would be insufficient; only more detailed presentations would be considered.

The IBP Budget Brief, “How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget’s Impact on Poverty and Inequality?” (https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf) includes a discussion of the importance of alternative displays of budget information and provides a number of examples. For instance,

- Bangladesh in its 2017-18 Budget included a detailed supplementary Gender Budgeting Report, which presents the spending dedicated to advancing women across various departments. (https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/3bb14732-b591-44df-9921-efedf1496735)
- The UK's 2017 budget included a supplementary analysis that provided a distributional analysis of the budget by households in different income groups (see https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/661465/distributional_analysis_autumn_budget_2017.pdf)
- South Africa’s 2017 Budget Review goes beyond the standard presentation of intergovernmental transfers, discussing the redistribution that results from national revenue flowing to the provinces and municipalities and presenting the allocations on a per capita basis (see chapter 6, http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2017/review/FullBR.pdf).

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least three different presentations that illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens for at least the budget year. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least two different alternative displays of expenditures. A “c” applies if only one type of alternative display of expenditure is presented. Answer “d” applies if no alternative display of expenditure is presented.

Answer:
d. No, alternative displays of expenditures are not presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
The executive’s budget proposal for FY 2021 does not disaggregate government expenditures by age, gender, region or economic class to illustrate the impact of the budget or new policy on citizens and non-citizens.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
36b. Based on the response to Question 36, select the box(es) below to identify which types of alternative displays are included in the Executive’s Budget Proposal:

Answer:
None of the above

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal,

Comment:
None of the above

37. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of transfers to public corporations for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 37 asks about transfers to public corporations. It is often the case that governments have a stake in enterprises that manage resources that are particularly relevant for the public good (such as electricity, water, and oil). While these public corporations can operate independently, in some cases the government will provide direct support by making transfers to these corporations, including to subsidize capital investment and operating expenses.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all transfers to public corporations and a narrative discussing the purposes of these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all transfers to public corporations are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, transfers to public corporations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “c” also applies if estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented as a single line item. Answer “d” applies if no estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented.

Please provide in the comments a list of all known public corporations.

Answer:
b. Yes, estimates of all transfers to public corporations are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Page 235 (marked 39 in the document)

Comment:
The total estimates for transfers in the EBP for FY 2021 is D3.7 billion. The EBP do present transfer to public corporations but this does not come with a narrative explanation.
38. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year? (The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity and the intended beneficiaries.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 38 focuses on quasi-fiscal activities, asking whether “core” information related to such activities is presented. These core components include:

- A statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity (i.e., what is the reason for engaging in this activity?);
- The identification of intended beneficiaries of the quasi-fiscal activity.

The term “quasi-fiscal activities” refers to a broad range of activities that are fiscal in character and could be carried out through the regular budget process but are not. For example, a quasi-fiscal activity could take place if, instead of providing a direct subsidy through the budget for a particular activity, a public financial institution provides an indirect subsidy by offering loans at below-market rates for that activity. Similarly, it is a quasi-fiscal activity when an enterprise provides goods or services at prices below commercial rates to certain individuals or groups to support the government’s policy goals.

The above examples are policy choices that may be approved by the government and legislature. However, quasi-fiscal activities can also involve activities that violate or circumvent a country’s budget process laws or are not subject to the regular legislative approval process for expenditures. For example, the executive may issue an informal order to a government entity, such as a public commercial enterprise, to provide the executive with goods and services that normally would have to be purchased with funding authorized by the legislature. All quasi-fiscal activities should be disclosed to the public and subject to public scrutiny.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about quasi-fiscal activities, including for example: the anticipated duration of the quasi-fiscal activity; a quantification of the activity and the assumptions that support these estimates; and a discussion of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the activity, including the impact on the entity carrying out the activity. Principle 3.3.2 of the IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) provides examples of quasi-fiscal activities that can be consulted as needed. And more details on quasi-fiscal activities can be found in the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to all quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to quasi-fiscal activities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on quasi-fiscal activities.

If quasi-fiscal activities do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark “e.” However, please exercise caution in answering this question.

Answer:
d. No, information related to quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.

Source:
2021 Executive budget
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The EBP does not include information on quasi-fiscal activities.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

39. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government for at least the budget year? (The core information must include a listing of the assets, and an estimate of their value.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 39 focuses on financial assets held by the government, asking whether “core” information related to these assets is presented. These core components include:

- A listing of the financial assets; and
- An estimate of their value.

Governments own financial assets such as cash, bonds, or equities. Unlike private sector businesses, however, few governments maintain balance sheets that
show the value of their assets and liabilities.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about financial assets, including for example: a discussion of their purpose; historical information on defaults; differences between reported values and market values; and a summary of financial assets as part of the government's balance sheet.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to all financial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to financial assets is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on financial assets held by the government.

Answer:

- d. No, information related to financial assets is not presented.

Source:

2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:

Financial assets of the government and the estimate of their values are not presented in the 2021 EBP. The only information presented on government assets are that of estimates of expenditure on fixed assets.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

40. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on nonfinancial assets held by the government for at least the budget year? (The core information must include a listing of the assets by category.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 40 focuses on nonfinancial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. The core information is a listing of nonfinancial assets, grouped by the type (or category) of asset.

Nonfinancial assets are things of value that the government owns or controls (excluding financial assets) such as land, buildings, and machinery. The valuation of public nonfinancial assets can be problematic, particularly in cases where the asset is not typically available on the open market (such as a government monument). In these cases, it is considered acceptable to provide summary information in budget documents from a country's register of assets. But, in some cases, governments are able to value their nonfinancial assets; some present a summary of nonfinancial assets as part of their balance sheets. For an example of how nonfinancial assets are presented in one of the many supporting documents to the New Zealand Executive's Budget Proposal, see the Forecast Financial Statement 2011, Notes to the Financial Statements (Continued), Note 14, accessible here: https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2011-05/befu11-pt6of8.pdf

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year a listing by category of all nonfinancial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to nonfinancial assets is presented, but some nonfinancial assets are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on nonfinancial assets held by the government.

Answer:

- d. No, information related to nonfinancial assets is not presented.

Source:

2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:

Non-financial assets owned by the government are not presented in the EBP.
41. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure arrears for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:** Question 41 asks about estimates of expenditure arrears, which arise when government has entered into a commitment to spend funds but has not made the payment when it is due. (For more information see sections 3.49-3.50 of the IMF’s GFS Manual 2001, http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf (page 29)). Though equivalent to borrowing, this liability is often not recorded in the budget, making it difficult to assess fully a government’s financial position. Moreover, the obligation to repay this debt affects the government’s ability to pay for other activities.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all expenditure arrears and a narrative discussing the arrears. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all expenditure arrears are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, expenditure arrears (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no estimates of expenditure arrears are presented. Answer “d” also applies if information is only available for the changes in arrears, and not the stock or balance of arrears.

If expenditure arrears do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark “e.” However, please exercise caution in answering this question. Public expenditure management laws and regulations often will allow for reasonable delays, perhaps 30 or 60 days, in the routine payment of invoices due. Expenditure arrears impacting a small percentage of expenditure that are due to contractual disputes should not be considered a significant problem for the purpose of answering this question.

**Answer:**

b. Yes, estimates of all expenditure arrears are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

**Source:**

2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Budget Overview
Page 2

**Comment:**

The total estimates of “arrears & guarantees” for FY 2021 in the EBP stood at D417m. The estimates for arrears are guaranteed but not supported with a narrative justification.

42. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities, such as government loan guarantees or insurance programs, for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability; the new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments (the gross exposure) at the end of the budget year.)

**GUIDELINES:** Question 42 focuses on contingent liabilities, asking whether “core” information related to these liabilities is presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability;
- the new contingent liabilities for the budget year, such as new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and
- the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments at the end of the budget year. This reflects the gross exposure of the government in the case that all guarantees or commitments come due (even though that may be unlikely to occur).

Contingent liabilities are recognized under a cash accounting method only when the contingent event occurs and the payment is made. An example of such...
liabilities is the case of loans guaranteed by the central government, which can include loans to state-owned banks and other state-owned commercial enterprises, subnational governments, or private enterprises. Under such guarantees, government will only make a payment if the borrower defaults. Thus a key issue for making quantitative estimates of these liabilities is assessing the likelihood of the contingency occurring.

In the budget, according to the OECD, “[w]here feasible, the total amount of contingent liabilities should be disclosed and classified by major category reflecting their nature; historical information on defaults for each category should be disclosed where available. In cases where contingent liabilities cannot be quantified, they should be listed and described.”

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about contingent liabilities, including for example: historical default rates for each program, and likely default rates in the future; the maximum guarantee that is authorized by law; any special financing associated with the guarantee (e.g., whether fees are charged; whether a reserve fund exists for the purpose of paying off guarantees, etc.); the duration of each guarantee; and an estimate of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the guarantees.


To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to contingent liabilities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to contingent liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on contingent liabilities.

Answer:
c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some contingent liabilities.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Recurrent & Development Budget - Loans, Grants and GLF
Page 122
Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure, Page 72 (marked 193)
Expenditure Budget Overview by Item page 121 (marked 12)

Comment:
The EBP does not present core information on contingent liabilities. The EBP under Recurrent and Expenditure for all funds presented the total estimates for “contingency” and “other charges which is estimated for FY 2021 at D361m.

Government "Arrears and Guarantees" is estimated at D-417m for FY 2021. Under the development budget, the EBP highlights the "contingency" and "other charges". For example, the "contingency" and "other charges" for the Islamic Development Bank funded program is estimated at D8.4m, D29.9m for Kuwaiti Fund for Economic Development (KFAED), D10m for the Saudi Fund For Development (SFD) etc.

Payroll contingency for FY 2021 is estimated at D200m, but information on where the money would be spent is not presented.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

43. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present projections that assess the government’s future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer term?

(The core information must cover a period of at least 10 years and include the macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used and a discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 43 focuses on government’s future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer-term, asking whether “core” information related to these issues is presented. These core components must include:

- Projections that cover a period of at least 10 years.
- The macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used in making the projections.
- A discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections. Good public financial management calls for budgets to include fiscal sustainability analyses.
The IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) recommends that governments regularly publish the projected evolution of the public finances over the longer term (see Principle 3.1.3.). Future liabilities are a particularly important element when assessing the sustainability of public finances over the long term. Future liabilities are the result of government commitments that, unlike contingent liabilities, are virtually certain to occur at some future point and result in an expenditure. A typical example consists of government obligations to pay pension benefits or cover health care costs of future retirees. Under a cash accounting system, only current payments associated with such obligations are recognized in the budget. To capture the future impact on the budget of these liabilities, a separate statement is required.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about the sustainability of their finances, including for example: projections that cover 20 or 30 years; multiple scenarios with different sets of assumptions; assumptions about other factors (such as the depletion of natural resources) that go beyond just the core macroeconomic and demographic data; and a detailed presentation of particular programs that have long time horizons, such as civil service pensions.

For more details on future liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of government finances over the longer term as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to future liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on future liabilities and the sustainability of government’s finances.

Answer:
d. No, information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of finances over the longer term is not presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
Future liabilities and projected evolution of public finances for 10 years period or more are not presented in the executive budget proposal.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

44. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 44 asks about estimates of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind assistance. Such assistance is considered non-tax revenue, and the sources of this assistance should be explicitly identified. In terms of in-kind assistance, the concern is primarily with the provision of goods (particularly those for which there is a market that would allow goods received as in-kind aid to be sold, thereby converting them into cash) rather than with in-kind aid like advisors from a donor country providing technical assistance.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all donor assistance and a narrative discussing the assistance. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all donor assistance are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, donor assistance (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “c” also applies if the sources of donor assistance are not presented, but the total amount of donor assistance is presented as a single line item. Answer “d” applies if no estimates of donor assistance are presented. Select answer “e” if your country does not receive donor assistance.

Answer:
b. Yes, estimates of all sources of donor assistance are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
Donor Funding of Projects
Page 79 - 120

Comment:
The EBP outlines the sources of donor funding project from page 79-120 for each department and program that is being funded including the donor’s name. For example, the European Union is supporting the Ministry of Justice to implement “Strengthening Human Rights Standards” project worth D4.7m as reported on page 80 of the EBP.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

45. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on tax expenditures for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each tax expenditure, the intended beneficiaries, and an estimate of the revenue foregone.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 45 focuses on tax expenditures, asking whether “core” information related to these tax preferences is presented. These core components must include for both new and existing tax expenditures:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale;
- a listing of the intended beneficiaries; and
- an estimate of the revenue foregone.

Tax expenditures arise as a result of exceptions or other preferences in the tax code provided for specified entities, individuals, or activities. Tax expenditures often have the same impact on public policy and budgets as providing direct subsidies, benefits, or goods and services. For example, encouraging a company to engage in more research through a special tax break can have the same effect as subsidizing it directly through the expenditure side of the budget, as it still constitutes a cost in terms of foregone revenues. However, expenditure items that require annual authorization are likely to receive more scrutiny than tax breaks that are a permanent feature of the tax code.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about tax expenditures, including for example: the intended beneficiaries by sector and income class (distributional impact); a statement of the estimating assumptions, including the definition of the benchmark against which the foregone revenue is measured; and a discussion of tax expenditures as part of a general discussion of expenditures for those program areas that receive both types of government support (in order to better inform policy choices). For more details on tax expenditures, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget ([http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf](http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf)) and Principle 1.1.4 of the IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) ([https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml](https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml)).

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, all of the core information related to tax expenditures as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to tax expenditures is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on tax expenditures.

Answer:
d. No, information related to tax expenditures is not presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
The Executive Budget Proposal does not include information on tax expenditures and their intended beneficiaries. Although the government provide tax relief or exemption for certain individuals or businesses, however, the policy rationale of this and the intended beneficiaries are not recorded in the EBP.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
46. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of earmarked revenues for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 46 asks about estimates of earmarked revenues, which are revenues that may only be used for a specific purpose (for example, revenues from a tax on fuel that can only be used for building roads). This information is important in determining which revenues are available to fund the government’s general expenses, and which revenues are reserved for particular purposes.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all earmarked revenues and a narrative discussing the earmarks. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all earmarked revenues are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, earmarked revenues (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no estimates of earmarked revenues are presented. An “e” response applies if revenue is not earmarked or the practice is disallowed by law or regulation.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of earmarked revenues are not presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
Revenues that are earmarked to fund specific government programs or policies with narratives are not presented in the executive budget proposal.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

47. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government’s policy goals for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government’s policy goals. The budget is the executive’s main policy document, the culmination of the executive’s planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget — that is, an explicit explanation of how the government’s policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government’s policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand’s 2011 Statement of Intent (http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive’s Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are “translated” into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government’s policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals.

Answer:
c. Yes, information that shows how the proposed budget is linked to some but not all of the government’s policy goals for the budget year is presented.

Source:
National Development Plan 2018-2021
https://moefa.gm/rdp
Laying of the 2021 budget Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
According to the Minister of Finance during the “Laying of the 2021 Executive Budget Proposal”, “the central premise of the 2021 budget is to build forward better in the era of COVID-19” which is reflected in the EBP. For Example, the Ministry of Health received the biggest budget allocation (D2.9b) compared to its previous two years allocations. The Ministry also received new budget support from the European Union amounting to D10m to implement the project called “Reduce the impact of COVID-19 Pandemic in The Gambia”, a new program for the ministry.

Strengthening the health system is part of the country's blueprint "National Development Plan” and as well a priority in the countries strategic plan.

48. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government’s policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government’s policy goals. The budget is the executive’s main policy document, the culmination of the executive’s planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government’s policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government’s policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand’s 2011 Statement of Intent (http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive’s Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are “translated” into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government’s policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals.

**Answer:**
d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals for a multi-year period is not presented.

**Source:**
2021 Executive Budget Proposal
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**
The Executive Budget Proposal does not present the link between the national budget and the government’s policy goals for multiple years.

49. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on inputs to be acquired for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 49 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on inputs for the budget year. (Nonfinancial data on outputs and outcomes are addressed in Question 50.)
The budget should disclose not only the amount of money that is being allocated on a program but also any information needed to analyze that expenditure. Nonfinancial data and performance targets associated with budget proposals are used to assess the success of a given policy. For example, even when allocated funds are spent according to plan, there remains the question of whether the policy delivered the results that it aimed to achieve.

Nonfinancial data can include information on:

Inputs - These are the resources assigned to achieve results. For example, in regards to education, nonfinancial data on inputs could include the number of books to be provided to each school or the materials to be used to build or refurbish a school.

Outputs - These are products and services delivered as a result of inputs. For example, the number of pupils taught every year; the number of children that received vaccines; or the number of beneficiaries of a social security program.

Outcomes - These are the intended impact or policy goals achieved. For example, an increase in literacy rates among children under 10, or a reduction in rates of maternal mortality.

In addition, governments that set performance targets must use nonfinancial data for outputs and outcomes to determine if these targets have been met.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program is organized by functions. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on inputs for all administrative units or all functions, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on inputs are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on inputs is presented.

Answer:

d. No, nonfinancial data on inputs are not presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
EBP does not present non-financial data on inputs.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

50. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on results (in terms of outputs or outcomes) for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 50 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 49).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on results for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on results for each individual program is organized by functional classification. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on results for all administrative units or all functional classifications, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on results are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on results is presented.

Answer:

d. No, nonfinancial data on results are not presented.

Source:
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

Comment:
Non-financial data do not form part of the executive's budget proposal
51. Are performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 51 asks about performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. The question applies to those nonfinancial results shown in the budget, and that were identified for purposes of Question 50.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to all nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget for at least the budget year. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to a majority (but not all) of the nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget. A “c” response applies performance targets are assigned only to less than half of the nonfinancial data on results. Answer “d” applies if no performance targets are assigned to nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget, or the budget does not present nonfinancial results.

**Answer:**
d. No, performance targets are not assigned to nonfinancial data on results, or the budget does not present nonfinancial data on results.

**Source:**
2021 Executive Budget Proposal

**Comment:**
Non-financial data and its performance targets are not presented in the executive's budget proposal

52. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations in at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 52 asks whether the budget highlight policies, both new and existing, that benefit the poorest segments of society. This question is intended to assess only those programs that directly address the immediate needs of the poor, such as through cash assistance programs or the provision of housing, rather than indirectly, such as through a stronger national defense. This information is of particular interest to those seeking to bolster government’s commitment to anti-poverty efforts. For purposes of answering this question, a departmental budget (such for the Department of Social Welfare) would not be considered acceptable. In general, this question is asking whether the EBP includes a special presentation that pulls together estimates of all the relevant policies in one place. However, if the country uses “program budgeting,” where programs are presented as expenditure categories with specific and identified objectives, and it identifies anti-poverty programs within each administrative unit, then that is also acceptable for this question.

The IBP Budget Brief, “How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget’s Impact on Poverty and Inequality?” (https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf) includes a discussion of countries that have provided information on how its policies affect the poor.

For instance, Pakistan provides a detailed breakdown of pro-poor expenditure as part of its 2017-18 budget proposal. In one document, the government sets out policy priorities, expected outputs, and estimates of past and future spending for several programs aimed at poverty alleviation. Another supporting document provides a comprehensive overview of ongoing policies, including a chapter on social safety nets, covering both financial and performance information of poverty alleviation schemes over a period of eight years. (http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtb_2018_21.pdf and http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey_1718.html).

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must for at least the budget year both present estimates covering all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations and include a narrative discussion that specifically addresses these policies. (For countries using program budgeting that breaks out individual anti-poverty programs, there should be a separate narrative associated with each such program.) Answer “b” if a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented. Answer “c” if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” if no estimates of policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented.
53. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for formulating the Executive’s Budget Proposal (that is, a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget’s formulation)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 53 asks about the budget timetable. An internal timetable is particularly important for the executive’s management of the budget preparation process, in order to ensure that the executive accounts for the views of the different departments and agencies in the proposed budget. The timetable would, for instance, set deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget’s formulation. So that civil society is aware of the various steps in the budget formulation process, and when opportunities may exist to engage the executive, it is essential that this timetable be made available to the public.

To answer “a,” the executive must prepare a detailed budget timetable and release it to the public. A “b” answer applies if the timetable is made public, but some details are not included. A “c” response applies if the timetable is made public, but many important details are excluded, reducing its value for those outside government. Answer “d” applies if no timetable is made available to the public. As long as a timetable for formulating the Executive’s Budget Proposal is released, answer “a,” “b,” or “c” may be selected, even if the Executive’s Budget Proposal is not made publicly available.

**Answer:**

d. No, a timetable is not issued to the public.

**Source:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**
The Ministry of Finance does not publish its budget timetable to the public. The budget formulation timetable is only available for internal use only for line ministries and other departments.

---

54. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 54 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether “core” information related to the economic
assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short- and long-term interest rates; the rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Source:
Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework 2020-2024
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy
Page 12 - Real GDP Growth
Page 8 - Nominal GDP Level
Page 41 - Interest rates

Comment:
The Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework 2020-2024 present macroeconomic forecast for upon which budget projection for a short term as based. The document present information on real GDP growth, inflation rate, nominal GDP and interest rates.

Real GDP growth projection decreased from 6.4% in 2020 to 5.4% in 2021 which is expected to slightly increase to 5.6% in 2022. Going down to 2024, real GDP growth is projected to go down to 4.4%. The Nominal GDP level is also projected at 11.2% in 2021 with the curve going down to 10.7% in 2022, 10.4% in 2023 and 9.4% in 2024.

The Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework 2020-2024 projected that interest rates from 2020-2024 "are reflective of stable inflation around 5 percent in the medium-term..." Interest rates are projected to slightly decrease from D3.198 billion in 2020 to D3.063 billion in 2021 and ultimately at D2.846 billion in 2024.

The macroeconomic forecast for inflation rate for the medium term is pretty stable registering 5.6% in 2019, 5.0% in 2020, 4.8% for 2021 and 2022 and 4.7% for 2023 and 2024.

The Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework 2020-2024 also present some information beyond the core components as it presents economic outlook both for domestic and global.

55. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government’s expenditure policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities and an estimate of total expenditures.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 55 focuses on the government’s expenditure policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total expenditures.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed programmatic proposals (such detailed information is typically only presented in the budget itself), it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least total expenditures associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can include some detail, for instance, estimates provided by any of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative,
economic, and functional classifications.

To answer “a,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government’s expenditure policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to the government’s expenditure policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information on the government’s expenditure policies and priorities is presented.

Answer:
c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:
Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework 2020-2024
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy
3.3 National Development Plan Priorities and Reforms

Comment:
The Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework 2020-2024 includes discussion on the link between the National Development Plan (NDP) 2018-2021 and the medium term fiscal framework under “3.3 National Development Plan Priorities and Reforms.” The document explains that “expenditure projections in the MTEFF for 2020–2024 (Section 4) reflect the eight key strategic priorities to achieve the goal of the NDP 2018–2021 which is to: deliver good governance and accountability, social cohesion, and national reconciliation and a revitalized and transformed economy for the wellbeing of all Gambians”

The MTEFF present expenditures as it relates to the NDP only for the year 2019.

56. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government’s revenue policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of revenue policies and priorities and an estimate of total revenues.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 56 focuses on the government’s revenue policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether “core” information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- a discussion of revenue policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total revenue.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed revenue proposals, it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least the total revenue associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can also include more detail, for instance, with estimates provided by revenue category – tax and non-tax – or some of the major individual sources of revenue, such as the Value Added Tax or the income tax.

To answer “a,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government’s revenue policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to the government’s revenue policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information on the government’s revenue policies and priorities is presented.

Answer:
c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:
Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework 2020-2024
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy
3.2 Improving Tax Revenue Mobilization
Table 8: Revenue projects (in GMD million)

**Comment:**
The MTEFF includes government policies in maximizing domestic revenue collection for the medium term which includes measures to be enforced by the Gambia Revenue Authority (GRA).

Revenue forecast is presented from 2018-2024 and disaggregated by Domestic Revenue (tax and non-tax), grants, budget support, and projects. For example, domestic revenue collection is projected at D15.5 billion in 2021 which is expected to increase in the upcoming budget year (FY 2022) to D17.5 billion and D22 billion in 2024.

The core elements missing in the MTEFF is how revenue collection is related to government policies and priorities.

---

57. Does Pre-Budget Statement present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 57 asks whether the Pre-Budget Statement includes three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:*

- the amount of net new borrowing needed in the upcoming budget year;
- the central government’s total debt burden at the end of the upcoming budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the upcoming budget year.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer “a,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt for at least the upcoming budget year. For a “b” answer, the Pre-Budget Statement must present two of those three estimates. For a “c” answer, the PBS must present one of the three estimates. Answer “d” applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the PBS.

**Answer:**
d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

**Source:**
Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework 2020-2024
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

**Comment:**
The MTEFF document does not present information on government borrowing for the upcoming year, the total debt burden and the interest payments for outstanding debt for the upcoming year.

---

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
58. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present estimates of total expenditures for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 58 asks about multi-year expenditure estimates in the Pre-Budget Statement.
To answer “a,” expenditure estimates for at least two years beyond the upcoming budget year must be presented. The estimates must be for at least total expenditures, but could include more detail than just the aggregate total.

Answer:
a. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented.

Source:
Medium Term Economic Fiscal Framework 2020-2024
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy
Table 11: Expenditure projections (in GMD million)

Comment:
Expenditure projections beyond the budget year are presented up to 2024 and the are disaggregated by current and capital expenditures.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

59. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 59 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer “a,” the Enacted Budget must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” expenditure estimates must be presented by two of the three classifications. A “c” answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:
a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:
Approved Budget 2021
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Expenditure Budget Overview by Item
Departmental Overviews
Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure

Comment:
The Enacted Budget for FY 2021 presents all the three expenditure classifications.
59b. Based on the response to Question 59, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Enacted Budget:

Answer:
Administrative classification
Economic classification
Functional classification

Source:
Approved Budget 2021
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Expenditure Budget Overview by Item
Departmental Overviews
Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure

Comment:
All of the 3 classifications are presented.

60. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:
Question 60 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Enacted Budget must present all programs, which account for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer “b,” the Enacted Budget must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A “c” answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:
a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures.

Source:
Approved Budget 2021
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Development Budget Detailed Estimates of Expenditure

Comment:
Expenditures for individual programs for each budget entity are presented in the Enacted Budget. Programs budgeted for all the line ministries or departments and the source of financing are outlined in the EB. For example, under the Ministry of Agriculture, the “Building Resilience Against Food and Nutrition Insecurity” program has been allocated D68.2 million.
61. Does the Enacted Budget present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 61 asks whether revenue estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by “category”—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer “a,” the Enacted Budget must present revenue estimates classified by category.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents revenue estimates by category.

**Source:**
Approved Budget 2021
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Receipts of Revenue, Grants and Loans

**Comment:**
The enacted budget classifies source of revenue into tax and non-tax. Thus, revenue estimates are presented by category.

---

62. Does the Enacted Budget present individual sources of revenue?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 62 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Enacted Budget. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer “a,” the Enacted Budget must present all individual sources of revenue, and “other” or “miscellaneous” revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer “b,” the Enacted Budget must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A “c” answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue that account for less than two-thirds of revenues. Answer “d” applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue.

**Source:**
Approved Budget 2021
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Receipts of Revenue, Grants and Loans
Detailed Revenue Estimates by Collecting Agency

**Comment:**
Individual sources of revenue accounting for revenues from tax, non-tax (administrative fees, grants, loans, capital revenues) are reported in the EB. In addition, under "Detailed Revenue Estimates by Collecting Agency" revenues estimates to be collected are classified under the unit/ministry responsible for the collection of such tax.

For example, GRA is expected to collect income tax of D2.3 billion for FY 2021 while the Ministry of Justice’s revenue collection is estimated at
63. Does the Enacted Budget present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 63 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:
- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year;
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Enacted Budget must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Enacted Budget must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:
- b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:
- Approved Budget 2021
- Budget Overview
- 2021 Budget Speech, page 12
- https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Net Lending in the EB registers 0.01% nominal GDP while debt interest payment (domestic and external) estimates is D3 billion for FY 2021.

Although the 2021 EB does not show the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year, however, the 2021 Budget Speech delivered by the Minister of Finance at the National Assembly on December 4th 2020, which is publicly available reports that the total debt stock as of September 2020 stood at D67.6 billion which is 71% of the country’s GDP. Of which D32.1 billion is domestic debt, while external debt is D35.5 billion.
64. What information is provided in the Citizens Budget?

(The core information must include expenditure and revenue totals, the main policy initiatives in the budget, the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based, and contact information for follow-up by citizens.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 64 focuses on the content of the Citizens Budget, asking whether "core" information is presented. These core components include:

- expenditure and revenue totals;
- the main policy initiatives in the budget;
- the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based; and
- contact information for follow-up by citizens.

To answer "a," the Citizens Budget or supporting documentation must present all of the above core information as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Citizens Budget must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if the Citizens Budget includes some of the core components above, but other core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if a Citizens Budget is not published.

Answer:
b. The Citizens Budget provides the core information.

Source:
Citizen’s Budget 2020
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
Section 1 - Introduction
ECONOMIC SECTOR

Comment:
The Citizen’s Budget 2020 includes provides core information covering total expenditure and revenue estimates, other policy actions, global macroeconomic forecast and contact information for citizens. Beyond the core information are definition of budget terminologies that are not easy to understand by ordinary citizens.

Section 1 of the CB also defines what a Budget and a Citizen Budget is and as well outlines the budget process from drafting, implementation and reporting identifying the responsible parties. The CB also identifies beneficiaries of certain social spendings. For example, under Fisheries and Water Resources, the regions of NBR, CRR, URR, LRR, WCR & KMC will benefit from the construction of sanitation facilities for Lower, Upper & Senior Secondary Schools

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

65. How is the Citizens Budget disseminated to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 65 asks how the Citizens Budget is disseminated to the public. Citizens Budgets should be made available to a variety of audiences. Therefore paper versions and an Internet posting of a document might not be sufficient.

To answer "a," the executive must use three or more different types of creative media tools to reach the largest possible share of the population, including those who otherwise would not normally have access to budget documents or information. Dissemination would also be pursued at the very local level, so that the coverage is targeted both by geographic area and population group (e.g., women, elderly, low income, urban, rural, etc.). Option "b" applies if significant dissemination efforts are made through a combination of two means of communications, for instance, both posting the Citizens Budget on the executive's official website and distributing printed copies of it. Option "c" applies if the Citizens Budget is disseminated through only posting on the executive’s official website. Option "d" applies when the executive does not publish a Citizens Budget.

Answer:
b. A Citizens Budget is published by using at least two, but less than three, means of dissemination, but no other dissemination efforts are undertaken by the executive.
66. Has the executive established mechanisms to identify the public’s requirements for budget information prior to publishing the Citizen’s Budget?

GUIDELINES:
Question 66 asks whether the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public’s requirements for budget information before publishing a Citizen’s Budget. What the public wants to know about the budget might differ from the information the executive includes in technical documents that comprise the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget; similarly, different perspectives might exist on how the budget should be presented, and this may vary depending on the context. For this reason the executive should consult with the public on the content and presentation of the Citizen’s Budget.

To answer “a,” the executive must have established mechanisms to consult with the public, and these mechanisms for consultation are both accessible and widely used by the public. Such mechanisms can include focus groups, social networks, surveys, hotlines, and meetings/events in universities or other locations where people gather to discuss public issues. In countries where Citizen’s Budgets are consistently produced and released, it may be sufficient for the government to provide the public with contact information and feedback opportunities, and subsequently use the feedback to improve its management of public resources.

Option “b” applies if the executive has established mechanisms for consultation that are accessible to the public, but that the public nonetheless does not use frequently. That is, the public does not typically engage with the executive on the content of the Citizen’s Budget, even though the executive has created opportunities for such consultation. Option “c” applies if the executive has established mechanisms for consultation with the public, but they are poorly designed and thus not accessible to the public. Option “d” applies if the executive has not created any mechanisms to seek feedback from the public on the content of the Citizen’s Budget.

Answer:
c. Yes, the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public’s requirements for budget information in the Citizen’s Budget, but these mechanisms are not accessible.

Source:
Interview with Teslima Jallow, Programs Manager at Gambia Participates (CSO)

Comment:
The Executive through the Minister of Finance jointly prepared the CB 2020 with a civil society in The Gambia in order to represent what the citizens want to see in the CB. Although the process was not accessible to the public, however, the rationale was to include a civil society that is representing the people in the process.
budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle.

To answer “a,” a citizens version of at least one budget document is published for each of the four stages of the budget process (budget formulation, enactment, execution, and audit) — for a total of at least four citizens budget documents throughout the process. Option “b” applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least two of the four stages of the budget process. Option “c” applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least one of the four stages of the budget process. Select option “d” if no “citizens” version of budget documents is published.

Answer:
c. A citizens version of budget documents is published for at least one stage of the budget process.

Source:
Citizen’s Budget 2020
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Citizen version of the budget is only published for the EB.

68. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 68 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” actual expenditures must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if actual expenditures are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if actual expenditures are not presented by any of the three classifications in In-Year Reports.

Answer:
b. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:
January - February 2020 Expenditure Brief
Annex 1: Budget Absorption by BE for End February 2020
Table 2: Composition of Central Government Expenditure for February 2020 compared to Approved budget.
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
IYRs presents expenditures of Government Local Fund by two out of the three expenditure classifications. “Annex 1: Budget Absorption by BE for End February 2020” present administrative expenditures for all the budget entities as of February 2020 which totals to D2.9 billion.

For functional classification, the total expenditure as of February 2020 stood at D5.6m consuming 32% of its total budget allocation.
68b. Based on the response to Question 68, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the In-Year Reports:

Answer:
Administrative classification
Functional classification

Source:
January - February 2020 Expenditure Brief
Annex 1: Budget Absorption by BE for End February 2020
Table 2: Composition of Central Government Expenditure for February 2020 compared to Approved budget.
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
FY 2020 IYRs presents information on administrative and functional classification

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

69. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:
Question 69 asks if expenditure estimates in In Year Reports are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by program in In-Year Reports.

Answer:
d. No, the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by program.

Source:
January - February 2020 Expenditure Brief
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
FY 2020 IYRs does not present actual expenditure on individual programs.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
70. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date expenditures with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 70 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual expenditures to-date with either the enacted levels or actual expenditures for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date expenditures in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget’s forecast expenditures (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer “a,” comparisons must be made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports

Answer:
a. Yes, comparisons are made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:
January - February 2020 Expenditure Brief
Annex 1: Budget Absorption by BE for End February 2020
Table 2: Composition of Central Government Expenditure for February 2020 compared to Approved budget.
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
IYRs for FY 2020 compares actual expenditure on government local fund to the previous budget year and as well present information on the absorption rate of the approved budget. For example, the total capital expenditure for Jan-Feb 2020 was at D5.6m absorbing 32% of its approved budget (D1.7b).

The Ministry of Local Government and Lands spent D7.1m as of February 2020 compared to D8.5 for the same period in 2019.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

71. Do In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 71 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual revenues by “category”—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:
b. No, In-Year Reports do not present actual revenue by category.

Source:
January - February 2020 Expenditure Brief
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
IYRs published by the Ministry of Finance does not provide information on revenue collection.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: There are monthly revenue reports prepared by the Gambia Revenue Authority that are available to download through the Gambia Bureau of Statistics.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
72. Do In-Year Reports present the individual sources of revenue for actual revenues collected?

GUIDELINES:
Question 72 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual collections of individual sources of revenue (such as income taxes, VAT, etc.). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue collected, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue that account for less than two-thirds of all revenue collected. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of actual revenue are not presented.

Answer:
- d. No, In-Year Reports do not present individual sources of actual revenue.

Source:
January - February 2020 Expenditure Brief
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
IYRs published by the Ministry of Finance does not provide information on revenue collection.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: There are monthly revenue reports prepared by the Gambia Revenue Authority that are available to download through the Gambia Bureau of Statistics.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

---

73. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date revenues with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 73 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual revenues to-date with either the enacted levels or actual revenues for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date revenues in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast revenues (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer "a," comparisons must be made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Answer:
- b. No, comparisons are not made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:
January - February 2020 Expenditure Brief
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
IYRs does not provide information on revenue collection.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
74. Do In-Year Reports present three estimates related to actual government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing; the total debt outstanding; and interest payments?

GUIDELINES:
Question 74 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:
- the amount of net new borrowing so far during the year;
- the central government’s total debt burden at that point in the year; and
- the interest payments to-date on the outstanding debt.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a “b” answer, In-Year Reports must present two of those three estimates. For a “c” answer, IYRs must present one of the three estimates. Answer “d” applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in In-Year Reports.

Answer:
c. Yes, one of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:
2020 FIRST QUARTER STATISTICAL DEBT BULLETIN
https://mofea.gm/directorates/loans-and-debt-management
January - February 2020 Expenditure Brief
Table 1: Composition of Central Government Expenditure for End February 2020
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The IYRs only present information on the total debt payment for the period of reporting. For example, the total Debt Payment as of February 2020 is D587m. In a separate document called “2020 FIRST QUARTER STATISTICAL DEBT BULLETIN” published by the Ministry of Finance through “DIRECTORATE OF LOANS AND DEBT MANAGEMENT”, it presents the total debt outstanding for the budget year (both for domestic and external), interest payment on the outstanding debt and the net domestic borrowing.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

75. Do In-Year Reports present information related to the composition of the total actual debt outstanding?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 75 focuses on the composition of government debt, asking whether “core” information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:
- interest rates on the debt;
The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 74, domestic debt is held by a country’s citizens and banks and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country’s debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt to-date as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” In-Year Reports must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding in In-Year Reports.

Answer:
d. No, information related to composition of total actual debt outstanding is not presented.

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget
JANUARY-JULY 2020 EXPENDITURE BRIEF

Comment:
Information on the total actual debt outstanding is not presented in the IYRs.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

76. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 76 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must include an updated macroeconomic forecast and explain all of the differences between the initial forecast presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal and the updated forecast. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer “b,” the macroeconomic forecast must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated forecasts are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A “c” response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A “d” response applies if the macroeconomic forecast has not been updated.

Answer:
d. No, the estimates for macroeconomic forecast have not been updated.

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

Comment:
Mid-Year Reviews are not produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Mid Year reviews are produced by not published/uploaded at MOFEA website. The Macro Policy Analysis Unit at MoFEA produces the macro projections and the revisions of the macro projections at least four times a year. During 2020 GDP projections and assumptions were reviewed every month.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

77. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES: Question 77 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of expenditure for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must include updated expenditure estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. The expenditure estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A “c” response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated expenditure estimates, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A “d” response applies if the expenditure estimates have not been updated.

Answer:
d. No, expenditure estimates have not been updated.

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

Comment:
Mid-Year Reviews are not produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

78. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 78 asks if expenditure estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:
d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

Source:
78b. Based on the response to Question 78, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Mid-Year Review:

**Answer:**
None of the above

**Source:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

**Comment:**
Mid-Year Reviews are not produced.

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:

79. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway for individual programs?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 79 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by program for the budget year underway. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as *le plan comptable* or *le plan comptable d'état*. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer “b,” the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A “c” answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer ”d” applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Mid-Year Review.

**Answer:**
d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by program.

**Source:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

**Comment:**
Mid-Year Reviews are not produced.
80. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 80 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of revenue for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated revenue estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the revenue estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated revenue estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the revenue estimates have not been updated.

Answer:

d. No, revenue estimates have not been updated.

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

Comment:
Mid-Year Reviews are not produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: e. Not applicable/other (please comment).
Comments: Revenues are updated every quarter but not uploaded in the MOFEA website.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

IBP Comment
Response for Peer Reviewer: Given that the mid-year review is found not to be publicly available, then answer d applies.

81. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 81 asks whether revenue estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by "category"—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

b. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present revenue estimates by category.

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy
82. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 82 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway are presented in the Mid-Year Review. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenues, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Mid-Year Review.

**Answer:**

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present individual sources of revenue.

**Source:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

Comment:
Mid-Year Reviews are not produced.

83. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 83 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive’s Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- The central government’s total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- The interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive’s Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.
To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, and explain all of the differences between the initial estimates presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal (or Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the estimates of borrowing and debt must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the estimates of borrowing and debt have not been updated.

**Answer:**
d. No, estimates of government borrowing and debt have not been updated.

**Source:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

**Comment:**
Mid-Year Reviews are not produced.

---

84. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 84 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual expenditures for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all expenditures, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.

**Answer:**
d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for expenditures are not presented.

**Source:**
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**
Year-End Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

---

85. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 85 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. Answer “b” if expenditure estimates are presented by two of these three classifications. Answer “c” if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Year-End Report.

Answer:
d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End-Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

85b. Based on the response to Question 85, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Year-End Report:

Answer:
None of the above

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End-Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

86. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:
Question 86 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)
To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" if expenditures are not presented by program in the Year-End Report.

Answer:
d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

87. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?

GUIDELINES:
Question 87 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all revenues, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for revenues are not presented.

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

88. Does the Year-End Report present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 88 asks whether revenue estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by "category"—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.
To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:
b. No, the Year-End Report does not present revenue estimates by category.

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

89. Does the Year-End Report present individual sources of revenue?

GUIDELINES:

Question 89 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Year-End Report. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and “other” or “miscellaneous” revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. Answer “c” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer “d” if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer:
d. No, the Year-End Report does not present individual sources of revenue.

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

90. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 90 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates and the actual outcome for the fiscal year for borrowing and debt, including its composition, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive’s Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- the central government’s total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive’s Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

90b. Based on the response to Question 90, check the box(es) to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, have the differences between the original forecast and the actual outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:
None of the above

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

91. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?
GUIDELINES:
Question 91 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast in the Executive’s Budget Proposal. Core components include estimates of the nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates, although the importance of other macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil, can vary from country to country.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End-Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

91b. Based on the response to Question 91, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast have the differences between the original forecast and the outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:
None of the above

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End-Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

92. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:
Question 92 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.
Refer to Question 49 for the nonfinancial data on inputs included in the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

**d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are not presented.**

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End-Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

93. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 93 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 92).

Refer to Question 50 for the nonfinancial data on results included in the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

**d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are not presented.**

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End-Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

94. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are
intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 94 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 52 for assistance to the most impoverished populations in the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between the enacted level for some but not all of the policies that are intended to benefit the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted level for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End-Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

95. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 95 asks whether the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 33 for estimates of extra-budgetary funds in the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome is not presented.

Source:
January-December 2019 Expenditure Report
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
Year-End-Report published by the Ministry of Finance does not meet the OBS requirement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
96. Is a financial statement included as part of the Year-End Report or released as a separate report?

GUIDELINES:
Question 96 asks whether a financial statement is included as part of the Year-End Report, or whether it is released as a separate report. The financial statement can include some or all of the following elements: a cash flow statement, an operating statement, a balance sheet, and notes on accounting. For purposes of responding to this question, the financial statement in question does not need to be audited. For an example of a financial statement, see the document "Financial Statements of the Government of New Zealand 2013" (https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2013-10/fsgnz-year-jun13.pdf)

To answer “a,” a financial statement must either be included in the Year-End Report or must be released as a separate report. Answer “a” applies if a financial statement is released as a separate report, even if the Year-End Report is not publicly available. Answer “b” applies if no financial statement is released either as part of the Year-End Report or as a separate report.

Answer:
a. Yes, a financial statement is part of the Year-End Report or is released as a separate report.

Source:
https://www.cbg.gm/financial-statements

Comment:
Financial Statement for FY 2019 is published as a standalone document, available on the Central Bank’s website.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

97. What type of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) has the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) conducted and made available to the public?

GUIDELINES:
Question 97 asks about the types of audits conducted by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). There are three basic types of audits:

- Financial audits are intended to determine if an entity’s financial information is accurate (free from errors or fraud) and presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting and regulatory framework. See ISSAI 200 (http://www.issai.org/issai-framework/3-fundamental-auditing-principles.htm) for more detail.
- Compliance audits look at the extent to which the relevant regulations and procedures have been followed. See ISSAI 400 (https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-400-compliance-audit-principles/) for more details.
- Performance audits assess whether activities are adhering to the principles of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness. See ISSAI 300 (https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-300-performance-audit-principles/) for more details.

Financial and compliance audits are more common than performance audits, which usually occur only once a performance framework has been agreed upon. In some countries, the SAI’s mandate limits the type of audit it can conduct.

To answer “a,” the SAI must have conducted all three types of audit — financial, compliance, and performance — and made all of them available to the public. A “b” response applies if the SAI has conducted two of the three audit types, and a “c” applies if it has conducted only one type of audit. Answers “b” and “c” may be selected even if the Audit Report is not publicly available, as long as the SAI has conducted compliance or performance audits and made them available to the public. A “d” response applies if the SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

Answer:
b. The SAI has conducted two of the three types of audits, and made them available to the public.

Source:
Interview with Baba Drammeh, Principal Auditor, National Audit Office
https:// nao.gm/audit-reports/
Comment:
According to the Principal Auditor at the National Audit Office, the SAI conducts "Regulatory Audit" which includes both Financial and Compliance audit as one consolidated document. NAO has also conducted Performance Audits and they are made available to the public.

Thus, two out of the three types of audit are conducted by the SAI.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

98. What percentage of expenditures within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:
Question 98 focuses on the coverage of audits by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), asking what percentage of expenditures within the SAI's mandate has been audited.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to budgetary central government (ministries, departments, and agencies) that are within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 99 addresses audits of extra-budgetary funds.) Further, the question does not apply to "secret programs" (for example, security-related expenditures that are confidential). Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. Financial audits and compliance audits, or a hybrid of the two, can be taken into account to answer this question. Performance audits should not be considered for this question.

To answer "a," all expenditures within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if at least two-thirds, but not all, expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response is appropriate when less than two-thirds of expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies when no expenditures have been audited.

Answer:
d. No expenditures have been audited.

Source:
Interview with Baba Drammeh, Principal Auditor, National Audit Office
https://nao.gm/audit-reports/

Comment:
The constitution of the Gambia gives the National Audit Office the power to audit all public institutions. However, according to the Principal Auditor at NAO, they are unable to audit all government MDAs and State-owned Enterprises due to "capacity" and resource gap.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

99. What percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:
Question 99 focuses on audits of extra-budgetary funds, asking what percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited. These funds, although technically outside the budget, are governmental in nature and thus should be subject to the same audit requirement as other government programs.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 98 addresses audits of budgetary central government.) Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then
those audits count for purposes of this question.

To answer "a," all extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies if extra-budgetary funds have not been audited.

Answer:
d. No extra-budgetary funds have been audited.

Source:
Interview with Baba Drammeh, Principal Auditor, National Audit Office
https://nao.gm/audit-reports/

Comment:
NAO do conduct audit on extra budgetary funds according that are not included in the enacted budget but not all extra budgetary funds are audited by NAO. Principal Auditor highlighted that extra-budgetary funds like that of the Covid-19 emergency funds that do not form part of the budget are being audited.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

100. Does the annual Audit Report(s) prepared by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) include an executive summary?

GUIDELINES:
Question 100 asks whether the annual Audit Report includes an executive summary. Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. The Audit Report can be a fairly technical document, and an executive summary of the report's findings can help make it more accessible to the media and the public.

To answer "a," the Audit Report must include at least one executive summary summarizing the report's findings. Answer "b" applies if the Audit Report does not include an executive summary, or the Audit Report is not made publicly available.

Answer:
b. No, the annual Audit Report(s) does not include an executive summary.

Source:
https://nao.gm/audit-reports/

Comment:
The annual audit report gives a background of the audit conducted, the methodology employed and detailed findings with recommendations. "Executive Summary" are also included in the published Audit reports by NAO. However, the AR reviewed is published too late.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

101. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?

GUIDELINES:
Question 101 asks whether the executive reports to the public on the steps it has taken to address audit recommendations made by the Supreme Audit
Institution (SAI). The ultimate purpose of audits is to verify that the budget was executed in a manner consistent with existing law, and to hold the government accountable for this execution and its future improvement. The extent to which audits achieve the latter depends on whether there is adequate and timely follow-up on the recommendations provided in the SAI's audit reports.

To answer “a,” the executive must report publicly on the steps it has taken to address all audit findings. A “b” response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A “c” response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address audit finding, answer “a,” “b,” or “c” may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A “d” response applies if the executive does not report at all on its steps to address audit findings.

| Answer: d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings. |
| Source: Interview with Baba Drammeh, Principal Auditor, National Audit Office |
| https://nao.gm/audit-reports/ |
| Comment: The AR reviewed is published too late and thus considered "Not Publicly Available". |

Audit Reports are presented to the National Assembly for oversight and execution of audit recommendations. Though the executive is also responsible to comply and execute audit recommendations, however, the executive does not publish report indicating the mechanism they have taken in addressing audit recommendations.

| Peer Reviewer |
| Opinion: Agree |
| Government Reviewer |
| Opinion: |

102. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 102 asks whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or the legislature track actions by the executive to address audit recommendations. After audit results and recommendations are discussed and validated by the legislature, the executive is normally asked to take certain actions to address the audit findings. For accountability purposes, the public needs to be informed about the status of those actions, and steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. In addition to the executive reporting on its actions (see Question 101), the SAI and legislature — as the key oversight institutions — have a responsibility to keep the public informed by tracking the executive’s progress in addressing audit recommendations.*

To answer “a,” the SAI or legislature must report publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit findings. A “b” response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A “c” response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the SAI or legislature reports publicly on the steps the executive has taken, answer “a,” “b,” or “c” may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A “d” response applies if neither the SAI nor the legislature reports on the executive’s steps to address audit findings.

| Answer: d. No, neither the SAI nor legislature reports on steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. |
| Source: https://nao.gm/audit-reports/ |
| Comment: The AR reviewed is published too late and thus considered "Not Publicly Available" |

There is no specific report that been published either by the Legislature, nor the National Audit Office that informs the public how the Legislature or the SAI is tracking actions taken to address audit recommendations.

| Peer Reviewer |
| Opinion: Agree |
| Government Reviewer |
103. Is there an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) that conducts budget analyses for the budget formulation and/or approval process?

GUIDELINES:
Question 103 examines whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) exists that contributes budget analyses to the budget formulation and/or approval process. According to the Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions, adopted by the OECD Council in 2014, “independent fiscal institutions are publicly funded, independent bodies under the statutory authority of the executive or the legislature which provide non-partisan oversight and analysis of, and in some cases advice on, fiscal policy and performance”, and with “a forward-looking ex ante diagnostic task”. In practice, they come in two main forms:

- Parliamentary budget offices (also known as PBOs) such as the Congressional Budget Office in the United States (https://www.cbo.gov/), the Parliamentary Budget Office in South Africa (https://www.parliament.gov.za/parliamentary-budget-office), and the Center for Public Finance Studies in Mexico (Centro de Estudios de las Finanzas Publicas, http://www.cefp.gob.mx/); or
- Fiscal councils such as the Office for Budget Responsibility in the United Kingdom (https://obr.uk/) and the High Council for Public Finances in France (Haut Conseil des finances publiques, https://www.hcfp.fr/).


To answer “a,” there must be an IFI, and its independence must be set in law. In addition, it must have sufficient staffing and resources, including funding, to carry out its tasks. Answer “b” applies if an IFI exists, but either its independence is not set in law or its staffing and resources are insufficient to carry out its tasks. Answer “c” applies if an IFI exists, but its independence is not set in law and it lacks sufficient staffing and resources. Answer “d” applies if no IFI exists.

If the answer is “a,” “b,” or “c,” please specify in the comments the name and type of IFI that exists (e.g., parliamentary budget office or fiscal council). If the answer is “a” or “b,” identify the law that guarantees its independence, and provide evidence in support of the assessment of the adequacy of its staffing and resources. This can include the IFI’s total budget allocation over recent years, any press reports that discuss perceived funding shortfalls, assessments by international organizations, and/or information from interviews with staff of the IFI.

Answer:
d. No, there is no IFI.

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/internal-audit
https://mofea.gm/pension-law

Comment:
The Gambia does not have an Independent Fiscal Institution. The oversight institutions both from the executives and the legislature during the execution of the Budget is that of Internal Audit Directorate which is under the Ministry of Finance, Finance and Public Accounts Committee (FPAC) of the National Assembly which consist of 12 Parliamentarians and the National Audit Office.

Generally the Gambia is yet to have a legal tool that will establish and Independent Fiscal Institution.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
d. No, there is no IFI.

Comments: In the Gambia there is no IFI. The National Audit Office and the National Assembly produce reports after the end of the year. In the MOFEA is the Internal Audit Directorate in charge to oversees the correct use of funds through transparent procedures establishing auditors in different MDAs, but its activities are not related to budget formulation or process.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

104. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts?

GUIDELINES:
Question 104 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a role in producing the macroeconomic forecast (e.g., GDP growth, inflation, interest...
Some IFIs produce the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts used in the executive’s budget. In other cases, IFIs do not prepare their own independent forecasts, but rather produce an assessment of the official estimates, or provide an opinion on, or endorsement of, the government’s forecasts. Some others have no role at all in forecasting.

To answer "a," there must be an IFI that publishes both its own macroeconomic AND fiscal forecasts. Answer "b" applies if an IFI publishes its own macroeconomic OR fiscal forecast (but not both). Answer "c" applies if the IFI does not publish a macroeconomic or fiscal forecast, but rather publishes an assessment of the official forecasts produced by the executive and used in the budget. Choose option "d" if there is no IFI, or if there is an IFI that neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts for the budget.

Macroeconomic forecasts may include indicators relating to economic output and economic growth, inflation, and the labor market, amongst others. Fiscal forecasts may include estimates of revenues, expenditures, the budget balance, and debt. If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify which indicators and estimates are included in the forecasts and whether the forecast is used by government as the official forecast. If the answer is "c," please describe the nature and depth of the assessment (e.g., the length of the commentary, or whether it covers both economic and fiscal issues).

**Answer:**

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts produced by the executive.

**Source:**
https://mofea.gm/directorates/revenue-and-tax-policy

**Comment:**
The Gambia does not have an existing IFI. The Macroeconomic and Policy Analysis Unit under the Ministry of Finance is responsible for preparing macroeconomic projections but the unit does not perform the role of an IFI.

**105. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish its own costings of new policy proposals, to assess their impact on the budget?**

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 105 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a costing function that involves assessing the budgetary implications of new policy proposals for both revenues and expenditures, and if so, what kind of role it has. Many IFIs have a costing role, but with substantial diversity in the nature and extent of this work (von Trapp et al 2016, pp. 17-18 and Table 2). Some assess virtually all new policy proposals, while others cost only a selection of new policy proposals. Others only publish opinions on, or scrutinize the costings of, budget measures produced by the executive.

To answer "a," the IFI must publish its own costings of all (or virtually all) new policy proposals. Answer "b" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only for major new policy proposals – for instance, only those proposals that cost or save above a certain amount. Answer "c" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only on a limited number of proposals. This could occur, for instance, if the IFI lacked the capacity to assess proposals dealing with certain sectors. Instead of producing a cost estimate, it can also publish an assessment of the estimates produced by the executive. Answer "d" applies if there is no IFI; or if the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals or provide an assessment of the official costings of new policy proposals.

**Answer:**

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

**Source:**

**Comment:**
The Gambia does not have an existing Independent Fiscal Institution in The Gambia that does its own costing and assess implications of new/existing policy(ies) on the revenue and expenditure of the budget.
The National Assembly in its oversight role on the national budget assesses the feasibility of new programs in the national budget and make its discretion on whether to accept such proposals in the budget or not. The Finance and Public Accounts Committee of the National Assembly monitors budget execution and subpoena responsible institution, in some cases, the Minister of Finance to answer questions on the implementation of the national budget.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

106. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:
Question 106 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Almost all IFIs interact with the legislature in some form (von Trapp et al 2016, p. 18), but the intensity of the interaction varies. This question assesses this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the IFI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the IFI staff member in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). As evidence to support your answer, you can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the IFI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; and "c" for once or twice. Answer "d" should be selected if the head or a senior staff member of the IFI never took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature, or if there is no IFI.

Answer:
da. Never, or there is no IFI.

Source:

Comment:
There is no staff that consist of an Independent Fiscal Institution in The Gambia. However, the Minister of Finance and other ministers in several occasions are called by Parliament to testify on the execution of the National Budget.

For example, the Chairman of the Finance and Public Accounts Committee at the National Assembly in May 7th addressed the Minister of Finance on the virement of D700m sourced from the approved 2020 budget for Covid-19 emergency food support without parliament approval as "illegal” and "fraud".

Though there is no existing IFI in Gambia, however, the Minister of Finance and other Minister testifies at the National Assembly on the Budget when called.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

107. Does the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:
Question 107 asks whether the legislature debated budget policies prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal for the most recent budget year before the research cut-off date. In general, prior to discussing the Executive’s Budget Proposal for the coming year, the legislature should have an opportunity to review the government’s broad budget priorities and fiscal parameters. Often times this information is laid out in a Pre-Budget Statement, which the executive presents to the legislature for debate. (See Questions 54-58.)
A number of countries conduct a pre-budget debate in the legislature around six months before the start of the budget year. In some cases, they adopt laws that guide the upcoming budget, for example the Budget Guidelines Law in Brazil and the Spring Fiscal Policy Bill in Sweden. A pre-budget debate can serve two main purposes: 1) to allow the executive to inform the legislature of its fiscal policy intentions by presenting updated reports on its annual and medium-term budget strategy and policy priorities; and 2) to establish “hard” multi-year fiscal targets or spending ceilings, which the government must adhere to when preparing its detailed spending estimates for the upcoming budget year.

To answer a, the full legislature must debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.

Answer b applies if a legislative committee (but not the full legislature) debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, and approves recommendations for the budget. Option b also applies if, in addition to the action by the committee, the full legislature also debates budget policy in advance of the budget, but does not approve recommendations.

Answer c applies if the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but does not approve recommendations for the budget. Answer d applies if neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

In your comment, please indicate the dates of the budget debate, and if both the full legislature and a legislative committee held a debate. Note that a debate does not need to be open to the public, but a public record of the meeting or a public notice that the meeting occurred is required. In addition, please indicate whether the budget debate was focused on a Pre-Budget Statement published by the Executive. If the Executive did not publish a Pre-Budget Statement, then please indicate what served as the focus of the legislature’s debate (for instance, a report released by an IFI or some other institution).

**Answer:**
d. No, neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

**Source:**
Hon. Alagie Mbowe, Member of Parliament for Upper Saloum and Member of the Finance and Public Accounts Committee


**Comment:**
The Legislature debates on the government’s budget policy after the tabling of the EBP and not prior. After the EBP is presented to Parliament, the full Legislature before approving the budget debates on the governments fiscal plans ranging from proposed programs, revenue and expenditure etc.

In the most recent budget year, the Legislature before approving the 2021 EBP called various Ministers to defend their programs at the National Assembly.

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion:

108. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 108 examines how far in advance of the start of the most recent budget year the legislature receives the Executive’s Budget Proposal. International guidelines recommend that the Executive’s Budget Proposal should be submitted to the legislature far enough in advance to allow the legislature time to review it properly, or at least three months prior to the start of the fiscal year. (See, for instance, Principle 2.2.2 of the IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) [https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml].)

For the purposes of responding to this question, if — and only if — the most recent budget submission occurred later than usual as a result of a particular event, such as an election, please use a more normal year as the basis for the response. If, however, delays have been observed for more than one budget year, and the legislature has not received the Executive’s Budget Proposal in a timely manner on more than one occasion in the last three years, then “d” will be the appropriate answer.

To answer a, the legislature must receive the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least three months in advance of the start of the budget year. Answer b applies if the legislature receives the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year. Answer c applies if the legislature receives the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year. Answer d applies if the legislature does not receive the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least one month prior to the start of the budget year, or does not receive it at all.
109. When does the legislature approve the Executive's Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 109 examines when the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be approved by the legislature before the start of the fiscal year the budget proposal refers to. This gives the executive time to implement the budget in its entirety, particularly new programs and policies.

In some countries, the expenditure and revenue estimates of the Executive's Budget Proposal are approved separately; for purposes of this question, at least the expenditure estimates must be approved. Further, approval of the budget implies approval of the full-year budget, not just a short-term continuation of spending and revenue authority.

To answer “a,” the legislature must approve the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least one month before the start of the budget year. Answer “b” applies if the legislature approves the Executive’s Budget Proposal less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year. Answer “c” applies if the legislature approves the Executive’s Budget Proposal less than one month after the start of the budget year. Answer “d” applies if the legislature approves the Executive’s Budget Proposal more than one month after the start of the budget year, or does not approve the budget.

**Answer:**

b. The legislature approves the budget less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year.

**Source:**
Section 152(1) of the 1997 Constitution
https://gainako.com/mofea-finance-ministers-speech-on-tableing-of-2021-draft-budget/

Laying of 2021 Budget at the National Assembly Parliament https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

**Comment:**
By law, the Minister responsible for finance should table the budget at least one month before the upcoming budget year as codified in section 152(1) of the 1997 Constitution.

During the most recent budget year, the Minister for finance tabled the FY 2021 EBP to Parliament on 12th November 2020, one month ahead of the upcoming fiscal year.
110. Does the legislature have the authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 110 examines the legislature’s power to amend—as opposed to simply accept or reject—the budget proposal presented by the executive. This question is about legal authority rather than actions the legislature takes in practice. The legislature’s powers to amend the budget can vary substantially across countries.*

The “a” response is appropriate only if there are no restrictions on the right of the legislature to modify the Executive’s Budget Proposal, including its right to change the size of the proposed deficit or surplus. The “b” response would be appropriate if, for instance, the legislature is restricted from changing the deficit or surplus, but it still has the power to increase or decrease funding and revenue levels. The more limited “c” response would apply if, for instance, the legislature can only re-allocate spending within the totals set in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or can only decrease funding levels or increase revenues. Finally, response “d” would apply if the legislature may not make any changes (or only small technical changes), or if amendments must first be approved by the executive. In these cases, the legislature is essentially only able to approve or reject the budget as a whole. If the answer is “b” or “c”, please indicate the nature of the amendment powers available to the Parliament and how they are limited.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the legislature has unlimited authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

**Source:**

Section 152 (1,1A) of the 1997 Constitution

Section 100(4)(5) of the 1997 Constitution

**Comment:**

The National Assembly in law has an unlimited authority to amend the EBP. Section 152 of the 1997 Constitution mandated the National Assembly to approved the EBP within 14 days after the executive lays the budget before the parliament.

Meaning, once the EBP is tabled before Parliament, the house can exercise its oversight functions by amending the proposed budget and approve it within 14 days. Since the EBP is a bill, Section 100(4)(5) of the 1997 Constitution also gives powers to the house to review, amend and approve bills that are brought before the parliament.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion:

111. During the most recent budget approval process, did the legislature use its authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 111 assesses whether any formal authority of the legislature to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal is used in practice. The responses to this question should be determined based on action by the legislature related to the Enacted Budget used in the OBS. Choose answer “a” if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal during the most recent budget approval process, and amendments were adopted (all, or at least some of them). Answer “a” also applies if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but the amendments were rejected by executive veto. Answer “b” applies if the legislature used its authority in law to propose amendments to the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but none of these amendments were adopted. Answer “c” applies if the legislature has the authority in law to amend the budget, but no amendments were proposed during its consideration. Answer “d” applies when the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget (that is, Question 110 is answered “d”).

If the answer is “a” or “b”, please specify in the comments the number of amendments introduced by the legislature (and in the case of an “a” response, the number adopted, or if applicable, information about an executive veto) and describe their nature. For example, did the amendments result in an increase or decrease of the deficit? What were the most significant amendments to revenues and to expenditures in terms of the sums involved? How did amendments affect the composition of expenditures? If the answer is “a,” please specify which amendments were adopted, and provide evidence for it.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal, and (at least some of) its amendments were adopted.

**Source:**


Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure 2021 (Approved Budget 2021)
Comment:
During the most recent budget session (FY 2021), the Parliament cut D36m from the proposed budget of the Office of the President, D47m from the Ministry of Finance, etc. The Parliament also used its powers to amend/increase their budget from D156m to D246m as reflected in the approved budget.

Independent institutions like the Judiciary and the National Audit Office cannot get their proposed budget amended by the President and only the Parliament has the legal power to amend their budget. For example, the proposed budget of the Judiciary was cut from D261m to D181 and the budget of the National Audit Office was cut from D204m to D128m.

All the above functions were exercised according to mandate bestowed upon the Parliament. One thing that turns to be controversial and currently before the supreme court of the Gambia is: how legal is it for parliament to create a new budget line item after creating loan scheme in the 2021 budget for themselves which is challenge by Gambia Participates (a civil society) in the Supreme Court.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

112. During the last budget approval process, did a specialized budget or finance committee in the legislature examine the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:
Question 112 assesses the role of a specialized budget or finance committee during the budget approval stage. Effective committee involvement is an essential condition for legislative influence in the budget process. Specialized committees provide opportunities for individual legislators to gain relevant expertise, and to examine budgets and policy in depth. Yet, the involvement of committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures have separate committees to examine spending and tax proposals, while others have a single finance committee. Not all legislatures have a specialized budget or finance committee to examine the budget. In addition, there can be differences in the time available for the committee’s analysis of the budget.

A report with the committee’s findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, therefore it must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget.

Response "a" requires that, in the last budget approval process, a specialized budget or finance committee had one month or more to examine the Executive’s Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such a committee examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if a committee examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where a specialized budget or finance committee did not examine the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

Please specify in your comment the name of the committee and the number of days it had available to examine the budget. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a copy of the report. Please note also if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

Answer:
c. Yes, a specialized budget or finance committee examined the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but it did not publish a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

Source:
https://foroyaa.net/national-assembly-approves-budget-of-over-d29-billion/

Section 152(1A) of the 1997 Constitution

Comment:
The Finance and Public Accounts Committee (FPAC) was the specialized parliament committee that was responsible to examine the EBP and provide its report to plenary. This was done less than one month as per the constitution (Section 152 1A) which only gives Parliament 14 days.

Although their report and recommendations were not made public, however, one of the members of the FPAC, Hon. Alhagie Mbowe of Upper Saloum gives journalist and overview of the amendments and recommendations they have made on the 2021 budget.
113. During the last approval process, did legislative committees, responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.), examine spending in the Executive’s Budget Proposal related to the sector for which they are responsible?

GUIDELINES:
Question 113 assesses the role of committees of the legislature that are responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.) during the budget approval stage. The role of sectoral committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures do not involve them in the budget approval process, while others do. In addition, the time available for committee analysis differs.

A report with the committee’s findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, so therefore must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget. Response “a” requires that sector committees had one month or more to examine the Executive’s Budget Proposal, and published a report with findings and recommendations prior the budget being adopted. Response “b” applies where such committees examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response “c” applies if sectoral committees examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response “d” applies where sectoral committees did not examine the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

Please note that the examination of sectoral budgets by a specialized budget or finance committee is assessed in Question 112 and should not be considered for this question.

Please provide in the comments a brief overview of the committee structure and specify the number of days that sectoral committees had available to examine the budget and to publish their reports. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question should be answered with reference to the one that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a sample copy of at least one of the reports. Please note if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

For purposes of responding to this question, use those sectoral committees that are best performing – that is, the ones that examine the budget the longest and that publish reports.

Answer:
c. Yes, sector committees examined the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but they did not publish reports with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

Source:
Hon. Suwaibou Touray, MP Wuli East and Vice Chairman of the Human Rights and Constitutional Matters Committee at the National Assembly

Comment:
Each standing committee at the National Assembly independently vet the EBP and prepare its report which is presented to Parliament. It is in this report that, the committee make their recommendation for the general assembly to consider.

During this review, various committee make cuts and addition on the executive budget proposal and their final report is presented to the general assembly for debate before approval. However, this reports are not published online on the National Assembly’s website.

114. In the past 12 months, did a committee of the legislature examine in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period?

GUIDELINES:
Question 114 is about legislative oversight of budget execution. It assesses whether and how often a committee examined the implementation of the budget during the budget execution period (i.e., financial year) for which it was approved, and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. This question does not apply to the ex post review of implementation following the end of the budget year as part of the audit stage, which is assessed separately. Nor does it apply to the legislature’s review of the budget that it may undertake as part of the process of considering a supplemental
budget during the year. In-year monitoring by the legislature will be affected by the frequency that the executive publishes In-Year Reports.

To answer “a,” a committee must have examined in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget at least three times during the course of the relevant budget year and published reports with findings and recommendations. Answer “b” applies where this occurred only once or twice during the year.

Exception: if a legislature is in session only twice during the year, and it examines the implementation of the budget during both sessions, then it would be eligible for an “a” response.

Choose “c” if a committee examined in-year implementation (without regard to frequency), but did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer “d” applies where no committee examined in-year implementation.

If the answer is “a” or “b,” please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is “c,” please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation.

For purposes of responding to this question, if more than one committee holds in-year reviews of the budget, use the committee that is best performing – that is, the one that examines in-year implementation the most times and that publishes a report.

Answer:
c. Yes, a committee examined in-year implementation, but it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

Source:


Comment:
Yes, in the last year, during the execution of the 2020 approved budget, the Finance and Public Accounts Committee (FPAC) on May 6th 2020 summoned the Minister of Finance to demand answers on the reallocation of D500m and D734m from the 2020 approved budget without parliament approval.

The Minister of finance swiftly created a Covid-19 emergency food package worth D734m in late April without subjecting it to Parliament approval. The FPAC swiftly responded by calling on the Minister to defend his actions.

This sitting was covered media houses but the committee did not make its report publicly available.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: During 2020 the National Assembly approved an additional budget in order to face the additional costs generated by the Covid 19.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
describe that authority. Similarly, legislative approval for shifting funds between administrative units typically occurs with the adoption of legislation such as a supplemental budget. But if other formal procedures for gaining approval from the legislature exist, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and in practice the executive shifts funds between administrative units before obtaining approval from the legislature.

Source:
Public Finance Act, S29(4)


Comment:
While section 29(4) of the Public Finance Act gives powers for the executive to make virement of up to 75% within a budget line item and virement between budget entities up to 50% without Parliament approval, the law is not specific when Parliament approval is required before the executive makes virement.

Thus, the Executive is legally powered under section 29(4) of the Public Finance Act to make virement between budget agencies and budget items without parliament approval.

116. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue (that is, amounts higher than originally anticipated) that may become available during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:
Question 116 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if additional revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, which often happens in oil/mineral-dependent countries, and it was not accounted for in the Enacted Budget, there should be a procedure in place to ensure that the legislature approves any proposed use of these "new" funds. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might deliberately underestimate revenue in the budget proposal it submits to the legislature, in order to have additional resources to spend at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before spending any funds resulting from higher-than-expected revenues, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if prior legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to spend excess revenue and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the additional spending after it has already occurred.

Typically, legislative approval of additional spending beyond what was reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur with the adoption of a supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

a. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, and it does so in practice.

Source:
Section 153, 1997 Constitution of The Gambia

https://gainako.com/d3-3-billion-dalasis-supplementary-appropriation-bill-requested-by-finance-minister/

Comment:
In law, the Executive seeks for parliament approval before spending more than what was approved by the National Assembly. Section 153 of the 1997 constitution provides for the Minister of Finance to prepare a Supplementary Budget and present to parliament for approval before the
executive could spend more than what it was approved to expend during the budget year.

For example, in the last year, the Minister of Finance laid a Supplementary Bill to parliament for approval due to the effects of Covid-19 on the economy. After defending the rationale behind the SAP, parliament approved his request with amendments on the requested amount.

117. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls (that is, revenues lower than originally anticipated) or other reasons during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:
Question 117 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to cutting spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls or for any other reason, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if less revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, the legislature should approve or reject any proposed reductions in expenditures that are implemented as a result. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might substantially change the composition of the budget at the executive’s discretion, with no legislative control.

Typically, legislative approval of proposals to reduce spending below the levels reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur as part of the supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

To answer “a,” the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue shortfalls or for other reasons, and it does so in practice. Answer “b” applies if the executive received legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but is not legally required to do so. Answer “c” applies if the executive is legally required to obtain legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but does not do so in practice. Answer “d” applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to implement such cuts and the executive does not obtain such approval in practice. A “d” response applies if the legislature only approves the spending cuts after they have already occurred.

Answer:
d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels, and in practice the executive implements these spending cuts before seeking prior approval from the legislature.

Source:
Section 4(21)(f) of the Public Finance Regulation
Public Finance Act, 2014

Comment:
The Executive does not seek approval from the legislature to underspend what it has been approved in the Enacted Budget, and there is no law that requires the executive to do so. The Executive, when it deemed it necessary that certain expenditures are no more significant, the budget agency could put aside the said expenditure without seeking approval from Parliament not to spend the said amount.

Section 4(21)(f) of the Public Finance Regulations gives powers to Vote Controllers to identify and remove any expenditure that are no more significant without legislative oversight.

118. Did a committee of the legislature examine the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 118 is about ex post oversight following the implementation of the budget. It probes whether a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual
budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. A key issue is how soon after the SAI releases the report does it legislature review it. This question does not apply to the legislative scrutiny of in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period, which is assessed separately. Also, the question is asking specifically about the SAI’s annual report on the execution of the budget, not about other audit reports that the SAI may produce. (This is the Audit Report used for responding to Question 98.)

To answer “a,” a legislative committee must have examined the annual Audit Report within three months of it being released by the SAI, and then published a report (or reports) with findings and recommendations. (Note that the three-month period should only take into account time when the legislature is in session.)

Answer “b” applies when the committee examines it within six months of it being released (but more than three months), and then published a report with its findings and recommendations. Choose “c” if a committee examined the annual Audit Report more than six months after it became available or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer “d” applies where no committee examined the annual Audit Report.

If the answer is “a” or “b,” please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed the Audit Report, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is “c,” please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation. Answers “a,” “b,” or “c” may be selected if the Audit Report is produced by the SAI but not made publicly available.

Answer:
c. Yes, a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget, but it did so after the report had been available for more than six months or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

Source:
https://standard.gm/auditor-general-laments-govts-inaction-on-recommendations/
https://nao.gm/audit-reports/

Comment:
The Public Finance and Accounts Committee (FPAC) of the National Assembly is tasked with the responsibility to review the Auditor General’s report on the national budget and make its findings to plenary. However, FPAC does not review and report on the audit report on time. For example, the latest audit report that is considered by Parliament is the 2015-16 audit report.

The National Audit Office has presented the 2017 and 2018 audit report to Parliament but these reports are yet to be considered by FPAC. The Auditor General in August 2020 complained about Parliament inaction in considering its report findings.

Though FPAC receives national budget audit reports, however, the committee examine the report 6 months after it has received it and its findings are not published online.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
The Appointment of the Auditor General as per section 158(2) of the 1997 Constitution is exercised by the President in Consultation with the Public Service Commission. The President may appoint the Auditor General without legislative oversight but the powers of the President in removing the Auditor General is limited under Section 16(5,6,7) of the National Audit Office Act of 2015.

The removal of the Auditor General which is subject to an establishment of a tribunal to investigate if his/her removal is in consistent with Section 16 of the NAO Act before he/she vacate the office in some way guarantees his security of tenure. There has numerous cabinet reshuffle since 2017 but the office of the Auditor General is one that has not been affected by this reshuffle under the directives of the President.

Question 120 covers the manner in which the head or senior members of the SAI may be removed from office. This question draws on best practices identified in the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf), including measures intended to guarantee the office’s independence from the executive.

To answer “a,” the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the head of the SAI is removed. For example, the legislature or judiciary may give final consent following a certain external process, such as a criminal proceeding. So while the executive may initiate a criminal proceeding, the final consent of a member of the judiciary – or a judge – is necessary to render a verdict of wrongdoing that may lead to the removal from office of the head of the SAI. Answer “b” applies if the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

121. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 121 asks who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). To ensure objective audits of government budgets, another important component of the SAI's independence from the executive is the extent to which the SAI's budget is determined by a body other than the executive, and whether the SAI has adequate resources to fulfill its mandate.

Answer: "a" applies if the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate, AND either the SAI determines its own budget and then submits it to the executive (which accepts it with little or no change) or directly to the legislature, or the budget of the SAI is determined directly by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body). Answer "b" applies if the SAI's budget is determined by the executive (absent a recommendation from the SAI), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "c" applies if the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body) determines the SAI's budget, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "d" applies if the executive determines the SAI's budget, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Please provide evidence in support of the assessment that the funding level is or is not broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Answer:

- c. The budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Source:
- Section 159(2) of the 1997 Constitution
- Section 24(2) of the National Audit Office Act 2015
- https://gainako.com/hon-ya-kumba-jai-teh-we-cut-from-d35m-audit-office-loan-for-d54m-nam-loan/

Comment:
The funds of the National Audit Office is determined by the Legislature as per Section 159(2) of the 1997 Constitution and Section 24(2) of the National Audit Office Act 2015. The Audit Office as per the law should prepare its annual budget and present it to the President for presentation to the National Assembly without amendment but could attach his/her recommendations.

Though the Audit Office has the legal mandate to prepare its annual estimates, however, the approved funding by the legislature is inconsistent with funding needs of the office to fulfill its mandate. For example, during the recent budget debate for FY 2021, the Parliament cut D34m from the proposed budget of the Audit Office and allocated itself (Parliament) D54.4m from it as a "Revolving Loan Scheme".

Consulting the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf) may be useful in answering this question as its provisions serve to define the appropriate scope of a SAI's legal mandate and jurisdiction.

Answer:

- a. The SAI has full discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.

Source:
- Section 160(4) of the 1997 Constitution
- Section 14(a) of the 2015 National Audit Act
Comment:
The Audit Office have the constitutional discretion to conduct audit it may wish to audit without executive interference. The Constitution of The Gambia, 1997 under Section 160(4) states that "The Auditor-General and any member of the National Auditor Office authorised by him or her shall have power to call for and inspect all books, records, returns, reports and other documents in the exercise of the functions conferred upon him or her by this Constitution or an Act of the National Assembly and to make such enquiries and to call such witnesses who, in his or her opinion, have any responsibilities in relation to the accounts referred to in subsection (1)."

Section 14(a) of the 2015 National Audit Act also states that the Auditor General shall not be subjected to any direction or control in conducting its audit. Thus Auditor General can independently conduct public finance audits for both the national budget and other special audits.

123. Are the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) reviewed by an independent agency?

GUIDELINES:
Question 123 assesses whether and to what extent the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) are subject to review by an independent agency. The latter could be a peer SAI, an international organization, an academic institution with relevant expertise, or an independent domestic agency with quality assurance functions in the area of financial reporting.

To answer "a," an independent agency must conduct and publish a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis. Answer "b" applies if a review was carried out within the past five years, and published, but it is not conducted annually, but. Choose answer "c" if the SAI has an internal unit that reviews the audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis, but an independent agency does not conduct such a review. Answer "d" applies if the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

If the answer is either "a" or "b," please specify the name of the independent agency and when last it conducted such a review, and provide a copy of the published report. If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the unit within the SAI that is tasked with conducting such reviews.

Answer:

a. Yes, an independent agency conducts and publishes a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis.

Source:
Interview with Pa Majagne Ndow, Director of Audit, National Audit Office
Section 159(3) of the 1997 Constitution
https://www.intosai.org/
https://afrosai-e.org.za/?s=gambia

Comment:
The National Audit Office is a member of INTOSAI and AFROSAI that conducts quality assurance audit for its member states and make its report publicly available. Although, both INTOSAI and AFROSAI do not conduct and publish individual audit report for its members, its audit reports for member states are consolidated it its annual report.

However, the National Audit Office is also audited by a local external audit firm that is identified by the National Assembly. In practice, the National Assembly identify and appoints external auditors to audit the accounts of NAO. According to Pa Majagne Ndow, Director of Audit at NAO, they are currently being audited by a local audit firm that was appointed by the National Assembly to audit NAO. This practice is consistent with Section 159(3) of the 1997 Constitution.

124. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?
**GUIDELINES:**
Question 124 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Many SAIs interact with the legislature in some form, but the nature and intensity of the interaction varies. This question probes this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the SAI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the SAI representative in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). You can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the SAI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer “a” if this occurred five times or more; “b” for three times or more, but less than five times; “c” for once or twice, and “d” for never.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
<th>b. Sometimes (i.e., three times or more, but less than five times).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source:</td>
<td>Interview with Pa Majagne Ndow, Director of Audit, National Audit Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>Where necessary, the National Assembly will subpoena the Auditor General to testify on government accounts and his reports. The senior Members of the Audit Office also in attends the settings of the Finance and Public Accounts Committee and the Public Enterprise Committee to answer questions regarding its audit report for a particular institution that they have audited.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

125. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation of the annual budget (prior to the budget being tabled in parliament)?

**GUIDELINES:**
This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See: https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf.

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in the formulation of the annual budget, including annual pre-budget discussions. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government’s efforts to incorporate citizens’ input into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as spending and tax policy, funding and revenue levels, and macro-fiscal planning.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific
organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:
c. Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget formulation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some ad-hoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Source:

Comment:
The Ministry of Finance through the Budget Directorate organized consultations with Local Councils on 24th June 2020 ahead of the formulation of the 2021 budget. According to the Ministry of Finance’s website, the consultation was held with the local councils in order to hear the concerns of ordinary citizens that will inform the 2021 budget. (Local councils comprise of elected officials and representatives from the people that are not elected).

According to the report, the Ministry of Finance do organize nationwide pre-budget consultations but due to the pandemic, the consultation ahead of the 2021 budget was held virtually.

In the light of the above, though there was pre-budget consultation ahead of the 2021 budget, however it was not open for the public to participate and provide their inputs on the formulation of the 2021 budget.

126. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive take concrete steps to include vulnerable and under-represented parts of the population in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive’s effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on the executive’s efforts to seek out the views of members of the public from socially vulnerable groups and/or who are underrepresented in the process.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government’s efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives of vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:
b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Comment:
As per the records of the pre-budget consultation organized by the Ministry of Finance ahead of the 2021 budget, the consultation was not open for marginalized and vulnerable communities to participate and make their inputs on the 2021 budget.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: c. Not applicable/other (please comment).
Comments: I am not aware on these consultations. During the budget preparation, even in the first stages of the budget preparation there is no mention on targets based on pre-budget consultations.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

127. During the budget formulation stage, which of the following key topics does the executive’s engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles of “Transparency” and “Timeliness”. Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the formulation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive’s engagement with the public during budget formulation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, “key topics” are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the executive’s engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., “what issues is the public invited to engage on?”) and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.

Answer “d” applies if requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:
c. The executive’s engagement with citizens covers at least one (but less than three) of the above-mentioned topics.

Source:

Comment:
The pre-budget consultation that was attended by representatives in all the regional local governments and representative from various Ministries covers discussion on at least 3 of the 6 topics. Social spending, public services and public investment projects are one of the key areas of council concerns in which each of the council representatives presented on.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

128. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?
GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See: https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget. If the executive has designated a central coordinating agency to implement participation mechanisms throughout the national budget process, researchers may consider these mechanisms. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government’s efforts to incorporate citizens’ input into the implementation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenue administration, public service delivery, public investment project implementation, including procurement, and the administration of social transfer schemes.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings, online, deliberative exchanges, procurement complaint mechanisms, and social monitoring and dialogue.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input on the implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include public hearings, surveys, focus groups, report cards, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to provide input on budget implementation, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured, happen only on an ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", the researcher must have evidence that the government is holding participation mechanisms that have some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples include hotlines, Facebook announcements, one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:
https://twitter.com/MofeaGambia/status/1348757017956114433
https://standard.gm/the-fourth-stake-in-the-nation-forum-snf4-went-online-reaching-a-wider-global-audience-president-barrow-thanks-the-diaspora-for-d30-billion-of-remittances0/

Comment:
Though there are limited or no mechanisms in which the public can provide inputs and monitor the implementation of the national budget led by the executive, however from 8-9 January 2021 the Fourth Stake of the Nation Forum in which key officials from the executives including the Minister of Finance participated in providing updates on the economy of The Gambia and at the same time receiving and addressing questions from participants on the state of the economy on the budget provide the opportunity for a specific group of people to make inputs on the monitoring of the national budget.

The forum was jointly organized by the government of the Gambia and GK Partners.
129. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive take concrete steps to receive input from vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on national government’s efforts to obtain input from members of the public who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes during the implementation of the annual budget.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government’s efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives from vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the national executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:
b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Comment:
The executive does not take a concrete step or providing the platform where ordinary citizens or under-represented groups are given the opportunity to provide inputs on the implementation of the national budget.

Though civil society organizations like Gambia Participates and Future In Our Hands do facilitate budget dialogues between the executive, parliament and under-represented groups, however, this efforts are not led by the central government.

130. During the implementation of the annual budget, which of the following topics does the executive’s engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Changes in macroeconomic circumstances
2. Delivery of public services
3. Collection of revenue
4. Implementation of social spending
5. Changes in deficit and debt levels
6. Implementation of public investment projects

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness”. Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the implementation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics – and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive’s engagement with the public during budget implementation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be ONLY the ones listed above. If the executive’s engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.
Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d. The requirements for a &quot;c&quot; response or above are not met.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**

**Comment:**
The executive does not take concrete steps in engaging citizens on the above topics during the implementation of the budget.

---

**Peer Reviewer**
**Opinion:** Agree
**Comments:** During the budget preparation and implementation, there is no engagement with general public in order to receive feedback from them related to the key topics mentioned in the present question.

**Government Reviewer**
**Opinion:**

---

**131. When the executive engages with the public, does it provide comprehensive prior information on the process of the engagement, so that the public can participate in an informed manner?**

Comprehensive information must include at least three of the following elements:

1. Purpose
2. Scope
3. Constraints
4. Intended outcomes
5. Process and timeline

**GUIDELINES:**
This question relates to the GIFT principle of "Openness," and addresses whether the executive provides relevant information on the process of the engagement before public participation takes place, in order to help citizens engage effectively. The question addresses whether the "rules of the public engagement" are clearly spelled out, in advance and in detail, so that those members of the public who want to engage know how to do so, in terms of when they can do so, what they are expected to provide input on, by when, to whom, etc. This question does not cover the substance of the engagement, which is covered by questions 127 and 130.

Non-comprehensive information means that the government provides information that includes at least one but less than three of the elements listed above.

**Purpose** refers to a brief explanation of why the public engagement is being undertaken, including the executive’s objectives for its engagement with the public.

**Scope** refers to what is within the subject matter of the engagement as well as what is outside the subject matter of the engagement. For example, the scope may include how a current policy is administered but exclude the specifics of the policies themselves.

**Constraints** refers to whether there are any explicit limitations on the engagement. An example of a constraint could be that any policy changes must not cost (or forgo revenue) more than a specific amount or have no net fiscal cost.

**Intended outcomes** refers to what the executive hopes to achieve as a result of the engagement. Examples of intended outcomes could be revising a policy to better reflect citizen or service-user views or to improve the way in which a particular program is administered.

**Process** refers to the methods by which the public engagement will take place and the discrete steps in the process. For example, the process may simply be a one-off Internet-based consultation, with a summary published of public inputs and the official response. The process may involve simultaneous or overlapping steps, and may be conducted in one round or in two or more rounds of engagement.

**Timeline** refers to the specific dates on which the discrete steps in the process will take place, or during which they will be completed, and clear start and end dates for the overall engagement.

Answer "d" applies if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage.
132. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive provide the public with feedback on how citizens’ inputs have been used in the formulation of the annual budget?

**GUIDELINES:**
This question reflects the GIFT principles of “Transparency” and “Sustainability”, and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used in the formulation of the annual budget, and how/why.

By “written record”, we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer “a” applies when the executive provides a written document with:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)

Answer “b” applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used.

Answer “c” applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer “d” applies if the requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

---

**Answer:**
d. The requirements for a “c” response or above are not met.

**Source:**

**Comment:**
The executive does not provide feedback to the public on how the inputs received were incorporated in the national budget.

---

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Comments:**
The ministry of Finance does not received information from the public even during the preparation process. In that sense, the budget process until 2020 did not consider the feedback to the public.
133. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive provide the public with information on how citizens’ inputs have been used to assist in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles of “Transparency” and “Sustainability”, and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received during the implementation of the annual budget, which ones are taken into account to improve budget monitoring, and how/why.

By “written record”, we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer “a” applies when the executive provides a written document with:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)

Answer “b” applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs received (e.g., a written transcript) from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were or were not taken into account by the executive during budget monitoring.

Answer “c” applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer “d” applies if the requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer: d. The requirements for a “c” response or above are not met.


Comment: The executive does not provide written records on how the inputs from the citizen are used in the monitoring or implementation of the budget.


134. Are participation mechanisms incorporated into the timetable for formulating the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles on “Sustainability,” “Timeliness” and “Complementarity” and addresses whether the executive is able to link participation mechanisms to the administrative processes that are used to create the annual budget.

Please note that “timetable” refers to a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget’s formulation. This document is sometimes referred to as the budget calendar and is the same document referenced in Question 53.
135. Do one or more line ministries use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation or implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES
While questions 125 – 134 focus only on participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency currently use to allow the public to participate in the national budget process, this question asks about participation mechanisms used by line ministries to allow the public to participate in national budget processes. Thus, participation mechanisms used by the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by a line ministry or if multiple line ministries use participation mechanisms, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government’s efforts to incorporate citizens’ input into the formulation and/or implementation of the annual budget.

This question reflects the GIFT principles on “Inclusiveness” and “Timeliness” and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of “involvement” (option “a” in the responses) and “consultation” (option “b”). See: https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf

To answer “a,” a line ministry must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer “b” applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which a line ministry seeks input from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer “c” applies if a line ministry has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) A line ministry consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the line ministry determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options “a” and “b” apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the
selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:
https://mofea.gm/directorates/budget

Comment:
The formulation and implementation of the national budget is not participatory. Ministries internally prepare their budgets without consulting or inviting inputs from citizens.

Peer Reviewer 
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer 
Opinion:

136. Does the legislature or the relevant legislative committee(s) hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget (pre-budget and/or approval stages)?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) has put in place and is using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the annual budget. This includes deliberations during the pre-budget phase (i.e., when the executive is still in the process of formulating the draft budget) and the budget discussions after the budget has been tabled to parliament and before it is approved. In the comment box, please specify during which stage of the budget cycle the legislature has put in place a public participation mechanism.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual Members of Parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

If there is more than one mechanism, please select a mechanism that best shows/reflects the legislature’s efforts to incorporate citizens into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenues, policy selection, and macro-fiscal planning (please note that the issue of coverage is covered in a subsequent question).

To answer "a," the legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs; but
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs;
No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, but
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)
- The legislature has a provision (via standing orders or in law) through which the public can submit their inputs, and members of the public or CSOs actively use it to submit opinions on the budget.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:
Hon. Alhagie Mbow, MP for Upper Saloum and Member of the Finance and Public Accounts Committee.
Hon. Alhaji H Sowe, MP for Jimara and Member of the Parliament Committee on Trade and Local Government.

https://thepoint.gm/africa/gambia/opinion/2021-budget-more-of-the-same

Comment:
The National Assembly is given limited time (14 days) to scrutinize and approve the budget. During these 14 days, the Parliament spent most of its given limited time reviewing and preparing its recommendations on the budget. According to MP Hon. Alhagie Mbow, the parliament does not have "enough time" to engage the public on the approval of the budget given the short constitutional timeline to approve the Executive Budget Proposal.

As a result, the public are not given the opportunity to participate in the formulation and approval process of the budget. In this background, the parliament does not organize public hearing where citizens can testify on the budget but the public can attend its debate on the national budget during its public sessions.

In some cases, citizens result to using social media and print media to demand their elected representatives to reject certain proposed budget from the executive.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

137. During the legislative deliberations on the annual budget (pre-budget or approval stages), which of the following key topics does the legislature’s (or relevant legislative budget committee) engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider the range of mechanisms currently used by the legislature to promote public participation during legislative deliberations on the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics, for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the legislature's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.
138. Does the legislature provide feedback to the public on how citizens’ inputs have been used during legislative deliberations on the annual budget?

**GUIDELINES:**
This question reflects the GIFT principles of “Transparency” and “Sustainability”, and examines the extent to which the legislature provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received and how inputs were used during legislative deliberations (please note that these deliberations can refer to the pre-budget and approval phases). By “written record” in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the legislature.

**Answer “a” applies when the legislature provides a written document with:**
- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).

**Answer “b” applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:**
- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used in legislative deliberations on the annual budget (please note that these deliberations refer to the pre-budget and approval phases).

**Answer “c” applies when the legislature makes available a video recording of the relevant legislative session or provides a written document that includes:**
- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

**Answer “d” applies if the requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.**

**Answer:**

**d. The requirements for a “c” response or above are not met.**

**Source:**
Hon. Alhagie Mbow, MP for Upper Saloum and Member of the Finance and Public Accounts Committee.
Hon. Alhaji H Sowe, MP for Jimara and Member of the Parliament Committee on Trade and Local Government.

**Comment:**
The legislature does not initiate public engagement on the formulation and approval process of the national budget. Thus, citizens inputs are neither received nor reported on how their inputs were used.
139. Does the legislature hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the Audit Report?

**GUIDELINES:**
This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

A key constitutional role of the legislature in almost all countries is to oversee the government’s management of public resources. While the Supreme Audit Institution is responsible for checking the government’s accounts and publishing the outcome of their audits, for accountability purposes it is essential that the legislature reviews and scrutinizes those reports, and checks on whether the executive is taking the appropriate corrective actions based on the Supreme Audit Institution’s recommendations.

Holding public hearings to review audit findings allows the public to learn more about how the government has managed its resources for the budget years that have ended, and demand accountability in case of mismanagement and irregularities. Reviewing and discussing those reports in public is therefore a key responsibility of a legislature.

Please note that by "Audit Report" we refer to the same audit report assessed in the transparency section of this Survey, i.e., one of the eight key budget documents that all governments (in this case, the Supreme Audit Institution) must produce, according to best practice.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) have put in place and using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the Audit Report.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual members of parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

To answer "a," the national legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:
- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public; BUT
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:
- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, BUT
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the Audit Report.

**Answer:**
d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**
Hon. Alhagie Mbow, MP for Upper Saloum and Member of the Finance and Public Accounts Committee.

Hon. Alhaji H Sowe, MP for Jimara and Member of the Parliament Committee on Trade and Local Government.

**Comment:**
The review and discussion on the Auditor General’s report is open to the public but the Parliament does not have a specific mechanism where the public can testify or provide inputs on the audit report. The Auditor General’s report is not made publicly available until Parliament discuss and approve them, which limits the opportunity for citizen oversight on budget audit reports.
140. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in the SAI’s audit program (for example, by bringing ideas on agencies, programs, or projects that could be audited)?

**GUIDELINES:**
This question assesses whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has established mechanisms through which the public can provide suggestions on issues/topics to be included in its audit program. When deciding its audit agenda, the SAI may undertake audits for a sample of agencies, projects, and programs in the country; and such a selection could be based on complaints and suggestions made by members of the public. To receive such suggestions, the SAI may create formal mechanisms, like setting up a website, hotline, or office (or assigning staff to liaise with the public).

Please note that formal mechanisms that do not explicitly seek the public’s input in the audit program (such as general comment submission boxes on the SAI’s website) should not be considered for this question.

**Answer:**
b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

**Source:**
Interview with Pa Majagne Ndom, Director of Audit, National Audit Office

**Comment:**
Although as of recent, the National Audit Office has become more open to collaboration with Civil Society on their work, however, the office is yet to have a mechanism were citizens can provide or suggest topics or issues that could be included in their audit program.

Citizens could formally provide information on suspected public maladministration that falls within the audit mandate of the Audit Office on public finance and administration.

141. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) provide the public with feedback on how citizens’ inputs have been used to determine its audit program?

**GUIDELINES:**
This question reflects the GIFT principles of “Transparency” and “Sustainability”, and examines the extent to which the Supreme Audit Institution provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used to determine the Supreme Audit Institution’s audit program. By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the Supreme Audit Institution.

Answer "a" applies when the Supreme Audit Institution provides a written document with:
- The inputs received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).

Answer "b" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs received from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used to determine the SAI’s annual audit program.

Answer "c" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:
- The received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggests issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program.

Answer:
d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:
https://nao.gm/other-publications/
Communication and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy
Communication and Social Media Policies

Comment:
As the National Audit Office continue to improving its citizens engagement on audit reports, the office does not have a current mechanism to receive and provide inputs from the public on their audit programs.

Important to note that the audit office has developed a “Communication and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy” with one of its outputs is “effective engagement with the public, CSO and media”. The office has also developed a "Communication and Social Media Policy" to increase public engagement on the work of the audit office. The strategy will as will give civil society and individual citizens to engage and provide suggestions (inputs) in the work of the NAO.

The office has also organized engagement with members of the civil society and media in improving its audit program.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

142. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents, witnesses, etc.)?

GUIDELINES:
This question mirrors question 140, but instead of covering public assistance in formulating the SAI’s audit program, it focuses on whether the Supreme Audit Institution has established mechanisms through which the public can participate in audit investigations. In addition to seeking public input to determine its audit agenda, the SAI may wish to provide formal opportunities for the public and civil society organizations to participate in the actual audit investigations, as witnesses or respondents.

Answer:
a. Yes, SAI maintains formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations.

Source:
Interview with Pa Majagne Ndow, Director of Audit, National Audit Office

Comment:
The National Audit Office do provide the public the opportunity to help its office in its auditing process. The office could receive reports from the public that will subsequently be fact-checked before using them.

For example, civil society organizations like Gambia Participates have provided investigation reports on the use of Covid-19 funds to the Audit Office as the SAI conducts special audit on the use of covid-19 funds by the government.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question
Comments: Not aware of the mechanism that the National Audit Office uses to engage with the public.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: