

# Open Budget Survey 2023

Questionnaire

Angola

May 2024

# Country Questionnaire: Angola

## PBS-1. What is the fiscal year of the PBS evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

**Answer:**

2023

**Source:**

NA

**Comment:**

The Government of Angola does not produce a PBS.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

## PBS-2. When is the PBS made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for a PBS to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration. If the PBS is not released to the public at least one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the PBS.

**Answer:**

d. The PBS is not released to the public, or is released less than one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is introduced to the legislature

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government of Angola does not produce a PBS.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**PBS-3a. If the PBS is published, what is the date of publication of the PBS?**

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government of Angola does not produce a PBS.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**PBS-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the PBS.**

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

N/A n/a

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

N/A

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**PBS-4. If the PBS is published, what is the URL or weblink of the PBS?**

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

N/A

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**PBS-5. If the PBS is published, are the numerical data contained in the PBS available in a machine readable format?**

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) or HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>*

*Option "d" applies if the PBS is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

**Answer:**

d. Not applicable

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The PBS is not produced

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**PBS-6a. If the PBS is not publicly available, is it still produced?**

*If the PBS is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question PBS-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question PBS-2)*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

**Answer:**

d. Not produced at all

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The PBS is not produced.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**PBS-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question PBS-6a, please specify how you determined whether the PBS was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.**

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question PBS-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

According to information provided by an official of the Ministry of Finance, Angola does not produce a Pre-Budget Statement According to information provided by an official of the Ministry of Finance, Angola does not produce a Pre-Budget Statement

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

N/A

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**PBS-7. If the PBS is produced, please write the full title of the PBS.**

*For example, a title for the Pre-Budget Statement could be "Proposed 2023 State Budget" or "Guidelines for the Preparation of Annual Plan and Budget for 2022/23."*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

N/A

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

According to information provided by an official of the Ministry of Finance, Angola does not produce a Pre-Budget

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**PBS-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the PBS?**

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

**Answer:**

b. No

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

According to information provided by an official of the Ministry of Finance, Angola does not produce a Pre-Budget

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**EBP-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EBP evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?**

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

**Answer:**

2023 2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

See the website of the Ministry of Finance

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**EBP-1b. When is the EBP submitted to the legislature for consideration?**

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

09/12/22 09/12/22

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/sala-de-imprensa/noticias/11811/proposta-de-oge-2023-entregue-a-assembleia-nacional>

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/sala-de-imprensa/noticias/11987/parlamento-aprova-oge-2023-na-especialidade>

**Comment:**

Exceptionally, due to the holding of the last elections (August 2022), as established by Law No. 24/12 of 22 August, the Holder of the elected Executive Power forwards to the National Assembly the final proposal of the OGE, for the subsequent financial year, until December 15.

The Proposal for OGE 2023 was delivered to the National Assembly on 09/12/22

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** 09/12/2022- Porque foi um ano eleitoral, a Lei Quadro prevê que pode ser entregue até 15 de Dezembro.

<https://rna.ao/rna.ao/2022/12/11/proposta-do-oge-para-2023-ja-foi-entregue-a-assembleia-nacional/>

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. It's essential to emphasize that these comments do not contradict the researcher's assertions; instead, they complement them. Both sets of comments concur on the submission date to the legislature being 09/12/22 and underscore the delay's explanation in light of it being an electoral year.

**EBP-2. When is the EBP made available to the public?**

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an EBP to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public while the legislature is still*

*considering it and before the legislature approves (enacts) it. If the EBP is not released to the public before the legislature approves it, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EBP.*

*The OBS definition of an Executive's Budget Proposal is a document(s) that (i) the executive submits to the legislature as a formal part of the budget approval process and (ii) the legislature either approves or on which it approves proposed amendments.*

*The OBS will treat the Executive's Budget Proposal as "Not Produced," in the following cases:*

- *The executive does not submit the draft budget to the legislature; or*
- *The legislature receives the draft budget but does not approve it or does not approve recommendations on the draft budget;*
- *The legislature rejects the draft budget submitted by the executive, but the executive implements it without legislative approval; or*
- *There is no legislature, or the legislature has been dissolved.*

**Answer:**

c. Less than two months in advance of the budget year, but at least in advance of the budget being approved by the legislature

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

We have no evidence of the date of publication online (on the website of the Ministry of Finance), we know that it only occurred in the second half of February.

Upon further consultation with IBP, it was determined that the EBP was published by 12/12/2022.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** The researcher comment is not entirely clear. It should state on which basis it was agreed with IBP that the EBP was published on 12/12/22.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Logo que a proposta é entregue à AN o MINFIN publica o documento no seu site, quando é aprovado pela AN o MINFIN actualiza o documento no site conforme os ajustes feitos obedecendo as recomendações feitas pela AN.

**Researcher Response**

A prova de que não é assim, é o facto de que embora o orçamento de 2024 já foi aprovado, o documento que aparece no site do ministerio ainda vem como proposta de OGE para 2024

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. The date was established through website monitoring and collaborative consultation with other stakeholders and document users.

**EBP-3a. If the EBP is published, what is the date of publication of the EBP?**

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

*In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their date of publication.*

**Answer:**

12/12/2022 12/12/2022 12/12/2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

We have difficulty in stating exactly the date of publication so it is estimated that it was in the second half of February

Upon further consultation with IBP, it was determined that the EBP was published by 12/12/2022

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** 09/12/2022

**IBP Comment**

The government reviewer's comment is appreciated. In this regard, it should be noted that the date 12/12/2022 has been used given that the available evidence indicates that on that date the final version of the EBP document was made available to the public online, through internal website monitoring and collaborative consultation with other stakeholders and document users. Considering the OBS methodology requires objective and substantial evidence of the budget documents used in the analysis, the upload date of the version of the document finally used in the investigation, corresponding to the one cited by the researcher, must be kept in mind. In any case, the dates do not change the score received on this occasion, receiving the "C" score in the EBP-2 question.

**EBP-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EBP.**

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

Since we do not have an exact date we were not able to estimated that the EBP was made available to the public. However, we made an estimate based on the testimony of several people who claim to have begun to see the document published on the Website of the Ministry of Finance only after the first week of February.

Upon further consultation with IBP, it was determined that the EBP was published by 12/12/2022.

Since we do not have an exact date we were not able to estimated that the EBP was made available to the public. However, we made an estimate based on the testimony of several people who claim to have begun to see the document published on the Website of the Ministry of Finance only after the first week of February.

Upon further consultation with IBP, it was determined that the EBP was published by 12/12/2022.

Since we do not have an exact date we were not able to estimated that the EBP was made available to the public. However, we made an estimate based on the testimony of several people who claim to have begun to see the document published on the Website of the Ministry of Finance only after the first week of February.

Upon further consultation with IBP, it was determined that the EBP was published by 12/12/2022.

**Source:**

<https://www.angonoticias.com/Artigos/item/72683/assembleia-nacional-vota-oge-2023-a-13-de-janeiro>  
<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

Since we do not have an exact date we were not able to estimated that the EBP was made available to the public.

Upon further consultation, it was determined that the EBP was published by 12/12/2022.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** I would assume that the Ministry of Finance would have this information?

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Validado internamente. A proposta do Orçamento do Executivo é substituída pelo Orçamento Aprovado, por isso se torna difícil determinar a data de publicação.

**IBP Comment**

The government reviewer's comment is appreciated. In this regard, it should be noted that the date 12/12/2022 has been used given that the available evidence indicates that on that date the final version of the EBP document was made available to the public online, through internal website monitoring and collaborative consultation with other stakeholders and document users. Considering the OBS methodology requires objective and substantial evidence of the budget documents used in the analysis, the upload date of the version of the document finally used in the investigation, corresponding to the one cited by the researcher, must be kept in mind. In any case, the dates do not change the score received on this occasion, receiving the "C" score in the EBP-2 question.

**EBP-4. If the EBP is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EBP?**

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

*In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their URL or weblink.*

**Answer:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

This document is on the Ministry of Finance website

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado>

**IBP Comment**

The government reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. The answer is complemented with the information provided. Given changes on the Angolan MinFin website, the updated link to the EBP 2023 is [OGE Passados]: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/oge-passados>

**EBP-5. If the EBP is published, are the numerical data contained in the EBP or its supporting documents available in a machine readable format?**

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.*

*Option "d" applies if the EBP is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

**Answer:**

c. No

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

The numerical data contained in the EBP are only published in PDF format

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**EBP-6a. If the EBP is not publicly available, is it still produced?**

*If the EBP is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EBP-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EBP-2).*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

**Answer:**

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

The document was produced and it's publicly available in the Ministry of Finance website

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

Given changes on the Angolan MinFin website, the updated link to the EBP 2023 is [OGE Passados]: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de->

realce/oge-passados

**EBP-6b.** If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EBP-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EBP was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EBP-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

n/a n/a n/a

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

The document was produced and made available to the public in the Ministry of Finance website

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

Given changes on the Angolan MinFin website, the updated link to the EBP 2023 is [OGE Passados]: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/oge-passados>

**EBP-7.** If the EBP is produced, please write the full title of the EBP.

*For example, a title for the Executive's Budget Proposal could be "Draft Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for BY 2022-23, produced by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development."*

*If there are any supporting documents to the EBP, please enter their full titles in the comment box below.*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

Proposta do Orçamento Geral do Estado para 2023 N/A Proposta de lei do Orçamento Geral do Estado para 2023

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

The document was produced and it's available to the public in the Ministry of Finance website. The name is "Proposta do Orçamento Geral do Estado de 2023"

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** A Proposta do Orçamento Geral do Estado para 2023 corresponde a um conjunto de documentos que inclui o Relatório de Fundamentação, o Projecto de Lei Orçamental e os anexos ao projecto de lei orçamental que, por sua vez contém os resumos gerais da receita e os resumos gerais da despesa.

**IBP Comment**

Given changes on the Angolan MinFin website, the updated link to the EBP 2023 is [OGE Passados]: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/oqe-passados>

**EBP-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EBP?**

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

**Answer:**

b. No

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

**Comment:**

Usually, a citizens version of the public budget proposal is not published.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**EB-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?**

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

**Answer:**

2022 2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oqe2023>

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oqe-passados>

**Comment:**

2022 was an election year for this reason the EB was approved only in February 2023 and not in December of the previous year, and after the OBS cutoff date. For this reason, we will take as a reference the EB of the year 2022.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**IBP Comment**

The Open Budget Survey uses a cut-off date to standardize the research and ensure comparability. Documents are not accepted if they are published after this date. This enables the Open Budget Survey to provide a snapshot of budget transparency at a particular point of time. The research cut-off date for the Open Budget Survey 2023 is 31 December 2022.

**EB-1b. When was the EB approved (enacted) by the legislature?**

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

14/12/2021 9/11/2021

**Source:**

<https://www.dw.com/pt-002/angola-parlamento-aprova-or%C3%A7amento-de-estado-com-cr%C3%ADticas-da-oposi%C3%A7%C3%A3o/a-60118208>

**Comment:**

The National Assembly of Angola approved on 14/12/2021 the General State Budget (OGE) for 2022

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

Comments: <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/ao/Documents/tax/TaxAcademy/minfin2157639.pdf>

**IBP Comment**

The government reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. The answer is complemented with the information provided.

**EB-2. When is the EB made available to the public?**

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an EB to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public three months after the budget is approved by the legislature. If the EB is not released to the public at least three months after the budget is approved by the legislature, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EB.*

**Answer:**

a. Two weeks or less after the budget has been enacted

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

**Comment:**

It was not possible to determine precisely the date on which the document was approved, we set a date based on the testimony of an official of the Ministry of Finance.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** This seems plausible but the source is not well referenced. It is a problem that the Angola Ministry of Finance does not have publication dates readily available on the website (as for news articles) and the researcher should investigate if the MoF does not have this available from an internal log (in the communications department). The properties of the PDF document indicates that the file was created on December 31, 2021 but that of course does not provide evidence as to when it was put on the website. On balance, I decide to agree with the "b" rating but this is an issue that should be discussed with the authorities (as it is the same issue related to the publication of other documents).

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** O Orçamento é tornado público no mesmo dia em que ele é aprovado.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological consistency, and after a new review and in consultation with the research team, the current response is adjusted to "A", given that the EB was approved by legislature on 14/12/2021, and published on 16/12/2021.

**EB-3a. If the EB is published, what is the date of publication of the EB?**

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

16/12/2021 16/12/2021

**Source:**

<https://mtti.gov.ao/ao/noticias/aprovado-oge-2022/>

<https://www.dw.com/pt-002/angola-parlamento-aprova-or%C3%A7amento-de-estado-com-cr%C3%ADticas-da-oposi%C3%A7%C3%A3o/a-60118208>

**Comment:**

The Law approving the State Budget for the 2022 Economic Year was approved on 14/12/2021.

It was not possible to determine precisely the date on which the document was approved, we set a date based on the testimony of an official of the Ministry of Finance.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** The word "approved" should be changed to "published". Otherwise, my comment is the same as for question 24. The identification of the interviewee source is in line with the IBP guidelines.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** 14/12/2022 - validado internamente.

**IBP Comment**

The external reviewer's comments are appreciated. In this regard, it should be noted that the date 16/12/2022 has been used given that the available evidence indicates that on that date the final version of the EB document was made available to the public online, through internal website monitoring and collaborative consultation with other stakeholders and document users. Considering the OBS methodology requires objective and substantial evidence of the budget documents used in the analysis, the upload date of the version of the document finally used in the investigation,

corresponding to the one cited by the researcher, must be kept in mind. In any case, the dates do not change the score received on this occasion, receiving the "B" score in the EB-2 question.

**EB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EB.**

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

This approval date can be found on the official Angolan Government website According to finance ministry sources the EB was published two weeks after its approval

**Source:**

<https://mtti.gov.ao/ao/noticias/aprovado-oge-2022/>

**Comment:**

This approval date can be found on the official Angolan Government website.

It was not possible to determine precisely the date on which the document was approved, we set a date based on the testimony of an official of the Ministry of Finance.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Validado internamente

**IBP Comment**

The external reviewer's comments are appreciated. In this regard, it should be noted that the date 16/12/2022 has been used given that the available evidence indicates that on that date the final version of the EB document was made available to the public online, through internal website monitoring and collaborative consultation with other stakeholders and document users.

**EB-4. If the EB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EB?**

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-do-ano-corrente>

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

**Comment:**

EB is available to the public in the website: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** There is also a direct link to the PDF of the EB

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469485.pdf>

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, we couldn't confirm the publication date of the reference suggested by the peer reviewer.

**EB-5. If the EB is published, are the numerical data contained in the EB available in a machine readable format?**

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>*

*Option "d" applies if the EB is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

**Answer:**

c. No

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

**Comment:**

The numerical data contained in the EB are not available in a machine readable format. It is available in a pdf format.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**EB-6a. If the EB is not publicly available, is it still produced?**

*If the EB is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EB-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EB-2)*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

**Answer:**

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

**Comment:**

the document is publicly available

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**EB-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EB-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.**

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EB-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

n/a n/a

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

**Comment:**

The document is available to the public

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**EB-7. If the EB is produced, please write the full title of the EB.**

*For example, a title for the Enacted Budget could be "Appropriation Act n. 10 of 2023."*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

Orçamento Geral do Estado para o ano de 2022 Orçamento Geral do Estado para o ano de 2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

**Comment:**

We use the EB of 2022 as a reference

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Orçamento Geral do Estado para o Exercício Económico de 2022

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. The answer is complemented with the information provided. The full name is: "Lei que Aprova o Orçamento Geral do Estado para o Exercício Económico de 2022"

**EB-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EB?**

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see:*

<https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>

**Answer:**

b. No

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

**Comment:**

There is a citizens version of the approved EB

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Direct pdf link: <https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**IBP Comment**

In an IBP consistency review, and for the purposes of methodological and cross-country consistency, the information presented is further reviewed, and the answer is adjusted from "A" to "B" in accordance with the responses given in CB-2a. According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology. The document is considered not publicly available.

**CB-1. What is the fiscal year of the CB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?**

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

*If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for each CB please indicate the document the CB simplifies/refers to, and the fiscal year.*

**Answer:**

2022 2022 2022

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Comment:**

According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is therefore considered not publicly available.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**CB-2a. For the fiscal year indicated in CB-1, what is the public availability status of the CB?**

*If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document (Executive's Budget Proposal or Enacted Budget) you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its public availability status.*

*Remember that publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

**Answer:**

a. Produced but made available online to the public too late (published after the acceptable time frame)

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

**Comment:**

According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is considered not publicly available, and therefore scores "a) Produced but made available online to the public too late (published after the acceptable time frame"

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

CB-2b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question CB-2a, please specify how you determined whether the CB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question CB-2a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

n/a n/a n/a

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

**Comment:**

According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is therefore considered not publicly available.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

CB-3a. If the CB is published, what is the date of publication of the CB?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

*If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its dates of publication.*

**Answer:**

22/06/2022 22/06/2022 22/06/2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

**Comment:**

It is not possible to determine the date on which the CB was published.

According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is therefore considered not publicly available.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**CB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the CB.**

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

It is not possible to determine the date on which the CB was published it is not possible to determine exactly the date of its publication

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Comment:**

It is not possible to determine the date on which the CB was published.

According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is therefore considered not publicly available.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**CB-4. If the CB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the CB?**

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

*If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its URL or weblink.*

**Answer:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Comment:**

According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is therefore considered not publicly available.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** <https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272592.pdf>

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. It's essential to emphasize that these comments do not contradict the researcher's assertions; instead, they complement them.

**CB-5. If the CB is produced, please write the full title of the CB.**

*For example, a title for the Citizens Budget could be "Budget 2022 People's Guide" or "2023 Proposed Budget in Brief: A People's Budget Publication."*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

*If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for the other CB, indicate the document the CB refers to and, next to it, its full title.*

**Answer:**

Orçamento Cidadão 2022 Orçamento Cidadão 2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Comment:**

Orçamento Cidadão 2022

According to the internal date in the properties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is therefore considered not publicly available.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**CB-6. If the CB is produced, please indicate which budget document it corresponds to.**

*If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and which budget document it simplifies.*

**Answer:**

Enacted Budget 2022 Enacted Budget 2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/publicacoes>

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-do-ano-corrente>

**Comment:**

Enacted Budget 2022

According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is therefore considered not publicly available.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**IYRs-1. What is the fiscal year of the IYRs evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?**

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

**Answer:**

2022 2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

we have 2022 as the reference year

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**IYRs-2. When are the IYRs made available to the public?**

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least seven of the last 12 monthly IYRs, or at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the IYRs.*

**Answer:**

d. The IYRs are not released to the public, or are released more than three months after the period covered

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies."

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Comments:** In the link provided, IYRs are available for all the quarters. The researcher has not explained when the documents were released or provided evidence that they were released more than three months after the period covered. The report for the first quarter is dated May 13, 2022.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. IBP confirms the researcher's evaluation. During the initial review (first semester of 2023 and beyond the cutoff date), only two out of the four IYRs were accessible. Notably, the IYRs for the executed budget in the third and fourth trimesters of 2022 were not published. First trimester 2022:

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf> Second trimester 2022:

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf> If at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies."

**IYRs-3a. If the IYRs are published, what are the dates of publication of the IYRs?**

*Specifically: if quarterly In-Year Reports are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least three of the last four IYRs that were publicly available. If monthly IYRs are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least seven of the last 12 IYRs that were publicly available.*

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD Month YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05 September 2022. If the document is not published or not produced, please mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:****Source:**

We were unable to determine the date of its publication

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf>

**Comment:**

In both reports (1rd and 2rd), May 13 and August 10 appears as the date of completion stated in the document, however it is not possible to determine the actual date of publication.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** This is a significant issue that potentially discredits the government of Angola. The researchers should contact the Ministry in writing about this and request a meeting to get the publication dates as they should be recorded in the system. Knowing the Angolan context this could be difficult but at least the effort should be made and documented by stating that the authorities have been contacted (with date) in writing to request information of publication dates of the concerned documents.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. IBP confirms the researcher's evaluation. During the initial review (conducted in the first semester of 2023 and beyond the cutoff date), only two out of the four IYRs were accessible, which is an indicative that the remaining documents were published later in 2023. Notably, the IYRs for the executed budget in the third and fourth trimesters of 2022 were not published. If at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies." As part of the robust review process, IBP has sought input on the draft OBS 2023 survey questionnaire from Angola's Ministry of Finance (MinFin). The ministry was invited to express their agreement or disagreement with the researcher's proposed responses, providing additional supporting evidence in case of disagreement. MinFin graciously accepted the invitation, and as demonstrated above, they have concurred with the suggested responses in this instance.

**IYRs-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the IYRs.**

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

We were unable to determine the date of its publication Annual reports are published quarterly at this time and it is not possible to determine the exact date on which they are published. Since there are only two of these public.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

Annual reports are published quarterly at this time and it is not possible to determine the exact date on which they are published. Since there are only two of these public.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

**Comments:** As noted earlier, there are four IYRs available of the MoF website. The 3rd and 4th are dated 12 December 2022 and 15 March 2023 respectively.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. IBP confirms the researcher's evaluation. During the initial review (conducted in the first semester of 2023 and beyond the cutoff date), only two out of the four IYRs were accessible, which is an indicative that the remaining documents were published later in 2023. Notably, the IYRs for the executed budget in the third and fourth trimesters of 2022 were not published. If at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies." As part of the robust review process, IBP has sought input on the draft OBS 2023 survey questionnaire from Angola's Ministry of Finance (MinFin). The ministry was invited to express their agreement or disagreement with the researcher's proposed responses, providing additional supporting evidence in case of disagreement. MinFin graciously accepted the invitation, and as demonstrated above, they have concurred with the suggested responses in this instance. Moreover, the dates for the 3rd and 4th IYRs, as kindly emphasized by the peer reviewer, correspond with the dates in the internal credits but do not serve as an indicator of online publication. Upon further examination of the internal properties of the PDFs, it was revealed that the documents were created on July 6 and 14,2023, respectively. This confirmation underscores that they were not accessible in 2022 and prior to the cut-off date.

**IYRs-4. If the IYRs are published, what is the URL or weblink of the IYRs?**

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Researchers should provide the weblink to the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the weblinks to older IYRs.*

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas> <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

Only two quarterly reports are published missing the remaining

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

**Comments:** Four reports are published

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** <https://cms.minfin.gov.ao/api/assets/portal-minfin/2458a55f-870a-485e-84ed-016edd0e0ef9/>

**IBP Comment**

The government reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. The answer is complemented with the information provided. Given changes on the Angolan MinFin website, the updated link is: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>. Regarding the peer reviewer comment, please see the IBP comment in IYRs 3b.

**IYRs-5. If the IYRs are published, are the numerical data contained in the IYRs available in a machine readable format?**

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>*

*Option "d" applies if the IYRs are not publicly available, therefore their machine readability cannot be assessed.*

**Answer:**

d. Not applicable

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The IYRs are published in a pdf format only

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** c. No

**Comments:** As they are published in PDF format answer should be "no"

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The peer reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Please see the IBP comment in IYRs 3b. Option "d" applies if the IYRs are considered not publicly available. If at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, they are considered not available. In the case of Angola, the 3rd quarter and 4th quarter IYR were published in late 2023.

**IYRs-6a. If the IYRs are not publicly available, are they still produced?**

*If the IYRs are not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question IYRs-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question IYRs-2).*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

**Answer:**

a. Produced but made available online to the public too late (published after the acceptable time frame)

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The document is partially produced and published.

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, then they are not considered publicly available.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** I have agreed but might be necessary to revise based on earlier comments.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

Please refer to IBP's comment in IYRs-3b.

**IYRs-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question IYRs-6a, please specify how you determined whether the IYRs were produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.**

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question IYRs-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

**Source:**

**Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:**

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:**

**IYRs-7. If the IYRs are produced, please write the full title of the IYRs.**

*For example, a title for the In-Year Report could be "Budget Monitoring Report, Quarter 1" or "Budget Execution Report January-March 2022."*

*If In-Year Reports are not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

*Researchers should provide the full title of the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the full titles of older IYRs.*

**Answer:**

Relatório de Execução do Orçamento Geral do Estado 2022 Relatório de Execução do Orçamento Geral do Estado 2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

Relatório de Execução do Orçamento Geral do Estado 2022

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Please note that question 6b has not been answered so I could not revise it.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Relatório de Execução do IV trimestre do OGE 2021 - <https://cms.minfin.gov.ao/api/assets/portal-minfin/b5f16fae-add7-4997-ae68-ed1e9855a763/>; Relatório de Execução do I trimestre do OGE 2022 - <https://cms.minfin.gov.ao/api/assets/portal-minfin/c7bd2ef9-0f53-42e5-b0ba-e83bb463ebc/>; Relatório de Execução do II trimestre do OGE 2022 - <https://cms.minfin.gov.ao/api/assets/portal-minfin/7feafde-7b02-4460-8f89-bbd4ab2a3543/>; Relatório de Execução do III trimestre do OGE 2022 - <https://cms.minfin.gov.ao/api/assets/portal-minfin/74db3597-a247-4a7d-af72-cac34178a55f/>

**IBP Comment**

Please see the IBP comment in IYRs 3b. If at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, they are considered not available. In the case of Angola, the 3rd quarter and 4th quarter IYR were published in late 2023.

**IYRs-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the IYRs?**

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see:*

<https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.

**Answer:**

b. No

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

There is no citizen version of IYRs

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**MYR-1. What is the fiscal year of the MYR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?**

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

**Answer:**

2022 2022

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The Executive does not produce a Mid-Year Review

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**MYR-2. When is the MYR made available to the public?**

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an MYR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends (i.e., three months after the midpoint of the fiscal year). If the MYR is not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the MYR.*

**Answer:**

d. The MYR is not released to the public, or is released more than three months after the midpoint

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The Executive does not produce MYR

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**MYR-3a. If the MYR is published, what is the date of publication of the MYR?**

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The Executive does not produce MYR

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**MYR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the MYR.**

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

n/a n/a

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The Executive does not produce MYR

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**MYR-4. If the MYR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the MYR?**

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The Executive does not produce MYR

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**MYR-5. If the MYR is published, are the numerical data contained in the MYR available in a machine readable format?**

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.*

*Option "d" applies if the MYR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

**Answer:**

d. Not applicable

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The Executive does not produce MYR

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**MYR-6a. If the MYR is not publicly available, is it still produced?**

*If the MYR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question MYR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question MYR-2).*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

**Answer:**

d. Not produced at all

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The Executive does not produce MYR

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**MYR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question MYR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the MYR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.**

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question MYR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

There is no evidence of some mid - year follow-up or another kind of internal reporting. There is no evidence of some mid - year follow-up or another kind of internal reporting.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The Executive does not produce MYR

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**MYR-7. If the MYR is produced, please write the full title of the MYR.**

*For example, a title for the Mid-Year Review could be "Semi-annual Budget Performance Report, FY 2021/22" or "Mid-Year Report on the 2022 National Budget."*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

n/a n/a

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The Executive does not produce MYR

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**MYR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the MYR?**

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

**Answer:**

b. No

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

According to the testimony of an official of the Ministry of Finance, the framework law of the general budget of the State does not require the preparation of a mid-year review and we have no evidence of some mid - year follow up or another kind of internal reporting.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**YER-1. What is the fiscal year of the YER evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?**

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

**Answer:**

2021 2021

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The framework law of the general budget of the State considers YER as being the General Account of the State (Conta Geral do Estado). For the purpose of the OBS 2023, we are considering the preliminary version of YER.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**YER-2. When is the YER made available to the public?**

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an YER to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than one year after the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the YER is not released to the public within one year after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the YER.*

**Answer:**

b. Nine months or less, but more than six months, after the end of the budget year

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

At the moment we have only published a preliminary version made on 10 September 2022.

The framework law of the general budget of the State considers YER as being the General Account of the State (Conta Geral do Estado). For the purpose of the OBS 2023, we are considering the preliminary version of YER.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Comments:** It is unclear to me from the OBS methodology if a "preliminary" version of the YER can be considered along the same lines as a final YER. Checking the MoF website also the 2020 YER is also listed as "preliminary". It is bad practice that the YER's are not finalized, especially in the covid-19 years where corruption risks are further elevated (and they are already very high in Angola). I will leave this to the discretion of IBP but my

recommendation would be to downgrade this to a "d".

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**YER-3a. If the YER is published, what is the date of publication of the YER?**

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

10/09/2022 10/09/2022

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

At the moment we have only publicised a preliminary version made on 10 September 2022.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Comments:** This is in line with my previous comment. Moreover, the answer is not consistent with previous answers to publication date. The report is dated on 10 September 2022 but it is not clear when it was made available to the public.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**YER-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the YER.**

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

At the moment we have only publicised a preliminary version made on 10 September 2022. We chose to estimate the date depending on the date the document was finalized. according to the report, this document was finalized on September 10, 2022.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The document available is the preliminary version, and while it has not yet been officially approved by the Legislature, it has been confirmed in consultation with IBP that for the purposes of the OBS 2023, we can consider the preliminary version of YER.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Comments:** It would have been helpful to have this information earlier. If it has been agreed with IBP to consider the preliminary version as the YER then disregard my previous comments. However, I don't think this is necessarily a correct decision. Also the comment does not address the issue of when the preliminary version was made available to the public.

**Government Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**YER-4. If the YER is published, what is the URL or weblink of the YER?**

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The document available is the preliminary version, and while it has not yet been officially approved by the Legislature, it has been confirmed in consultation with IBP that for the purposes of the OBS 2023, we can consider the preliminary version of YER.

**Peer Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**YER-5. If the YER is published, are the numerical data contained in the YER available in a machine readable format?**

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>*

*Option "d" applies if the YER is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

**Answer:**

c. No

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The document available is the preliminary version, and while it has not yet been officially approved by the Legislature, it has been confirmed in consultation with IBP that for the purposes of the OBS 2023, we can consider the preliminary version of YER.

The preliminary version of the document is in pdf format

**Peer Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**YER-6a. If the YER is not publicly available, is it still produced?**

*If the YER is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question YER-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question YER-2)*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

**Answer:**

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The preliminary version of document is produced and publicly available

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**YER-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question YER-6a, please specify how you determined whether the YER was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.**

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question YER-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

n/a n/a

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The document is produced but brought for approval by the Court of Auditors and the Legislature too late.

The document available is the preliminary version, and while it has not yet been officially approved by the Legislature, it has been confirmed in consultation with IBP that for the purposes of the OBS 2023, we can consider the preliminary version of YER.

**Peer Reviewer**  
**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
**Opinion:** Agree

**YER-7. If the YER is produced, please write the full title of the YER.**

*For example, a title for the Year-End Report could be "Consolidated Financial Statement for the Year Ended 31 March 2022" or "Annual Report 2021 Published by the Ministry of Finance and Planning." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

Conta Geral do Estado: Exercício Financeiro de 2020 e Conta Geral do Estado: Exercício Financeiro de 2021

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The document is produced but brought for approval by the Court of Auditors and the Legislature too late.

The document available is the preliminary version, and while it has not yet been officially approved by the Legislature, it has been confirmed in consultation with IBP that for the purposes of the OBS 2023, we can consider the preliminary version of YER.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** There is a "1" missing in the title (2021).

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Conta Geral do Estado 2021

**YER-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the YER?**

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

**Answer:**

b. No

**Source:**

n/a

**Comment:**

There is no Citizen version of the YER

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

**AR-1. What is the fiscal year of the AR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?**

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

**Answer:**  
2020

**Source:**

**Comment:**

The framework law for the general budget of the State indicates that budget oversight must be exercised by the Court of Accounts and the National Assembly

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

**AR-2. When is the AR made available to the public?**

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an AR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the AR is not released to the public at least 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the AR.*

**Answer:**

d. Does not release to the public, or is released more than 18 months after the end of the budget year

**Source:**

<https://parlamento.ao/index.html#>

**Comment:**

In the webpage of the Court of Accounts does not seem to contain Audit Reports.  
In the National Assembly's website, but with a considerable delay.

Peer Reviewer

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** I was unable to find anything on the NA's website.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**AR-3a. If the AR is published, what is the date of publication of the AR?**

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

**Source:**

n/a

**Comment:**

n/a

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**AR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the AR.**

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

N/A

**Source:**

n/a

**Comment:**

n/a

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**AR-4. If the AR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the AR?**

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

**Source:**

n/a

**Comment:**

n/a

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**AR-5. If the AR is published, are the numerical data contained in the AR available in a machine readable format?**

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>*

*Option "d" applies if the AR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

**Answer:**

d. Not applicable

**Source:**

n/a

**Comment:**

n/a

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**AR-6a. If the AR is not publicly available, is it still produced?**

*If the AR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question AR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question AR-2).*

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

**Answer:**

c. Produced for internal purposes/use only

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/sala-de-imprensa/noticias/8842/parlamento-aprova-conta-geral-do-estado>

**Comment:**

Some of the past Audit Reports are made available through the Parliament website after their approval by the Legislature, a process which can take quite a long time. While that happens, we can only assume that Audit Reports are available for internal use.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** d. Not produced at all

**Comments:** It is not sufficient to assume that the reports are available for internal use. The indication is that there are substantial delays from the Court of Accounts (which is bad practice but not unusual). This is sustained by the availability of preliminary YERs produced by the MoF. However, the researcher should conduct interviews with the parliamentary accounts committee (quinta commissao) and the Court of Accounts (Tribunal de Contas) to verify this information and not "assume".

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. Upon further consultation with the researcher and subsequent revisions, it was confirmed that the researcher held conversations with the SAI's staff (Tribunal de Contas), who clarified that although they produce the Audit Report document, it is not being published. In accordance with OBS guidelines, it is determined the current response is upheld.

**AR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question AR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the AR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.**

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question AR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

Some of the past Audit Reports are made available through the Parliament website after their approval by the Legislature, a process which can take quite a long time. While that happens, we can only assume that Audit Reports are available for internal use.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/sala-de-imprensa/noticias/8842/parlamento-aprova-conta-geral-do-estado>

**Comment:**

Some of the past Audit Reports are made available through the Parliament website after their approval by the Legislature, a process which can take quite a long time. While that happens, we can only assume that Audit Reports are available for internal use.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** As per previous question.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

Please see IBP's comment in AR-6a

**AR-7. If the AR is produced, please write the full title of the AR.**

*For example, a title for the Audit Report could be "Annual General Reports of the Controller and Auditor General." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

**Answer:**

Conta Geral do Estado para o ano de ...

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/conta-geral-do-estado>

**Comment:**

This document is produced and desonibilized to the public outside the deadlines determined by the research

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** As per previous answer.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Parecer Técnico à Conta Geral do Estado - [https://tcontas.ao/noticias/abrir\\_noticia/189](https://tcontas.ao/noticias/abrir_noticia/189)

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. Upon further revisions, the website of the Tribunal de Contas notes the name for older Audit Reports as follows: "Relatório e Parecer Sobre a Conta Geral do Estado (year)". The source cited by the government reviewer mentions: "Parecer Técnico à Conta Geral do Estado de 2020." As the document is not published and is intended for internal use only, the mentioned names above are indicative. <https://tcontas.ao/biblioteca>

**AR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the AR?**

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

**Answer:**

b. No

**Source:**

n/a

**Comment:**

This document is produced and desensitized to the public outside the deadlines determined by the research . There is no a citizens version of the AR

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**GQ-1a. Are there one or more websites or web portals for disseminating government fiscal information? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.**

*GQ-1a asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where fiscal information can be found. For example, in New Zealand the Treasury website (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/>) hosts important budget-related information, including the Pre-Budget Statement, the Executive's Budget Proposal, the Citizens Budget, In-Year Reports, the Mid-Year Review, and the Year-End Report. In addition, New Zealand's Parliamentary Counsel Office (<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/>) posts the Enacted Budget while the Controller and Auditor-General website (<http://www.oag.govt.nz/>) publishes the annual Audit Report. The New Zealand researcher would provide the links to each of these sites. Other countries have developed portals that include fiscal information, though not in the "documents" format. For example, these portals have been created by Mexico (<https://www.transparenciapresupuestaria.gob.mx/>) and Brazil (<http://www.portaltransparencia.gov.br/>). Some countries have both a website and a portal. The Brazilian government, for example, apart from the Transparency Portal, has a dedicated website for the federal budget, where all key documents and other information can be found (<https://www.gov.br/economia/pt-br/assuntos/planejamento-e-orcamento/orcamento>). Researchers should include details about all of the relevant websites and/or portals that can be used to access budget information.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes

**Source:**

<https://parlamento.ao/>

**Comment:**

The Ministry of Finance's website is the main source of budget information.

The web page of the National Assembly also publishes the budget law and other budget-related documents.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: b. No

Comments: The proper link to use seems to be: [https://parlamento.ao/ORCAMENTO\\_GERAL\\_ESTADO](https://parlamento.ao/ORCAMENTO_GERAL_ESTADO) However, there is NO information under this heading. If there is a link to a page of the NA's website that contains the information cited by the researcher, the correct link should be used as reference and not just the general website.

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

Comments: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/oge-passados> e [https://parlamento.ao/ORCAMENTO\\_GERAL\\_ESTADO](https://parlamento.ao/ORCAMENTO_GERAL_ESTADO).

**IBP Comment**

The external reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. The answer is complemented with the information provided by the government reviewer. Additionally, please note that the Angolan MinFin website was updated. Further information can be found at: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas> <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/sala-de-imprensa/publicacoes>

**GQ-1b. On these websites/portals, can revenue and/or expenditure data for the current fiscal year be downloaded as a consolidated, machine readable file (or set of files)? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see:**

<http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

*GQ-1b, GQ-1c, and GQ-1d ask about whether governments publish specific types of content on their websites/portals: (a) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for the current fiscal year; (b) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for multiple years in consistent formats; and (c) infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis. Researchers should provide the links to relevant webpages and some explanations of what they contain.*

**Answer:**

d. No, neither expenditure or revenue data can be downloaded as a consolidated file

**Source:**

<https://parlamento.ao/>

**Comment:**

the information available on parliament's website is very limited

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** A informação tem sido publicada em formato PDF no site do MINFIN.

**IBP Comment**

Additionally, please note that the Angolan MinFin website was updated. <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>  
<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/sala-de-imprensa/publicacoes>

**GQ-1c. On these websites/portals, can disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure data in consolidated, machine readable files be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.**

**Answer:**

c. Yes, but only revenue data can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats

**Source:**

<https://parlamento.ao/>

**Comment:**

Government publish consolidated files that contain revenue and expenditure information for the fiscal year. But mainly in pdf format

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** d. No, neither expenditure or revenue data can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats

**Comments:** As noted, I am unable to find this information on the NA's website. While this is available on the MoF website, data is not in a machine readable format.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** A fonte de informação deverá ser o site oficial do MINFIN.

**IBP Comment**

The external reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. The answer is complemented by the information provided by the government reviewer. Upon further consultation with the researcher and subsequent revisions, it is acknowledged that the MINFIN is the primary website. However, considering that most available information is not in a machine-readable format, the current response is revised from "A" to "C", given only information for revenues can be obtained: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/macroeconomia/receitas-petroliferas> Additionally, please note that the

Angolan MinFin website was updated. Further information can be found at: <https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>  
<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/sala-de-imprensa/publicacoes>

**GQ-1d. On these websites/portals, are infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.**

*GQ-1d asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis are present. For example, in South Africa the Vulekamali portal (<https://vulekamali.gov.za>) is a project by the National Treasury which contains visualizations of the Consolidated Budget Summary, by departments budget, by division of revenue and by infrastructure budget distribution. (See for example <https://vulekamali.gov.za/2022-23/national/departments/basic-education/>). Other countries that have developed portals that include fiscal visualization and graphics include: Brazil's Transparency Portal (<https://portaldatransparencia.gov.br/>), which presents line graphs, bar graphs, pie charts, and visual maps of electronic invoices, public expenditure, public revenue, annual budget, transferred resources, resources transferred by location of beneficiary, among other information. (<https://portaldatransparencia.gov.br/orcamento> and <https://portaldatransparencia.gov.br/transferencias>). Additionally, United States Department of the Treasury, Fiscal Data portal (<https://fiscaldata.treasury.gov/>) is a one-stop shop for federal financial data that uses charts, exhibits, and figures.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/grafico-do-oge>

**Comment:**

No comments.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**GQ-2. Are there laws in place guiding public financial management and/or auditing? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where the law(s) contains specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.**

*GQ-2 asks about the existence of any national laws governing public financial management and auditing. These may include a public finance act, a section of the constitution, or an organic budget law. In some countries, fiscal responsibility legislation may also be relevant. For example, the Kenya researcher may include the link to its Public Finance Management Act, 2012 (<http://kenyalaw.org/8181/exist/kenyalex/actview.xql?actid=No.%2018%20of%202012>), and the Macedonian researcher may include a link to its State Audit Law (<https://finance.gov.mk/wp-content/uploads/2009/05/Audit-law.pdf>). Researchers should provide links to websites where such laws are published, if possible, or an electronic copy of the law itself. They should also indicate if and where (e.g. which article) these laws include specific provisions for budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/mza5/mzuu/~edisp/leiquadroog19092016030935.pdf.pdf>

**Comment:**

Lei Quadro do OGE (Lei no 15/10)

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**GQ-3. Is there at least one additional law regulating: (1) access to information; (2) government transparency; or (3) citizens participation? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where these laws contain specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.**

*The third and last question asks researchers to list any additional laws regulating access to information, transparency, or citizens' participation that are relevant for the promotion of budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes. These might include legislation related to access to information, to planning processes, or to public administration more generally. India's Right to Information Act of 2005 (<https://www.ncss.gov.in/facilities/central-public-information-officer/rti-act-details.html>) is an example of this type of law. For more information on access to information legislation (constitutional provisions, laws, and regulations), including examples of model laws, see: <https://www.rti-rating.org/country-data/> and <https://www.constituteproject.org/>.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes

**Source:**

[https://www.pbatlas.net/uploads/7/0/6/1/70619115/decretos\\_presidenciais.pdf](https://www.pbatlas.net/uploads/7/0/6/1/70619115/decretos_presidenciais.pdf)

<http://www.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/mza5/mzuo/~edisp/leiquadroog19092016030935.pdf.pdf>

**Comment:**

Regarding access to information, Article 74 of the budget framework law (Lei Quadro do OGE, Lei 15/10) reads as follows:

ARTICLE 74

(Publicity)

The executive branch and the autarchic powers shall ensure the publication of all documents that are necessary to ensure proper disclosure and transparency of the corresponding budget.

With regard to citizen participation, a legal provision has been created that deals with participatory budgeting, although so far it has never been implemented. (Decreto Presidencial 234/19)

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: b. No

**Comments:** Angola remains extremely restrictive in terms access to information. To quote the Africa Freedom of Information Centre Submission to the UN Universal Periodic Review: "The Angolan constitution invokes the rights under the UDHR as well as other international instruments to which Angola is a signatory but is silent on the right to freedom of information. This exclusion is remarkable considering that the Angolan constitution – underlined by the principles of the UDHR, widely embraces fundamental rights and also provides for a limitations clause. The constitution remains silent on access to information in state hands." Also the Portuguese wording "que se revelem necessários" leaves the law open to interpretation (considered/proved necessary by whom?).

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Comments:** <https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4x/mjq5/~edisp/minfin1249281.pdf>

**IBP Comment**

The external reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. While acknowledging the concerns raised regarding access to information in Angola, it's essential to note that this question examines legal provisions rather than the actual practices on the ground. In specific if there is at \*least\* one additional law regulating concerning (1) access to information; (2) government transparency; or (3) citizens participation. Although the Angolan constitution may not explicitly mention the right to freedom of information, it does states: "Individuals shall be guaranteed the right to access archives and administrative records, without prejudice to the legal provisions for security and defense matters, state secrecy, criminal investigation, and personal privacy." [Article 200. No. 4 - (Rights and guarantees of individuals under public administration)] [https://www.constituteproject.org/constitution/Angola\\_2010](https://www.constituteproject.org/constitution/Angola_2010) Additionally, In terms of citizen participation, there exists a legal provision (Presidential Decree 234/19) addressing participatory budgeting; regardless it has not been implemented to date. And Lei Quadro do OGE, Lei 15/10, article 74 about publicity of the budget documents:

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/zmlu/mdmx/~edisp/minfin031801.pdf> Se examina el marco legal mas no la practica

**1. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 1 addresses the presentation of expenditure by administrative unit. This information indicates which government entity (ministry, department, or agency, or MDAs) will be responsible for spending the funds and, ultimately, held accountable for their use.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all administrative units, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the administrative units shown individually, in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation, must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. In other words, the sum of the expenditures assigned to the individual MDAs (education, health, infrastructure, interior, defense, etc.) must account for at least two-thirds of the total expenditure budgeted for that particular year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents administrative units that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by administrative unit.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, administrative units accounting for all expenditures are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388786.pdf>

**Comment:**

The document called "Dotação Orçamental por Órgão" annexed to the budget proposal presents expenditures classified by administrative unit.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**2. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by functional classification?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 2 addresses the presentation of expenditure by functional classification. This classification indicates the programmatic purpose, sector, or objective for which the funds will be used, such as health, education, or defense. Administrative units are not necessarily aligned with functional classifications. For instance, in one country all functions connected with water supply (which fall into the "Housing" function) may be undertaken by a single government agency, while in another country they may be distributed across the Ministries of Environment, Housing, and Industrial Development. In the latter case, three ministries have programs addressing water supply, so three ministries contribute to one function. Similarly, some administrative units may conduct activities that cut across more than one function. For instance, in the example above, some programs of the Ministry of Environment would also be classified in the "environmental protection" function.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by functional classification.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by functional classification.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469565.pdf>

**Comment:**

In the EBP there is a support document called "Despesa por Função" presenting expenditure by functional classification according to the COFOG standards.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**3. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by functional classification, is the functional classification compatible with international standards?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 3 asks whether a country's functional classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's functional classification must be aligned with the OECD and the UN's Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), or provide a cross-walk between the national functional presentation and COFOG.*

*The OECD Best Practices for Budget Transparency can be viewed at <http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/Best%20Practices%20Budget%20Transparency%20-%20complete%20with%20cover%20page.pdf>*

*COFOG can be viewed at [https://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesM/SeriesM\\_84E.pdf](https://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesM/SeriesM_84E.pdf) or at <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/ch6ann.pdf>.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the functional classification is compatible with international standards.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469565.pdf>

**Comment:**

the functional classification is compatible with international standards.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**4. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by economic classification?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 4 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification. Economic classification provides information on the nature of the expenditure, such as whether funds are being used to pay for wages and salaries, capital projects, or social assistance benefits. Please note that a presentation of expenditures by current and capital expenditures without additional*

*disaggregation or detail will not qualify as an economic classification.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469564.pdf>

**Comment:**

There is a budget proposal annex called "Despesa por Natureza Económica" presenting expenditure by economic classification

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**5. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by economic classification, is the economic classification compatible with international standards?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 5 asks whether a country's economic classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's economic classification must be consistent with the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) 2001 Government Finance Statistics (GFS). The GFS economic classification is presented here: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>. To learn more about Government Finance Statistics also refer to the entire IMF 2001 GFS manual (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf>).*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the economic classification is compatible with international standards.

**Source:**

**Comment:**

Economic classification is compatible with IMF GFS standards.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**6. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 6 asks whether expenditures are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should treat the term "program" as meaning any level of detail*

below an administrative unit – that is, any programmatic grouping that is below the ministry, department, or agency level. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as *le plan comptable* or *le plan comptable detaillé*. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Budget decisions for the upcoming year can also affect the parameters of future budgets. It is therefore useful to estimate revenues and expenditures for multi-year periods, understanding that these estimates might be revised as circumstances change. Sometimes referred to as a Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), a three-year period – that is, the budget year plus two more years – is generally considered an appropriate horizon for budgeting and planning.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388689.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388663.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388664.pdf>

**Comment:**

There is a budget proposal annex called "Despesa por Programa" presenting expenditure by Program. However, program classification only covers about 15% of total spending, the rest being classified as "Current Activities".

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Upon careful review, I believe the researcher rating is correct when the IBP guidelines are taken into consideration as well. The issue is that the Ministry of Finance finds that only part of the total budget is channeled through programs and hence the large share of spending that is classified as "current expenditure". The c rating acknowledges the effort while it is not best practice program budgeting. Only observation is that it seems that about 20 percent of total expenditure is classified as programs (not 15 percent as noted).

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

**Comments:** A parametrização do SIGFE apresenta as Acções como sendo um programa, e outros específicos para o Desenvolvimento.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher and the additional analysis conducted by the peer reviewer, as per the OBS guidelines, the current response of "C" is maintained.

**7. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 7 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure

classifications for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," multi-year expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

**Answer:**

d. No, multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any expenditure classification.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any expenditure classification.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

7b. Based on the response to Question 7, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for a multi-year period in the Executive's Budget Proposal?

**Answer:**

None of the above

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

There is no expenditure expenditure classification estimates for a multi-year period in the EBP

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

8. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by program?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 8 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

**A note for francophone countries:** "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as *le plan comptable* or *le plan comptable detaillé*. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional

classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures over the multi-year period. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if multi-year estimates are not presented by program.

Revenues generally are separated into two major categories: "tax" and "non-tax" revenues. Taxes are compulsory transfers that result from government exercising its sovereign power. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes. The category of non-tax revenues is more diverse, ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services. Note that some forms of revenue, such as contributions to social security funds, can be considered either a tax or non-tax revenue depending on the nature of the approach to these contributions. Particularly because different revenues have different characteristics, including who bears the burden of paying the tax and how collections are affected by economic conditions, it is helpful when estimates for revenues are disaggregated and displayed based on their sources.

For more information, please refer to the 2001 GFS manual, in particular Appendix 4 (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>).

**Answer:**

d. No, multi-year estimates for programs are not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

multi-year estimates for programs are not presented.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**9. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 9 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, individual sources of tax revenue accounting for all tax revenue are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388662.pdf>

**Comment:**

The individual sources of tax revenue accounting for all tax revenue are presented in EBP in an annex called "Receita por Natureza Económica".

**Peer Reviewer**  
**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
**Opinion:** Agree

**10. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of government-produced goods and services) for the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 10 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "non-tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The category of non-tax revenues is diverse, and can include revenue ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of non-tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all non-tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of non-tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all non-tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of non-tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of non-tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, individual sources of non-tax revenue accounting for all non-tax revenue are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388661.pdf>  
<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388662.pdf>

**Comment:**

The Executives Budget Proposal and its supporting documentation present most individual sources of non-tax revenue

**Peer Reviewer**  
**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
**Opinion:** Agree

**11. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 11 evaluates whether revenue estimates are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year) by "category," that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of revenues classified by category for at least two years following the budget year in question.*

**Answer:**

b. No, multi-year estimates of revenue are not presented by category.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388662.pdf>

**Comment:**

multi-year estimates of revenue are not presented by category.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Comments:** Não foram produzidas estimativas plurianuais

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Please note that the majority of questions have four possible responses, as well as "not applicable/other." There are a handful of yes/no questions, with option "c" being "not applicable/other." In general, the first response (letter "a") is the most positive answer, reflecting best practice for the subject matter of that question. The second response (letter "b") is intended to reflect good practice. A response of "c" reflects poor or weak practice, while the fourth response (letter "d") is the most negative. It is important to emphasize that because multi-year estimates of revenue were not produced and included in the Budget Proposal, per the OBS methodology, the appropriated score in this question is "B." In this scenario, is not possible to use the "not applicable/other", given that essential information is absent in the document.

**12. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates for individual sources of revenue presented for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 12 evaluates whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented for a multi-year period.*

**Answer:**

d. No, multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue are not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388662.pdf>

**Comment:**

multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue are not presented

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**13. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for**

## the budget year?

### GUIDELINES:

Question 13 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the entire budget year;
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year.

*Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF.*

*Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.*

*Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the entire budget year.*

#### Answer:

b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

#### Source:

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

#### Comment:

See Tabela 13 - Necessidades de Financiamento do Estado em 2023, page 51

#### Peer Reviewer

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Upon review, I agree with the finding of the researcher. Net borrowing and interest payments are estimated and a while figures on the total debt burden are included in graphic 10 and paragraph 66 this is for FY22 and hence not the budget year of the executive budget proposal FY23.

#### Government Reviewer

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

**Comments:** Esta informação está presente no Plano Anual de Endividamento -

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/nty0/~edisp/minfin3564892.pdf>

#### IBP Comment

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review and in consultation with the research team, no evidence could be identified to support a change in response. IBP agrees with the researcher's responses, therefore, the current response of "B" is maintained. As highlighted by the peer reviewer the information on the total debt burden at the end of the budget year (2023) is not present, some information is available but only for 2022. Please note that this question assesses the 2023 Executive Budget Proposal. This document reference by the government reviewer was published after the cutoff date (not part of EBP supporting documents), and therefore it is not considered for this assessment. Plano Anual de Endividamento -

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/nty0/~edisp/minfin3564892.pdf> RELATÓRIO DE FUNDAMENTAÇÃO  
<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

13b. Based on the response to Question 13, check the box(es) below to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

**Answer:**

The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year

The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

see Tabela 13 - Necessidades de Financiamento do Estado em 2023 page 51

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Yes!

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Esta resposta tem por base a Proposta Orçamental, excluindo outros documentos de apoio.

**IBP Comment**

Please see IBP's comment in q13.

14. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year?"

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 14 focuses on the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:*

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

*The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 13, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens, banks, and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.*

*Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding at the end of the budget year.*

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to composition of total debt outstanding is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Although the Executive publishes a very comprehensive document containing information related to composition of total debt outstanding, this document is not part of the list of documents that make up the Executive's budget proposal or supporting documentation.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Again, this is presented for FY22 but not project it until the end of FY23 (since they budget the interest payments surely they have a projection). It is strange that they don't include this in the budget when the information is readily available. Moreover, the detailed monthly data is only available until March (accessed September 2023) and should be summarized and simplified in the budget analysis report. Seems like an omission and would be good to bring it to the attention of the ministry.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, information beyond the core elements is presented for the composition of the total debt outstanding.

**Comments:** Tal como na pergunta 13a, esta resposta tem como base o PAE - enquanto um documento orçamental de apoio.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review and in consultation with the research team, no evidence could be identified to support a change in response. IBP agrees with the researcher's responses, therefore, the current response of "D" is maintained. As highlighted by the peer reviewer the information on the total debt burden at the end of the budget year (2023) and its composition is not present, some information is available but only for 2022. Please note that this question assesses the 2023 Executive Budget Proposal. This document reference by the government reviewer was published after the cutoff date (not part of EBP supporting documents), and therefore it is not considered for this assessment. Plano Anual de Endividamento - <https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/nty0/~edisp/minfin3564892.pdf>

14b. Based on the response to Question 14, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the composition of the total debt outstanding are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

**Answer:**

None of the above

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

Although the Executive publishes a document on the Ministry of Finance's website with comprehensive information on Interest rates on the debt, Maturity profile of the debt, Whether the debt is domestic or external, this document is not part of the Executive's budget proposal or supporting documentation.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Consideramos o Plano Anual de Endividamento como sendo um documento de apoio à proposta, pois o próprio mapa das necessidades de financiamento (tabela n.º 13, pág. 51) presente na proposta e orçamento aprovado é extraído do dele

**IBP Comment**

Please IBP's comment in q. 14.

15. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?"

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 15 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the budget's revenue and expenditure estimates, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

While the core macroeconomic information should be a standard feature of the Executive's Budget Proposal, the importance of some types of macroeconomic assumptions may vary from country to country. For example, the budget estimates of some countries are particularly affected by changes in the price of oil and other commodities.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short and long-term interest rates; rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

**Answer:**

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

see Tabela 8 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2020 - 2022 page 26; Tabela 12 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2021-2023. page 50; Gráfico 14 - Evolução recente das taxas de juro dos papéis do Tesouro page 36

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

**Comments:** The table referenced presents figures that are retrospective for previous years and hence do not represent a forecast. Table 7 contains a very basic forecast of GDP growth, broken down by oil and gas as well as non-oil.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review and in consultation with the research team, the current response is adjusted from "A" to "B", given that "interest rates" (taxa de juros) are missing, and this is only presented for BY 2022. The guidelines indicate that "Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented." See info in: Memo at the bottom of Tabela 12 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2021-2023 (Inflation and PIB) Tabela 6 - Taxas de Crescimento do PIB (%), 2021-2023 Tabela 7 - Taxas de Crescimento do PIB (%), 2024-2028 (PIB and PIB nominal) Beyond the core information (Memo at the bottom of Tabela 12 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2021-2023) PIB Petróleo e Gás Preço

do petróleo bruto

**15b. Based on the response to Question 15, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:**

**Answer:**

Nominal GDP level  
Inflation rate  
Real GDP growth  
Information beyond the core elements (please specify)

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

see Tabela 8 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2020 - 2022 page 26; Tabela 12 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2021-2023. page 50

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Exclude: inflation rate, interest rates and information beyond core elements. See previous comments, table 8 and 12 are not forecasts.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review and in consultation with the research team, the current response is adjusted to deselected "Interest rates", given that "interest rates" (taxa de juros) are missing, and this is only presented for BY 2022. The guidelines indicate that "Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented." See info in: Memo at the bottom of Tabela 12 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2021-2023 (Inflation and PIB) Tabela 6 - Taxas de Crescimento do PIB (%), 2021-2023 Tabela 7 - Taxas de Crescimento do PIB (%), 2024-2028 (PIB and PIB nominal) Beyond the core information (Memo at the bottom of Tabela 12 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2021-2023) PIB Petróleo e Gás Preço do petróleo bruto

**16. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget?"**

**(The core information must include estimates of the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 16 focuses on the issue of whether the Executive's Budget Proposal shows how different macroeconomic assumptions affect the budget estimates (known as a "sensitivity analysis"). It asks whether "core" information related to a sensitivity analysis is presented, estimating the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for:*

- *inflation rate;*
- *real GDP growth; and*
- *interest rates.*

*A sensitivity analysis shows the effect on the budget of possible changes in some macroeconomic assumptions, and is important for understanding the*

impact of the economy on the budget; for instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? Or what would happen to expenditure if inflation were higher than estimated? Or how will revenue be affected by a decrease in the price of oil?

As noted for Question 15, changes in certain macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil and other commodities, can have a significant impact on the budget estimates. As a result, some sensitivity analyses may also examine the impact on the budget estimates of changes in assumptions such as the price of oil that are beyond the core elements of the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.

For an example of how a sensitivity analysis may be presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal, see the following:

- The United States in its 2021 Budget Analytical Perspectives includes a section titled Sensitivity of the Budget to Economic Assumptions along with a table that shows the impact of each economic scenario on revenues, spending, and the deficit. (see pages 16 – 17, <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/BUDGET-2021-PER/pdf/BUDGET-2021-PER.pdf>).
- The Philippines in its 2021 Budget of Expenditures and Sources of Financing (BESF) presents the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions on expenditures, revenue, and debt (see page 131, Table A.6 titled "Budget Sensitivity to Macroeconomic Parameters, 2021," (<https://www.dbm.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/BESF/BESF2021/A6.pdf>); pages 12-13, Technical Notes on the 2021 Proposed National Budget (<https://www.dbm.gov.ph/images/pdf/Technical-Notes-on-the-2021-Proposed-National-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to a "sensitivity analysis" as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to a "sensitivity analysis" is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on "sensitivity analysis" is presented.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to different macroeconomic assumptions is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

the core information is presented to show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions on the budget.

see: Gráfico 6 - Taxa de Inflação Nacional page 14

Tabela 6 - Taxas de Crescimento do PIB (%), 2021-2023 pagina 17

Gráfico 14 - Evolução recente das taxas de juro dos papéis do Tesouro page 36

But the information presented is mostly for 2022; we are searching for information for 2023. I would say that there isn't a sensitivity analysis of the macroeconomic assumptions for 2023.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, information related to different macroeconomic assumptions is not presented.

**Comments:** I agree with the last part of the researchers comment but that implies a "d" rating. While a lot of the data is available retrospectively it is correct that it is not used to assess the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions. Oil price is a big one in Angola and the government seems to continue old practices of just setting a price (\$75) which has huge implications for the budget and debt levels. Even for illustrative purposes it would be good to include at least high and low scenarios.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review, the response from this question is adjusted from "C" to "D", given a sensitivity analysis should show the effect on the budget of possible changes in some macroeconomic assumptions, and is important for understanding the impact of the economy on the budget; for instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? - This level of information is not presented to sustain a "C" response.

**17. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.*

*Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion of the impact of the new policies, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.*

**Answer:**

d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect expenditure is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Most of the expenditure and revenue in the 2023 budget proposal reflects a continuation of existing policies. There is no emphasis in the budget support documents on new proposals, the deletion of an existing program, the introduction of a new program, or the modification of an existing program. We, therefore, consider that the budget proposal does not highlight sufficient detail on new policies and their budgetary impact.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**18. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.*

*Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion of the impact of the new policies, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.*

*Prior-year information constitutes an important benchmark for assessing the proposals for the upcoming budget year. Estimates of prior years should be presented in the same formats (in terms of classification) as the budget year to ensure that year-to-year comparisons are meaningful. For example, if the budget proposes shifting responsibility for a particular program from one administrative unit to another – such as shifting responsibility for the training of*

nurses from the health department to the education department – the prior-year figures must be adjusted before year-to-year comparisons of administrative budgets can be made.

Typically, when the budget proposal is submitted, the year prior to the budget year (BY-1), also known as the current year, has not ended, so the executive will provide estimates of the anticipated outcome for BY-1. The soundness of these estimates is directly related to the degree to which they have been updated to reflect actual expenditures to date, legislative changes that have occurred, and anticipated changes in macroeconomic, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

The first year that can reflect actual outcomes, therefore, is generally two years before the budget year (BY-2). Thus the OECD recommends that data covering at least two years before the budget year (along with two years of projections beyond the budget year) are provided in order to assess fully the trends in the budget.

**Answer:**

d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect revenues is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

The information does not refer to new revenue policies. The executive's budget proposal or supporting documentation does not provide estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditure or revenue.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**19. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 19 asks if expenditure estimates for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) are presented by one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-1 by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-1 must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are not presented by any of the three classifications.

**Answer:**

b. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by two of the three expenditure classifications.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

see:

Table 8 - Macro Fiscal Framework 2020 - 2022, page 26

Table 12 - Fiscal Macro-Fiscal Framework 2021-2023, page 50

Table 14 - Functional Distribution of Expenditure, page 53

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Indeed

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

**20. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 20 asks if expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-1. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-1. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.*

**Answer:**

d. No, expenditures are not presented by program for BY-1.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

The EBP does not present expenditure by program for BY-1

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

**21. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have expenditure estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect actual expenditures?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 21 asks whether the expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) have been updated from the original enacted levels. Updates can reflect actual experience to date; revised estimates due to shifting of funds by the executive, as permitted under the law; enactment of supplemental budgets; and revised assumptions regarding macroeconomic conditions, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.*

*Answer "a" applies if the estimates have been updated; answer "b" applies if the original estimates are still being used.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

In the "Relatório de Fundamentação" includes expenditure estimates for BY-1 by functional distribution

Table 8 - Macro Fiscal Framework 2020 - 2022, page 26

Table 12 - Fiscal Macro-Fiscal Framework 2021-2023, page 50

Table 14 - Functional Distribution of Expenditure, page 53

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Nice one. I checked this against the relatório de fundamentação 2022 and indeed the figures seem to reflect actual (probably estimated) expenditures. The table should make this clearer, however.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**22. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 22 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any of the three classifications*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by only one of the three expenditure classifications.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

see Table 12 - Macro Fiscal Framework 2021-2023, page 50

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

b. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by two of the three expenditure classifications.

**Comments:** Ver as páginas 50 e 53 do Relatório de Fundamentação do OGE 2023

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Please note that Table 12 shows information by economic classification for BY-2 (2021); however, the following information is only for 2022(BY-1) and 2023 (BY). In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher and further examination, as per the OBS guidelines, the current response of "C" is maintained.

22b. Based on the response to Question 22, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year in the Executive Budget Proposal:

**Answer:**

Economic classification

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Table 12 - Macro Fiscal Framework 2021-2023

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Classificação Económica e Classificação Funcional

23. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for more than one year preceding the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 23 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year before the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-2 and prior years. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-2 and prior years. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.*

**Answer:**

d. No, expenditures are not presented by program for BY-2 and prior years.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

expenditures are not presented by program for BY-2 and prior years.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**24. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 24 asks for which year the actual outcomes for expenditures are shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated all its expenditure data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.*

*For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.*

**Answer:**

d. No actual data for all expenditures are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Presents information on economic classification see Table 12 - Macro Fiscal Framework 2021-2023 (page 50) and Tabela 8 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2020 - 2022 (page 26)

Table 12 presents information till 2021 (BY-2), and "Tabela 8 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2020 - 2022", presents information for 2020 (BY-3) but it says preliminary, so actual outcomes of expenditures are not reflected.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** This ties in with the Conta Geral do Estado also not being finalized.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**25. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 are presented by category.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Tabela 8 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2020 - 2022, page 26

**Peer Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**26. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.*

**Answer:**

d. No, individual sources of revenue are not presented for BY-1.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

There is no information on individual sources of revenue for BY-1. Only broad groupings of revenue are presented.

**Peer Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**27. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have the original estimates of revenue for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated to reflect actual revenue collections?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

see: Tabela 12 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2021-2023, page 50

**Peer Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**Comments:** This one and question 20 do become a bit blurred when held up against the answers to questions 24 and 30. Are the updated figures in table 12 representing "actual" figures or just different budget estimates? This is hard to judge but I decide to agree with the researcher on this one.

**Government Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

28. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by category.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

see Table 12 - Macro Fiscal Framework 2021-2023, page 50

**Peer Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

29. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

**Answer:**

d. No, individual sources of revenue are not presented for BY-2 and prior years.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

There is no information on individual sources of revenue for BY-2 and prior years. Only broad groupings of revenue are presented (vide Table 12 - Macro Fiscal Framework 2021-2023)

**Peer Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
Opinion: Agree

**30. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

**Answer:**

d. No actual data for all revenues are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Presents information on economic classification see Table 12 - Macro Fiscal Framework 2021-2023 (page 50) and Tabela 8 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2020 - 2022 (page 26)

Table 12 presents information till 2021 (BY-2), and "Tabela 8 - Quadro Macro Fiscal 2020 - 2022", presents information for 2020 (BY-3) but it says preliminary, so actual outcomes of revenues are not reflected.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**31. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?**

(The core information must include the total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1; the amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1; interest payments on the debt; interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 31 focuses on prior-year debt information, rather than on prior-year expenditures or revenues, asking whether "core" information is provided on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1).

The "core" information includes:

- total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1;
- amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1;
- interest payments on the debt;
- interest rates on the debt instruments;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether it is domestic or external debt.

This core information for BY-1 is consistent with the budget year information for borrowing and debt, which is examined in Questions 13 and 14. Please note that for the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

In addition, some governments provide information beyond the core elements, such as gross new borrowing required during BY-1; currency of the debt; whether the debt carries a fixed or variable interest rate; whether it is callable; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for BY-1 as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or

supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on government borrowing and debt for BY-1.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Table 13 - Borrowing requirements of the State in 2023 (Amortizations of CMLP Debt)

Table 14 - Functional Expenditure Distribution (Financial Expenditure relative to Debt)

On page 35 there is a paragraph that talks about DIRECT DEBT OF THE STATE

The fundamentation report presents in Graph 10 - Debt Ratio (% GDP).

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

b. Yes, the core information is presented for government debt.

**Comments:** I would say this covers core information (for FY22).

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

b. Yes, the core information is presented for government debt.

**Comments:** Estamos a levar em consideração as informações contidas no PAE.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review and in consultation with the research team, no evidence could be identified to support a change in response. Some information is missing and only presented partially, e.g. maturity profile, and interest rates are only presented till October 2022 in a line graphic. IBP agrees with the researcher's responses, therefore, the current response of "C" is maintained. Gráfico 14 - Evolução recente das taxas de juro dos papéis do Tesouro. Gráfico 15 - Evolução das Yields das Eurobonds nas diferentes maturidades (only one instrument not the total debt) Please note that this question assesses the 2023 Executive Budget Proposal. This document reference by the government reviewer was published after the cutoff date (not part of EBP supporting documents), and therefore it is not considered for this assessment. Plano Anual de Endividamento - <https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/nty0/~edisp/minfin3564892.pdf>

**32. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 32 asks for which year the actual outcome for total debt outstanding is shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated its debt data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an “a” answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

It is essential that all government activities that may have an impact on the budget – in the current budget year or in future budget years – be fully disclosed to the legislature and the public in budget documents. In some countries, for instance, entities outside central government (such as public corporations) undertake fiscal activities that could affect current and future budgets. Similarly, activities that can have a significant impact on the budget, such as payment arrears and contingent liabilities, sometimes are not properly captured by the regular presentations of expenditure, revenue, and debt.

**Answer:**

d. No actual data for government debt are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

see Table 12 - Macro Fiscal Framework 2021-2023

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

**Comments:** A informação encontra-se na tabela 12, página 31 do Relatório de Fundamentação

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Upon further consultation with the researcher and subsequent revisions, is observed that the information for 2021 (BY-2) and 2020 (BY-3) is labeled as preliminary, indicating that these are not actual outcomes. Question 32 inquires about the year for which the actual outcome for total debt outstanding is presented (rather than estimating the outcome for that year). The current response of "D" is upheld.

**33. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on extra-budgetary funds for at least the budget year?"**

**(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund; and complete income, expenditure, and financing data on a gross basis.)"**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 33 focuses on extra-budgetary funds, asking whether "core" information related to these funds, which exist outside the budget, are presented. These core components include:*

- *a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund (i.e., why was a particular fund set up? what is it used for?); and*
- *estimates of its income, expenditure, and financing. (These estimates should be presented on a gross basis so that it is possible to tell how much money flows through each extra-budgetary fund.)*

*In most countries, governments engage in certain budgetary activities that are not included in the central government's budget. Known as extra-budgetary funds, they can range in size and scope. For example, countries frequently set up pension and social security programs as extra-budgetary funds, where the revenues collected and the benefits paid are recorded in a separate fund outside the budget. Another example of an extra-budgetary fund can be found in countries dependent on hydrocarbon/mineral resources, where revenues from producing and selling those resources are channeled through systems outside the annual budget.*

*In some cases, the separation engendered by an extra-budgetary fund serves a legitimate political purpose, and the finances and activities of these funds are well documented. In other cases, however, this structure is used for obfuscation, and little or nothing is known about a fund's finances and activities.*

*The availability of information related to extra-budgetary funds is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the government's true fiscal position. In addition to the core information, other information about extra-budgetary funds is also desirable. Such information includes a discussion of the risks associated with the extra-budgetary fund; expenditures classified by economic, functional, or administrative unit; and the rules and procedures that govern the operations and management of the extra-budgetary fund.*

*For more information about extra-budgetary funds, see the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 2.1.1 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to extra-budgetary funds as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. A "b" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation presents all of the core information. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to extra-budgetary funds is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on extra-budgetary funds.*

Please provide in the comments a list of all known extra-budgetary funds.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to extra-budgetary funds is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Information related to extra-budgetary funds is not presented.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**34. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 34 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documents present the finances of the central government on a consolidated basis, showing both its budgetary and extra-budgetary activities. Virtually all of the questions in the OBS questionnaire focus on budgetary central government – the activities of the ministries, departments, or agencies of central government. In addition, Question 33 asks about extra-budgetary funds, such as social security funds that are not included in the budget.*

*Coverage is an important aspect of fiscal reporting. Budget documents should cover the full scope of government's financial activity. In many countries, extra-budgetary activities are substantial, and can represent a sizable share of the central government's activities. To get a full picture of the central government's finances, therefore, it is necessary to examine both activities that are included in the budget and those that are extra-budgetary. This question asks whether such a consolidated presentation of central government finances, including both revenues and expenditures, is provided.*

*The central government is only one component of the overall public sector. The public sector also includes other levels of government, such as state and local government, and public corporations. (See Box 2.1 under Principle 1.1.1 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018):*

<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml> For the purpose of answering this question, please consider only the central government level.

*In order to answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year.*

**Answer:**

b. No, central government finances are not presented on a consolidated basis.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

The central government is only one component of the overall public sector. The public sector also includes other levels of government, such as state and local governments, and public corporations. Information is not presented on a consolidated basis.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Also confirmed by recent IMF Article IV (2022), table 1

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

**35. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of intergovernmental transfers for at least the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 35 asks about intergovernmental transfers. In many cases, the central government supports the provision of a good or service by a lower level of government through an intergovernmental transfer of funds. This is necessary because, independent from the level of administrative decentralization that exists in a given country, the capacity for revenue collection of a local government is unlikely to be sufficient to pay for all its expenses. However, because the activity is not being undertaken by an administrative unit of the central government, it is unlikely to receive the same level of review in the budget. Thus it is important to include in the budget proposal a statement that explicitly indicates the amount and purposes of these transfers.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all intergovernmental transfers and a narrative discussing these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all intergovernmental transfers are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, intergovernmental transfers (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of intergovernmental transfers are presented.*

**Answer:**

b. Yes, estimates of all intergovernmental transfers are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388967.pdf>

**Comment:**

Estimates of all intergovernmental transfers are presented (annex: resumo da despesa por local), but a narrative discussion is not included.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

**36. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present alternative displays of expenditures (such as by gender, by age, by income, or by region) to illustrate the financial impact of budget policies on different groups of citizens, for at least the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 36 asks about "alternative displays" of expenditures that highlight the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens. As discussed above, expenditures are typically presented by at least one of three classifications – administrative, functional, and economic classifications (see Questions 1-5) – and by individual program (Question 6). In addition, governments can provide alternative displays to emphasize different aspects of expenditure policies and to show who benefits from these expenditures.*

*For the purpose of answering this question, the alternative presentation must differ from the presentations (such as administrative, functional, or economic classifications or presentation by program) used to answer other questions. The alternative display can cover all expenditures or only a portion of expenditures. For instance, it can show how all expenditures are distributed according to geographic region or it can show how selected expenditures (such as the health budget or the agriculture budget) are distributed to different regions. But such a geographic display must be something different than the presentation of intergovernmental transfers used to answer question 35. One exception is when a country includes a special presentation of all policies intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (and is used to answer Question 52) then that can be considered an alternative display for purposes of answering this question as well. Finally, brief fact sheets showing how proposals in the budget benefit particular groups would be insufficient; only more detailed presentations would be considered.*

*The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?"*

*(<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a*

discussion of the importance of alternative displays of budget information and provides a number of examples. For instance,

- Bangladesh in its 2017-18 Budget included a detailed supplementary Gender Budgeting Report, which presents the spending dedicated to advancing women across various departments. (<https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/3bb14732-b5b1-44df-9921-efedf1496295>).
- The UK's 2017 budget included a supplementary analysis that provided a distributional analysis of the budget by households in different income groups (see [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/661465/distributional\\_analysis\\_autumn\\_budget\\_2017.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/661465/distributional_analysis_autumn_budget_2017.pdf))
- South Africa's 2017 Budget Review goes beyond the standard presentation of intergovernmental transfers, discussing the redistribution that results from national revenue flowing to the provinces and municipalities and presenting the allocations on a per capita basis (see chapter 6, <http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2017/review/FullBR.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least three different presentations that illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least two different alternative displays of expenditures. A "c" applies if only one type of alternative display of expenditure is presented. Answer "d" applies if no alternative display of expenditure is presented.

**Answer:**

b. Yes, two alternative displays of expenditures are presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

Alternative displays of expenditure are not presented to illustrate impact of policies on different groups of citizens

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

c. Yes, one alternative display of expenditures is presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

**Comments:** Gender budgeting is included in the budget analysis.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

b. Yes, two alternative displays of expenditures are presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

**Comments:** Temos exibições territorial e por género, páginas 58 e 59 do Relatório de Fundamentação do OGE 2023.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review, it's observed an alternative display by gender and by the territorial impact of expenditure. Specifically, it can be observed in "Tabela 16 - Programas com forte impacto ao Género", which highlights the G3 (with actions with a strong impact on the promotion of gender equality). Also, in the programmatic presentation (Dotação Orçamental por Programa), the gender marker is included.

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388663.pdf> See page 55 to 57 for the geographical presentation. RELATÓRIO DE FUNDAMENTAÇÃO

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf> In this sense, the response is adjusted from "D" to "B".

36b. Based on the response to Question 36, select the box(es) below to identify which types of alternative displays are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

**Answer:**

Impacts of budget policies by gender

Impacts of budget policies by geographic region

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388661.pdf>

**Comment:**

None of the above

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Impacts of budget policies by gender

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Impactos das políticas orçamentais por género; Impactos das políticas orçamentais por região geográfica (territoriais).

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review, it's observed an alternative display by gender and by the territorial impact of expenditure. Specifically, it can be observed in "Tabela 16 - Programas com forte impacto ao Género", which highlights the G3 (with actions with a strong impact on the promotion of gender equality). Also, in the programmatic presentation (Dotação Orçamental por Programa), the gender marker is included.

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388663.pdf> See page 55 to 57 for the geographical presentation. RELATÓRIO DE FUNDAMENTAÇÃO

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf> In this sense, the response is adjusted from "None" to "Impacts of budget policies by gender" and "Impacts of budget policies by geographic region".

**37. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of transfers to public corporations for at least the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 37 asks about transfers to public corporations. It is often the case that governments have a stake in enterprises that manage resources that are particularly relevant for the public good (such as electricity, water, and oil). While these public corporations can operate independently, in some cases the government will provide direct support by making transfers to these corporations, including to subsidize capital investment and operating expenses.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all transfers to public corporations and a narrative discussing the purposes of these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all transfers to public corporations are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, transfers to public corporations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented.*

*Please provide in the comments a list of all known public corporations.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all transfers to public corporations are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388686.pdf>

**Comment:**

the supporting document designated as: Resumo Da Despesa Por Natureza Económica ( expenditures by economic classification) there is a generic item on "capital transfer to other corporations" (see: Transferencias De Capital). Although it is very minimal.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates of all transfers to public corporations are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. In the table on expenditures by economic classification (Resumo Da Despesa Por Natureza Económica) there is only a generic line item on "capital transfer to other corporations" (Transferências De Capital Para Outras Empresas) <https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388686.pdf> A search of the other documents did not provide further evidence. The OBS methodology states that "answer "c" also applies if estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented as a single line item". In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher and further examination, as per the OBS guidelines, the current response of "C" is maintained.

**38. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year?**

**(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity and the intended beneficiaries.)**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 38 focuses on quasi-fiscal activities, asking whether "core" information related to such activities is presented. These core components include:*

- *A statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity (i.e., what is the reason for engaging in this activity?);*
- *The identification of intended beneficiaries of the quasi-fiscal activity.*

*The term "quasi-fiscal activities" refers to a broad range of activities that are fiscal in character and could be carried out through the regular budget process but are not. For example, a quasi-fiscal activity could take place if, instead of providing a direct subsidy through the budget for a particular activity, a public financial institution provides an indirect subsidy by offering loans at below-market rates for that activity. Similarly, it is a quasi-fiscal activity when an enterprise provides goods or services at prices below commercial rates to certain individuals or groups to support the government's policy goals.*

*The above examples are policy choices that may be approved by the government and legislature. However, quasi-fiscal activities can also involve activities that violate or circumvent a country's budget process laws or are not subject to the regular legislative approval process for expenditures. For example, the executive may issue an informal order to a government entity, such as a public commercial enterprise, to provide the executive with goods and services that normally would have to be purchased with funding authorized by the legislature. All quasi-fiscal activities should be disclosed to the public and subject to public scrutiny.*

*Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about quasi-fiscal activities, including for example: the anticipated duration of the quasi-fiscal activity; a quantification of the activity and the assumptions that support these estimates; and a discussion of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the activity, including the impact on the entity carrying out the activity. Principle 3.3.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) provides examples of quasi-fiscal activities that can be consulted as needed. And more details on quasi-fiscal activities can be found in the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to all quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to quasi-fiscal activities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on quasi-fiscal activities.*

*If quasi-fiscal activities do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question.*

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

Very frequently state-owned enterprise like Sonangol, TAAG, Angola Telecon and others realize a lot of quasi-fiscal activities such as funding several philanthropic or cultural initiatives. But information related to quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**39. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?**

**(The core information must include a listing of the assets, and an estimate of their value.)**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 39 focuses on financial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. These core components include:*

- *A listing of the financial assets; and*
- *An estimate of their value.*

*Governments own financial assets such as cash, bonds, or equities. Unlike private sector businesses, however, few governments maintain balance sheets that show the value of their assets and liabilities.*

*Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about financial assets, including for example: a discussion of their purpose; historical information on defaults; differences between reported values and market values; and a summary of financial assets as part of the government's balance sheet.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to all financial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to financial assets is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on financial assets held by the government.*

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to financial assets is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Information related to the listing of the financial assets and an estimate of their value is not presented .

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**40. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on nonfinancial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?**

(The core information must include a listing of the assets by category.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 40 focuses on nonfinancial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. The core information is a listing of nonfinancial assets, grouped by the type (or category) of asset.

Nonfinancial assets are things of value that the government owns or controls (excluding financial assets) such as land, buildings, and machinery. The valuation of public nonfinancial assets can be problematic, particularly in cases where the asset is not typically available on the open market (such as a government monument). In these cases, it is considered acceptable to provide summary information in budget documents from a country's register of assets. But, in some cases, governments are able to value their nonfinancial assets; some present a summary of nonfinancial assets as part of their balance sheets. For an example of how nonfinancial assets are presented in one of the many supporting documents to the New Zealand Executive's Budget Proposal, see the Forecast Financial Statement 2011, Notes to the Financial Statements (Continued), Note 14, accessible here: <https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2011-05/befu11-pt6of8.pdf>.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year a listing by category of all nonfinancial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to nonfinancial assets is presented, but some nonfinancial assets are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on nonfinancial assets held by the government.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to nonfinancial assets is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Information related to nonfinancial assets is not presented.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**41. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure arrears for at least the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 41 asks about estimates of expenditure arrears, which arise when government has entered into a commitment to spend funds but has not made the payment when it is due. (For more information see sections 3.49-3.50 of the IMF's GFS Manual 2001, <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf> (page 29)). Though equivalent to borrowing, this liability is often not recorded in the budget, making it difficult to assess fully a government's financial position. Moreover, the obligation to repay this debt affects the government's ability to pay for other activities.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all expenditure arrears and a narrative discussing the arrears. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all expenditure arrears are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, expenditure arrears (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of expenditure arrears are presented. Answer "d" also applies if information is only available for the changes in arrears, and not the stock or balance of arrears.

If expenditure arrears do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question. Public expenditure management laws and regulations often will allow for reasonable delays, perhaps 30 or 60 days, in the routine payment of invoices due. Expenditure arrears impacting a small percentage of expenditure that are due to contractual disputes should not be considered a significant problem for the purpose of answering this question.

**Answer:**

d. No, estimates of expenditure arrears are not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

We found no relevant data on payment of arrears

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all expenditure arrears are presented.

**Comments:** <https://cms.minfin.gov.ao/api/assets/portal-minfin/7bc0679a-fb06-4739-a697-260c7f20770b/> Pág. 42/43

**IBP Comment**

The government reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review and in consultation with the research team, no evidence could be identified regarding "restos a pagar" to support a change in response. Therefore, the current response of "D" is upheld. Additionally, the government is quoting the link for the Enacted Budget 2023.

The adequate link for the Budget Proposal is:

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**42. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities, such as government loan guarantees or insurance programs, for at least the budget year?**

**(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability; the new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments (the gross exposure) at the end of the budget year.)**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 42 focuses on contingent liabilities, asking whether "core" information related to these liabilities is presented. These core components include:*

- *a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability;*
- *the new contingent liabilities for the budget year, such as new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and*
- *the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments at the end of the budget year. This reflects the gross exposure of the government in the case that all guarantees or commitments come due (even though that may be unlikely to occur).*

*Contingent liabilities are recognized under a cash accounting method only when the contingent event occurs and the payment is made. An example of such liabilities is the case of loans guaranteed by the central government, which can include loans to state-owned banks and other state-owned commercial enterprises, subnational governments, or private enterprises. Under such guarantees, government will only make a payment if the borrower defaults. Thus a key issue for making quantitative estimates of these liabilities is assessing the likelihood of the contingency occurring.*

*In the budget, according to the OECD, "[w]here feasible, the total amount of contingent liabilities should be disclosed and classified by major category reflecting their nature; historical information on defaults for each category should be disclosed where available. In cases where contingent liabilities cannot be quantified, they should be listed and described."*

*Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about contingent liabilities, including for example: historical default rates for each program, and likely default rates in the future; the maximum guarantee that is authorized by law; any special financing associated with the guarantee (e.g., whether fees are charged, whether a reserve fund exists for the purpose of paying off guarantees, etc.); the duration of each guarantee; and an estimate of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the guarantees.*

*For more details on contingent liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and page 59 (Box 11) and Principle 3.2.3 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).*

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to contingent liabilities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to contingent liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on contingent liabilities.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to contingent liabilities is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

Information related to contingent liabilities is not presented

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**43. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present projections that assess the government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer term?**

**(The core information must cover a period of at least 10 years and include the macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used and a discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections.)**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 43 focuses on government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer-term, asking whether "core" information related to these issues is presented. These core components must include:

- Projections that cover a period of at least 10 years.
- The macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used in making the projections.
- A discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections. Good public financial management calls for budgets to include fiscal sustainability analyses.

The IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) recommends that governments regularly publish the projected evolution of the public finances over the longer term (see Principle 3.1.3.). Future liabilities are a particularly important element when assessing the sustainability of public finances over the long term. Future liabilities are the result of government commitments that, unlike contingent liabilities, are virtually certain to occur at some future point and result in an expenditure. A typical example consists of government obligations to pay pension benefits or cover health care costs of future retirees. Under a cash accounting system, only current payments associated with such obligations are recognized in the budget. To capture the future impact on the budget of these liabilities, a separate statement is required.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about the sustainability of their finances, including for example: projections that cover 20 or 30 years; multiple scenarios with different sets of assumptions; assumptions about other factors (such as the depletion of natural resources) that go beyond just the core macroeconomic and demographic data; and a detailed presentation of particular programs that have long time horizons, such as civil service pensions.

For more details on future liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of government finances over the longer term as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements

is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to future liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on future liabilities and the sustainability of government's finances

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of finances over the longer term is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of finances over the longer term is not presented.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**44. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind, for at least the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 44 asks about estimates of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind assistance. Such assistance is considered non-tax revenue, and the sources of this assistance should be explicitly identified. In terms of in-kind assistance, the concern is primarily with the provision of goods (particularly those for which there is a market that would allow goods received as in-kind aid to be sold, thereby converting them into cash) rather than with in-kind aid like advisors from a donor country providing technical assistance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all donor assistance and a narrative discussing the assistance. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all donor assistance are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, donor assistance (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if the sources of donor assistance are not presented, but the total amount of donor assistance is presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of donor assistance are presented. Select answer "e" if your country does not receive donor assistance.

**Answer:**

d. No, estimates of the sources of donor assistance are not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

Estimates of the sources of donor assistance are not presented

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

45. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on tax expenditures for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each tax expenditure, the intended beneficiaries, and an estimate of the revenue foregone.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 45 focuses on tax expenditures, asking whether "core" information related to these tax preferences is presented. These core components must include for both new and existing tax expenditures:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale;
- a listing of the intended beneficiaries; and
- an estimate of the revenue foregone.

Tax expenditures arise as a result of exceptions or other preferences in the tax code provided for specified entities, individuals, or activities. Tax expenditures often have the same impact on public policy and budgets as providing direct subsidies, benefits, or goods and services. For example, encouraging a company to engage in more research through a special tax break can have the same effect as subsidizing it directly through the expenditure side of the budget, as it still constitutes a cost in terms of foregone revenues. However, expenditure items that require annual authorization are likely to receive more scrutiny than tax breaks that are a permanent feature of the tax code.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about tax expenditures, including for example: the intended beneficiaries by sector and income class (distributional impact); a statement of the estimating assumptions, including the definition of the benchmark against which the foregone revenue is measured; and a discussion of tax expenditures as part of a general discussion of expenditures for those program areas that receive both types of government support (in order to better inform policy choices). For more details on tax expenditures, see *Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget* (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 1.1.4 of the IMF's *Fiscal Transparency Handbook* (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, all of the core information related to tax expenditures as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to tax expenditures is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on tax expenditures.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to tax expenditures is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

Both information ( new and existing) related the tax expenditures including a statement of purpose or policy rationale, a listing of the intended beneficiaries, and an estimate of the revenue foregone are not presented.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

46. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of earmarked revenues for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 46 asks about estimates of earmarked revenues, which are revenues that may only be used for a specific purpose (for example, revenues from a tax on fuel that can only be used for building roads). This information is important in determining which revenues are available to fund the government's general expenses, and which revenues are reserved for particular purposes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all individual earmarked revenues and a narrative discussing the earmarks. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all individual earmarked revenues are

presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, earmarked revenues (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of earmarked revenues are presented. An "e" response applies if revenue is not earmarked or the practice is disallowed by law or regulation.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all earmarked revenues are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388661.pdf>

**Comment:**

A estimates of some but not all earmarked revenues are presented. in the annex RECEITA POR FONTE DE RECURSO .

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, estimates of earmarked revenues are not presented.

**Comments:** The receita por fonte de recurso does not indicate what the revenue should be spent on, therefore it has nothing to do with earmarking and generally this is not practiced by the Angolan government (and actually it is not considered good practice in PFM).

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

b. Yes, estimates of all earmarked revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

**IBP Comment**

The external reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. The Annex "RECEITA POR FONTE DE RECURSO", presents two generic line items, namely "Recursos Consignados - Diversos" and "Recursos Consignados - Local.;" in methodological and cross-country consistency, the combined total for all designated revenues without specifying individual earmarked sources is adequate to warrant a score of "C." Per the OBS guidelines, the current response of "C" is maintained.

**47. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, information that shows how the proposed budget is linked to some but not all of the government's policy goals for the budget year is presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

The explanatory report mentions a series of multi-annual plans such as the Municipalities Intervention Plan (PIM), the Fisheries Development Plan (PLANOPESCA), the Livestock Development Plan (PLANOPECUARIA) and the Grain Production Development Plan (PLANOGRÃO)

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government's stated policy goals for the budget year is not presented.

**Comments:** While it is true that the above mentioned programs are covered in the budget document the discussion is totally disconnected from the budget. The focus is exclusively on expected growth in these sectors, unrelated to any financing that goes into the sector (e.g. implications for example of subsidizing fertilizers for agricultural production and tax credits for imports of agricultural machinery). Even the discussion of the roads sector does not include any estimates of what the related budget expenditure will be. This is a long-standing problem in Angola and reflects the continued disconnect between national (pie-in-the-sky) plans and the budget and fiscal policy.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates that show how the proposed budget is linked to all the government's policy goals for the budget year are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

**Comments:** Além das políticas e planos purianuais que os investigadores apresentam, nós consideramos a parte programática do Orçamento.

**Researcher Response**

see al chapter 3 "ESTRATÉGIA DE CRESCIMENTO E POLÍTICA FISCAL PARA 2023"

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review, the current response is sustained. The information of Chapter 3 "ESTRATÉGIA DE CRESCIMENTO E POLÍTICA FISCAL PARA 2023", although limited offers insights into the connection between the proposed budget and some, though not all, of the government's policy objectives for the budget year.

**48. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

**Answer:**

d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government's stated policy goals for a multi-year period is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388777.pdf>

**Comment:**

The explanatory report mentions a series of multi-annual plans such as the Municipalities Intervention Plan (PIM), the Fisheries Development Plan (PLANOPESCA), the Livestock Development Plan (PLANOPECUARIA) and the Grain Production Development Plan (PLANOGRÃO)

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government's stated policy goals for a multi-year period is not presented.

**Comments:** Even less so. There is very little multiyear focus in the budget.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates that show how the proposed budget is linked to all the government's policy goals for a multi-year period are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

**Comments:** O Orçamento é apenas um dos instrumentos de que se serve o Sistema Nacional de Planeamento.

**Researcher Response**

can be c

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review, IBP is in agreement with the peer reviewer's observation. The information in Chapter 3 "ESTRATÉGIA DE CRESCIMENTO E POLÍTICA FISCAL PARA 2023", only covers information till 2023. The information presented doesn't cover a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year). In that sense, the current response is adjusted from "C" to "D".

**49. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on inputs to be acquired for at least the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 49 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on inputs for the budget year. (Nonfinancial data on outputs and outcomes are addressed in Question 50.)

*The budget should disclose not only the amount of money that is being allocated on a program but also any information needed to analyze that expenditure. Nonfinancial data and performance targets associated with budget proposals are used to assess the success of a given policy. For example, even when allocated funds are spent according to plan, there remains the question of whether the policy delivered the results that it aimed to achieve.*

*Nonfinancial data can include information on:*

*Inputs - These are the resources assigned to achieve results. For example, in regards to education, nonfinancial data on inputs could include the number of books to be provided to each school or the materials to be used to build or refurbish a school.*

*Outputs - These are products and services delivered as a result of inputs. For example, the number of pupils taught every year; the number of children that received vaccines; or the number of beneficiaries of a social security program.*

*Outcomes - These are the intended impact or policy goals achieved. For example, an increase in literacy rates among children under 10, or a reduction in rates of maternal mortality.*

*In addition, governments that set performance targets must use nonfinancial data for outputs and outcomes to determine if these targets have been met.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program is organized by functions. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on inputs for all administrative units or all functions, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on inputs are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on inputs is presented.*

**Answer:**

d. No, nonfinancial data on inputs are not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

Nonfinancial data on inputs are not presented

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**50. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on results (in terms of outputs or outcomes) for at least the budget year?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 50 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 49).*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on results for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on results for each individual program is organized by functional classification. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on results for all administrative units or all functional classifications, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on results are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on results is presented.*

**Answer:**

d. No, nonfinancial data on results are not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

Nonfinancial data on results are not presented

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**51. Are performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results in the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 51 asks about performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. The question applies to those nonfinancial results shown in the budget, and that were identified for purposes of Question 50.*

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to all nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to a majority (but not all) of the nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget. A "c" response applies performance targets are assigned only to less than half of the nonfinancial data on results. Answer "d" applies if no performance targets are assigned to nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget, or the budget does not present nonfinancial results.

**Answer:**

d. No, performance targets are not assigned to nonfinancial data on results, or the budget does not present nonfinancial data on results.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge2023>

**Comment:**

Performance targets are not assigned to nonfinancial data on results, or the budget does not present nonfinancial data on results.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**52. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations in at least the budget year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 52 asks whether the budget highlight policies, both new and existing, that benefit the poorest segments of society. This question is intended to assess only those programs that directly address the immediate needs of the poor, such as through cash assistance programs or the provision of housing, rather than indirectly, such as through a stronger national defense. This information is of particular interest to those seeking to bolster government's commitment to anti-poverty efforts. For purposes of answering this question, a departmental budget (such for the Department of Social Welfare) would not be considered acceptable. In general, this question is asking whether the EBP includes a special presentation that pulls together estimates of all the relevant policies in one place. However, if the country uses "program budgeting," where programs are presented as expenditure categories with specific and identified objectives, and it identifies anti-poverty programs within each administrative unit, then that is also acceptable for this question.

The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?"

(<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a discussion of countries that have provided information on how its policies affect the poor.

For instance, Pakistan provides a detailed breakdown of pro-poor expenditure as part of its 2017-18 budget proposal. In one document, the government sets out policy priorities, expected outputs, and estimates of past and future spending for several programs aimed at poverty alleviation. Another supporting document provides a comprehensive overview of ongoing policies, including a chapter on social safety nets, covering both financial and performance information of poverty alleviation schemes over a period of eight years. ([http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtbf\\_2018\\_21.pdf](http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtbf_2018_21.pdf) and [http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey\\_1718.html](http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey_1718.html)).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must for at least the budget year both present estimates covering all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations and include a narrative discussion that specifically addresses these policies. (For countries using program budgeting that breaks out individual anti-poverty programs, there should be a separate narrative associated with each such program.) Answer "b" if a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented. Answer "c" if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" if no estimates of policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388663.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzg4/~edisp/minfin3388689.pdf>

**Comment:**

We can see the summary of expenditure by programme and also the budgetary allocation by gender

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

b. Yes, estimates of all policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

**IBP Comment**

The budget annex (RESUMO DA DESPESA POR PROGRAMA) highlights some programs that benefit the poorest segments of society, ex: 1101 - Desenvolvimento Local e Combate À Pobreza; 1104 -Valorização Da Família E Reforço Das Competências Familiares. However, this information is constrained and lacks accompanying explanations. In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher and further examination, as per the OBS guidelines, the current response of "C" is maintained.

**53. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal (that is, a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation)?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 53 asks about the budget timetable. An internal timetable is particularly important for the executive's management of the budget preparation process, in order to ensure that the executive accounts for the views of the different departments and agencies in the proposed budget. The timetable would, for instance, set deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. So that civil society is aware of the various steps in the budget formulation process, and when opportunities may exist to engage the executive, it is essential that this timetable be made available to the public.*

*To answer "a," the executive must prepare a detailed budget timetable and release it to the public. A "b" answer applies if the timetable is made public, but some details are not included. A "c" response applies if the timetable is made public, but many important details are excluded, reducing its value for those outside government. Answer "d" applies if no timetable is made available to the public. As long as a timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal is released, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Executive's Budget Proposal is not made publicly available.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, a timetable is released, but it lacks important details.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/OGE-Instru%C3%A7%C3%B5es-para-Elabora%C3%A7%C3%A3o>

**Comment:**

A detailed timetable is released to the public

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, a timetable is not issued to the public.

**Comments:** Via the link, I am able to find detailed guidelines for the elaboration of the budget proposal but the documents consulted do not seem to include a timeline for when the different phases have to be completed. The researchers should provide a more clear reference if this information is available.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

### Researcher Response

We assume that the framework law for the general state budget establishes the legal deadlines, and that there is also a reference in the decree law that establishes the instructions for drawing up the GSB. However, we recognise that for the year 2023 the deadlines by which the various government entities and provincial and municipal governments must submit the budget proposal to the Ministry of Finance have not been published to date, so we suggest putting a C and not a D because the legal deadlines for approval are described in the framework law and in the instructions for drawing up the State Budget. see: <https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/zmlu/mdi5/~edisp/minfin029966.pdf>  
<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/materias-de-realce/instrucao-oge>

### IBP Comment

Given changes on the Angolan MinFin website, the updated link is: <https://cms.minfin.gov.ao/api/assets/portal-minfin/80bd75f9-d135-497b-9df5-f3e93465433d/> The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. The response is adjusted in light of the new evidence presented by the researcher, the [1] "LEI QUADRO DO ORÇAMENTO GERAL DO ESTADO" provides the legal deadlines, however, this round (for FY 2023) the deadlines by doesn't present a clear timeline/calendar as it did last round [2]. [1] <https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/zmlu/mdi5/~edisp/minfin029966.pdf> [2] <https://web.archive.org/web/20220616135548/https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4x/mje5/~edisp/minfin1219328.pdf> In this sense, the response is adjusted from "A" to "C", please note that a "C" response applies if the timetable is made public, but many important details are excluded, reducing its value for those outside government.

### 54. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)

#### GUIDELINES:

Question 54 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short- and long-term interest rates; the rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

#### Answer:

d. No, information related to the macroeconomic forecast is not presented.

#### Source:

na

#### Comment:

While there is no pre-budget statement in Angola, one could nonetheless consider the "Relatorio de Fundamentacao" (justification/motivation for the budget) as a "Pre-Budget Statement. If so, the core macro parameter are then forecasted and presented. Such could justify "b." instead of a "d."

#### Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree with the comment but according to OBS methodology the RdF is not a pre-budget statement. It is however low-hanging fruit for Angola to improve its ranking as it could easily publish one...

#### Government Reviewer

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Comments:** O documento não foi elaborado.

**IBP Comment**

The peer reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Concerning the government reviewer's suggestion, as per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the PBS is considered not produced, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed, and the answer "D" is maintained.

**55. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?**

**(The core information must include a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities and an estimate of total expenditures.)**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 55 focuses on the government's expenditure policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:*

- a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total expenditures.

*Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed programmatic proposals (such detailed information is typically only presented in the budget itself), it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least total expenditures associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can include some detail, for instance, estimates provided by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications.*

*To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented.*

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities is not presented.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The executive does not produce a Pre-Budget Statement

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Comments:** Documento não elaborado

**IBP Comment**

As per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the PBS is considered not produced, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed, and the answer "D" is maintained.

56. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's revenue policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of revenue policies and priorities and an estimate of total revenues.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 56 focuses on the government's revenue policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- a discussion of revenue policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total revenue.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed revenue proposals, it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least the total revenue associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can also include more detail, for instance, with estimates provided by revenue category – tax and non-tax – or some of the major individual sources of revenue, such as the Value Added Tax or the income tax.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities is not presented.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The executive does not produce a Pre-Budget Statement

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**IBP Comment**

As per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the PBS is considered not produced, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed, and the answer "D" is maintained.

57. Does Pre-Budget Statement present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 57 asks whether the Pre-Budget Statement includes three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing needed in the upcoming budget year;
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the upcoming budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the upcoming budget year.

*Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.*

*Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.*

*Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.*

*To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt for at least the upcoming budget year. For a "b" answer, the Pre-Budget Statement must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the PBS must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the PBS.*

**Answer:**

d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The executive does not produce a Pre-Budget Statement

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**IBP Comment**

As per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the PBS is considered not produced, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed, and the answer "D" is maintained.

**58. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present estimates of total expenditures for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 58 asks about multi-year expenditure estimates in the Pre-Budget Statement.*

*To answer "a," expenditure estimates for at least two years beyond the upcoming budget year must be presented. The estimates must be for at least total expenditures, but could include more detail than just the aggregate total.*

**Answer:**

b. No, multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The executive does not produce a Pre-Budget Statement

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**IBP Comment**

As per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the PBS is considered not produced, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [B]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed, and the answer "B" is maintained.

**59. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 59 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.*

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of the three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469490.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469492.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469494.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469495.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469493.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469491.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469489.pdf>

**Comment:**

The budget support documents presents expenditure by administrative, economic an functional classification

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**59b. Based on the response to Question 59, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Enacted Budget:**

**Answer:**

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Functional classification

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469489.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469491.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469495.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469492.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469490.pdf>

**Comment:**

The budget support documents presents expenditure by administrative, economic an functional classification.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**60. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates for individual programs?****GUIDELINES:**

*Question 60 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.*

**A note for francophone countries:** "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as *le plan comptable* or *le plan comptable detaille*. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all programs, which account for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Enacted Budget.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents estimates for programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469489.pdf>

**Comment:**

There is a budget annex called "Despesa por Programa" presenting expenditure by Program

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

c. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents estimates for programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures.

**Comments:** This is no different from the budget proposal (question 6) and should be rated "c". 86.7 percent of the budget is not classified by program.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher, the additional analysis conducted by the peer reviewer, and further calculation done by IBP, as per the OBS guidelines, the response is adjusted from "A" to "C", on the basis that 86.7% of the expenditures is being classified as "Current Activities" [Acções Correntes], and less than two-thirds of expenditures estimates are presented by programs.

**61. Does the Enacted Budget present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 61 asks whether revenue estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by "category" – that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.*

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present revenue estimates classified by category.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents revenue estimates by category.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469487.pdf>

**Comment:**

There is a supporting document with a summary of revenues by economic nature (see source)

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**62. Does the Enacted Budget present individual sources of revenue?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 62 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Enacted Budget. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.*

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue that account for less than two-thirds of revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469487.pdf>

**Comment:**

The EB includes information on individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenues.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**63. Does the Enacted Budget present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 63 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:*

- *the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year;*
- *the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

*Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.*

*Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.*

*Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.*

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Enacted Budget must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Enacted Budget must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the Enacted Budget.*

**Answer:**

b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469486.pdf>

**Comment:**

In the 2022 budget rationale report we can see this information highlighting to:

Chart 10 - Public Debt Stock (% GDP)

Chart 12 - Stock of Public and Government Debt (% GDP)

Table 15 - Functional Distribution of Expenditure (Kz Billion, Except Where Indicated)

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**64. What information is provided in the Citizens Budget?**

(The core information must include expenditure and revenue totals, the main policy initiatives in the budget, the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based, and contact information for follow-up by citizens.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 64 focuses on the content of the Citizens Budget, asking whether "core" information is presented. These core components include:

- expenditure and revenue totals;
- the main policy initiatives in the budget;
- the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based; and
- contact information for follow-up by citizens.

To answer "a," the Citizens Budget or supporting documentation must present all of the above core information as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Citizens Budget must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if the Citizens Budget includes some of the core components above, but other core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if a Citizens Budget is not published.

**Answer:**

d. The Citizens Budget is not published.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Comment:**

2022 CB includes all core information plus a description of the budget process and other additional data and information. But was published very late.

According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is considered not publicly available; If the Citizens Budget is considered not publicly available, this question should receive a "D".

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Comments:** It is harsh to rate this "d" as the government clearly invests a lot of resources in this. I would discuss this with IBP to give some credit even if it was only made available in the middle of the year. There are also citizens budgets for 2023 and 2020 (but none for 2021). If the decision was to be changed I would rate this "b".

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. According to the Open Budget Survey methodology, to be considered publicly available and accepted by the Open Budget Survey, government budget documents must meet a set of minimum standards regarding content, availability, and timeliness. These standards ensure that the public, including civil society, the media, and other interested individuals or groups have open and non-discriminatory access to the government's original budget documents. Budget documents must be published on time so that the information they contain is useful and relevant, both to the government itself and to the public. Open Budget Survey guidelines indicate that the Citizen Budget should be published in the same timeframe as the document which the Citizens Budget corresponds to (e.g., the Citizens Budget of the Enacted Budget must be released to the public no later than three months after the legislature approves the budget) According to the Open Budget Survey methodology, the Citizens Budget for the Enacted Budget (EB) for the fiscal year 2022 is not publicly available. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**65. How is the Citizens Budget disseminated to the public?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 65 asks how the Citizens Budget is disseminated to the public. Citizens Budgets should be made available to a variety of audiences. Therefore paper versions and an Internet posting of a document might not be sufficient.

To answer "a," the executive must use three or more different types of creative media tools to reach the largest possible share of the population, including those who otherwise would not normally have access to budget documents or information. Dissemination would also be pursued at the very local level, so that the coverage is targeted both by geographic area and population group (e.g., women, elderly, low income, urban, rural, etc.). Option "b" applies if significant dissemination efforts are made through a combination of two means of communications, for instance, both posting the Citizens Budget on the executive's official website and distributing printed copies of it. Option "c" applies if the Citizens Budget is disseminated through only posting on the executive's official website. Option "d" applies when the executive does not publish a Citizens Budget.

**Answer:**

d. A Citizens Budget is not published.

**Source:**

<https://www.unicef.org/angola/comunicados-de-imprensa/estudantes-participam-nos-di%C3%A1logos-sobre-o-or%C3%A7amento-cidad%C3%A3o>  
<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Comment:**

Citizens Budget is usually published by using Internet (Website), newspapers, public appearances to Universities etc.

According to the internal date in the properties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is considered not publicly available; If the Citizens Budget is considered not publicly available, this question should receive a "D".

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Comments:** See previous answer.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in q64.

**66. Has the executive established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information prior to publishing the Citizens Budget?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 66 asks whether the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information before publishing a Citizens Budget. What the public wants to know about the budget might differ from the information the executive includes in technical documents that comprise the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget; similarly, different perspectives might exist on how the budget should be presented, and this may vary depending on the context. For this reason the executive should consult with the public on the content and presentation of the Citizens Budget.

To answer "a," the executive must have established mechanisms to consult with the public, and these mechanisms for consultation are both accessible and widely used by the public. Such mechanisms can include focus groups, social networks, surveys, hotlines, and meetings/events in universities or other locations where people gather to discuss public issues. In countries where Citizens Budgets are consistently produced and released, it may be sufficient for the government to provide the public with contact information and feedback opportunities, and subsequently use the feedback to improve its management of public resources.

Option "b" applies if the executive has established mechanisms for consultation that are accessible to the public, but that the public nonetheless does not use frequently. That is, the public does not typically engage with the executive on the content of the Citizens Budget, even though the executive has created opportunities for such consultation. Option "c" applies if the executive has established mechanism for consultation with the public, but they are poorly designed and thus not accessible to the public. Option "d" applies if the executive has not created any mechanisms to seek feedback from the public on the

**Answer:**

d. No, the executive has not established any mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information in the Citizen's Budget.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Comment:**

No comments

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** The source and comment is not clear. I would say an interview would be necessary to determine this. Most likely the researcher is correct though.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**67. Are "citizens" versions of budget documents published throughout the budget process?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 67 asks if "citizens" versions of budget documents are published throughout the budget process. While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle.*

*To answer "a," a citizens version of at least one budget document is published for each of the four stages of the budget process (budget formulation, enactment, execution, and audit) – for a total of at least four citizens budget documents throughout the process. Option "b" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least two of the four stages of the budget process. Option "c" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least one of the four stages of the budget process. Select option "d" if no "citizens" version of budget documents is published.*

**Answer:**

d. No citizens version of budget documents is published.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mjcy/~edisp/minfin3272496.pdf>

**Comment:**

A citizens version of budget documents is published for at least one stage of the budget process (Enacted budget).

According to the internal date in the proprieties, the document was created on June 22, 2022. Over the three months granted for the publication of a CB of the Enacted Budget, per the OBS methodology.

The document is considered not publicly available; If the Citizens Budget is considered not publicly available, this question should receive a "D".

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

c. A citizens version of budget documents is published for at least one stage of the budget process.

**Comments:** Following my previous comments, I would argue that the executive published a CB for the execution phase.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. According to the Open Budget Survey methodology, to be considered publicly available and accepted by the Open Budget Survey, government budget documents must meet a set of minimum standards regarding content, availability, and timeliness. These standards ensure that the public, including civil society, the media, and other interested individuals or groups have open and non-discriminatory access to the government's original budget documents. Budget documents must be published on time so that the information they contain is useful and relevant, both to the government itself and to the public. Open Budget Survey guidelines indicate that the Citizen Budget should be published in the same timeframe as the document which the Citizens Budget corresponds to (e.g., the Citizens Budget of the Enacted Budget must be released to the public no later than three months after the legislature approves the budget) According to the Open Budget Survey methodology, the Citizens Budget for the Enacted Budget (EB) for the fiscal year 2022 is not publicly available. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**68. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?****GUIDELINES:**

*Question 68 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above.*

*Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.*

*To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," actual expenditures must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if actual expenditures are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by any of the three classifications in In-Year Reports.*

**Answer:**

d. No, the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by any expenditure classification.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf>  
<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. At least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** On this one I fully agree that the cut off date should count.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least seven of the last 12 monthly IYRs, or at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, the IYRs are considered not publicly available. As per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the IYRs are considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

68b. Based on the response to Question 68, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the In-Year Reports:

**Answer:**

None of the above

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. At least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends. Therefore we consider none above.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Classificação Económica Classificação Funcional Classificação Administrativa

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in q68. As per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the IYRs are considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed, and the answer "None of the above" is maintained.

69. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for individual programs?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 69 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

**A note for francophone countries:** "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as *le plan comptable* or *le plan comptable detaillé*. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by program in In-Year Reports.

**Answer:**

d. No, the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by program.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. At least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends. Therefore we consider the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by program.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs accounting for all expenditures.

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in q68. The researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**70. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date expenditures with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 70 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual expenditures to-date with either the enacted levels or actual expenditures for the same period in the previous year.*

*The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date expenditures in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast expenditures (based on enacted levels) for the same period.*

*To answer "a," comparisons must be made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports*

**Answer:**

b. No, comparisons are not made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. At least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends. Therefore we consider comparisons are not made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, comparisons are made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

**Comments:** Quadro 4, pág 23, Relatório de Execução Orçamental III Trimestre, 2022

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in q68. The researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "B" is maintained.

**71. Do In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax)?**

*GUIDELINES:*

Questions 71 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual revenues by “category”— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present revenue estimates classified by category.

**Answer:**

b. No, In-Year Reports do not present actual revenue by category.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. At least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends. Therefore we consider In-Year Reports do not present actual revenue by category.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category.

**Comments:** Pág. 15, quadro 2, Relatório de Execução Orçamental do III Trimestre 2022.

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in q68. The researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "B" is maintained.

**72. Do In-Year Reports present the individual sources of revenue for actual revenues collected?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 72 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual collections of individual sources of revenue (such as income taxes, VAT, etc.). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present actual collections for all individual sources of revenue, and “other” or “miscellaneous” revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer “b,” In-Year Reports must present actual collections for individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue collected, but not all revenue. A “c” answer applies if In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue that account for less than two-thirds of all revenue collected. Answer “d” applies if individual sources of actual revenue are not presented.

**Answer:**

d. No, In-Year Reports do not present individual sources of actual revenue.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. At least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends. Therefore we consider In-Year Reports do not present individual sources of actual revenue.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in q68. The researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**73. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date revenues with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 73 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual revenues to-date with either the enacted levels or actual revenues for the same period in the previous year.*

*The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date revenues in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast revenues (based on enacted levels) for the same period.*

*To answer "a," comparisons must be made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.*

**Answer:**

b. No, comparisons are not made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. At least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends. Therefore we consider No, comparisons are not made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, comparisons are made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in q68. The researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "B" is maintained.

**74. Do In-Year Reports present three estimates related to actual government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing; the total debt outstanding; and interest payments?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 74 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:*

- *the amount of net new borrowing so far during the year;*
- *the central government's total debt burden at that point in the year; and*

the interest payments to-date on the outstanding debt.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, In-Year Reports must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, IYRs must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in In-Year Reports.

**Answer:**

d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. At least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends. Therefore we consider no, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

**Comments:** Ver o capítulo 5 do Relatório de Execução Orçamental do III trimestre 2022

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in q68. The researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**75. Do In-Year Reports present information related to the composition of the total actual debt outstanding?**

**(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 75 focuses on the composition of government debt, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in

Question 74, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens and banks and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt to-date as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding in In-Year Reports.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to composition of total actual debt outstanding is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/relatorios-e-contas>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzyy/~edisp/minfin3362072.pdf>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzaw/~edisp/minfin3300096.pdf>

**Comment:**

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. At least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends. Therefore we consider no information related to the composition of total actual debt outstanding is not presented.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the composition of the total actual debt outstanding.

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in q68. The researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**76. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 76 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated macroeconomic forecast and explain all of the differences between the initial forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal and the updated forecast. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the macroeconomic forecast must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated forecasts are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the macroeconomic forecast has not been updated.

**Answer:**

d. No, the estimates for macroeconomic forecast have not been updated.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government does not produce a MYR. According to the Open Budget Survey standards, the Mid-Year Review is not considered publicly available.

**Peer Reviewer****Opinion:** Agree**Government Reviewer****Opinion:** Disagree**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).**Comments:** Angola não elabora a Revisão Semestral**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the MYR is considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**77. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?****GUIDELINES:**

*Question 77 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of expenditure for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated expenditure estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. The expenditure estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated expenditure estimates, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the expenditure estimates have not been updated.*

**Answer:**

d. No, expenditure estimates have not been updated.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government does not produce a MYR. According to the Open Budget Survey standards, the Mid-Year Review is not considered publicly available

**Peer Reviewer****Opinion:** Agree**Government Reviewer****Opinion:** Disagree**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the MYR is considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

78. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 78 asks if expenditure estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.*

*Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Mid-Year Review.*

**Answer:**

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government does not produce a MYR. According to the Open Budget Survey standards, the Mid-Year Review is not considered publicly available

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the MYR is considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

78b. Based on the response to Question 78, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Mid-Year Review:

**Answer:**

None of the above

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government does not produce a MYR. According to the Open Budget Survey standards, the Mid-Year Review is not considered publicly available

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the MYR is considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**79. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway for individual programs?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 79 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by program for the budget year underway. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Mid-Year Review.*

**Answer:**

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by program.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government does not produce a MYR. According to the Open Budget Survey standards, the Mid-Year Review is not considered publicly available

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the MYR is considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**80. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 80 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of revenue for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated revenue estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative*

*discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the revenue estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated revenue estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the revenue estimates have not been updated.*

**Answer:**

d. No, revenue estimates have not been updated.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government does not produce a MYR. According to the Open Budget Survey standards, the Mid-Year Review is not considered publicly available

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the MYR is considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**81. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway by category (such as tax and non-tax)?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 81 asks whether revenue estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present revenue estimates classified by category.*

**Answer:**

b. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present revenue estimates by category.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government does not produce a MYR. According to the Open Budget Survey standards, the Mid-Year Review is not considered publicly available

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the MYR is considered not publicly available, all

questions should be assigned the lowest score [B]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "B" is maintained.

**82. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 82 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway are presented in the Mid-Year Review. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenues, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Mid-Year Review.*

**Answer:**

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present individual sources of revenue.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government does not produce a MYR. According to the Open Budget Survey standards, the Mid-Year Review is not considered publicly available

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the MYR is considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**83. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 83 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.*

*Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:*

- *The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- *The interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

*Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:*

- *interest rates on the debt;*

- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, and explain all of the differences between the initial estimates presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the estimates of borrowing and debt must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the estimates of borrowing and debt have not been updated.

**Answer:**

d. No, estimates of government borrowing and debt have not been updated.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Government does not produce a MYR. According to the Open Budget Survey standards, the Mid-Year Review is not considered publicly available

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Per the OBS methodology guidelines, since the MYR is considered not publicly available, all questions should be assigned the lowest score [D]. Consequently, the researcher's assessment is confirmed and answer "D" is maintained.

**84. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 84 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual expenditures for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all expenditures, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report

**Answer:**

b. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The narrative part is very short and not explicative (see tables 6 - Expenditure executed in 2021)  
Quadro 6 – Despesas executadas em 2021, p. 59

**Peer Reviewer****Opinion:** Agree**Comments:** I totally agree. A "b" rating is generous but in line with the OBS methodology.**Government Reviewer****Opinion:** Disagree**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher and the additional analysis conducted it is confirmed that the narrative is rather limited with the extended portion primarily comprising a comparison between 2021 and 2020, as opposed to a comparison of approved vs. actual outcome expenditures for 2021. Therefore the current response of "B" is maintained.

**85. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?****GUIDELINES:**

*Question 85 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. Answer "b" if expenditure estimates are presented by two of these three classifications. Answer "c" if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Year-End Report.*

**Answer:**

b. Yes, the Year-End Report presents expenditure estimates by two of the three expenditure classifications.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

Table 7 demonstrates the execution of expenditure by the various government functions throughout the 2021 financial year  
Quadro 7 – Execução da Despesa por Função Governamental, p. 66

Table 6 - Expenditure executed in 2021 by economic nature  
Quadro 6 – Despesas executadas em 2021, p. 59

**Peer Reviewer****Opinion:** Agree**Government Reviewer****Opinion:** Disagree**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, the Year-End Report presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. Please note that the document only shows information by economic classification (Quadro 6) and functional classification (Quadro 7); however, a presentation by administrative classification is missing. In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher and further examination, as per the OBS guidelines, the current response of "B" is maintained.

85b. Based on the response to Question 85, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Year-End Report:

**Answer:**

Economic classification  
Functional classification

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

Table 7 demonstrates the execution of expenditure by the various government functions throughout the throughout the 2021 financial year

Table 6 - Expenditure executed in 2021 by economic nature

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

See IBP's comment in question 85.

86. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 86 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" if expenditures are not presented by program in the Year-End Report.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, the Year-End Report presents estimates for programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

Chapter IX (page 110) discusses the Economic and Social impact on the execution of the State Budget. Tables 6 and 7 present some expenditures but do not present in detail information on the execution of expenditures by each of the individual programs.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by program.

**Comments:** There is no equivalent in the budget execution report to the budget annex with expenditures by program (e.g. 20 percent of the 2023 budget). Moreover, the narrative from page 110 onward is totally disconnected from the budget. All the tables presented in that section with objectives, indicators etc. should be connected with budget expenditure on those programs.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, the Year-End Report presents estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures.

**Comments:** A nossa resposta foi baseada no Cap. IX (Impacto do PDN na Execução Orçamental) da Conta Geral do Estado de 2021

**IBP Comment**

In the chapter IX on the Economic and Social Impact of the Implementation of the OGE (Capítulo IX • Impacto Económico e Social da Execução do OGE) some projects/programs present minimal information on expenditures estimates. E.g. "Programa Integrado de Desenvolvimento Local e Combate a Pobreza: Em 2021, o programa visou materializar 3 objectivos principais desagregados em três metas e igual número de indicadores, tendo sido executado despesas na ordem dos Kz 52,1 mil milhões", page 112. Thus, as per the OBS guidelines, the current response of "C" is maintained.

**87. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?***GUIDELINES:*

*Question 87 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all revenues, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.*

**Answer:**

b. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

See Table 5 - Revenue collected by Nature in 2021.

Quadro 5 – Receita arrecadada por Natureza em 2021, p. 53

**CHAPTER VI - OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GENERAL BUDGET OF THE STATE**

Addresses in detail the level of revenue collection and execution of expenditure by those involved in the budget process.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher and the additional analysis

conducted it is confirmed that the narrative is rather limited with the extended portion primarily comprising a comparison between 2021 and 2020, as opposed to a comparison of approved vs. actual outcome revenues for 2021. Therefore the current response of "B" is maintained.

**88. Does the Year-End Report present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 88 asks whether revenue estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present revenue estimates classified by category.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Year-End Report presents revenue estimates by category.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

Table 5 presents a table on - Revenue collected by Nature in 2021  
Quadro 5 – Receita arrecadada por Natureza em 2021, p. 53

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**89. Does the Year-End Report present individual sources of revenue?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 89 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Year-End Report. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Year-End Report.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all revenues.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

Table 5 presents a table on - Revenue collected by Nature in 2021  
Quadro 5 – Receita arrecadada por Natureza em 2021, p. 53

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, the Year-End Report does not present individual sources of revenue.

**Comments:** The report includes all revenue, it is just not presented in a very detailed manner (not individual sources of revenue, e.g. Outras Receitas Tributárias is not broken down to specific taxes). Alternatively, the rating should be "a".

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review and in consultation with the research team, the current response is adjusted from "B" to "C". Given that the information on revenues collected includes details for some individual sources of revenue, like revenues from oil and diamond exploration, however, most of the other revenue sources are provided as a lump sum or as "others".

**90. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 90 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates and the actual outcome for the fiscal year for borrowing and debt, including its composition, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:*

- *the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- *the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

*Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:*

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

See:

Graph 20, presents the value of the regularization of debts in arrears by Organ, p. 103  
Table 24 - Annual Stock of Floating Debt, 109

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

**Comments:** The data presented and narrative on the evolution of the Angola debt in the YER p. 83-110 is highly comprehensive, disaggregated and detailed. It is much more detailed than the budget proposal and enacted budget. Almost too detailed.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Researcher Response**

See the data presented on the evolution of the Angola debt in the YER p. 83-110 disaggregated. I think suggest C

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review, no evidence could be identified to support a change in response. Most of the information presented in the YER is a comparison against the previous period; to score A, it's required the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original/enacted estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Given interest rates comparison of enacted vs executed is observed on page 71, the current response is maintained. Quadro 8 – Principais Alterações Orçamentais por Categoria, p. 71

**90b. Based on the response to Question 90, check the box(es) to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, have the differences between the original forecast and the actual outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:**

**Answer:**

Interest rates on the debt

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

CHAPTER VIII - ANNUAL STATEMENT OF PUBLIC DEBT

This chapter presents the execution of the Annual Debt Plan, aligned to the medium-term debt strategy 2019-2021, and mirrors the strategy for settlement of arrears in the period under review.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** All of the above.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:** Nenhuma das opções

**IBP Comment**

Please see IBP's comment in q90.

**91. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 91 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast in the Executive's Budget Proposal. Core components include estimates of the nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates, although the importance of other macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil, can vary from country to country.*

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

**Answer:**

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The report presents some macroeconomic analyzes but presents no data that is compared with the initial projections of the budget.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** There is a long section on macroeconomic developments but it is correct that it is delinked from the budgetary implications (for example of the rising inflation (which for example reduces the value of budget allocations in real terms).

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

91b. Based on the response to Question 91, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast have the differences between the original forecast and the outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

**Answer:**

None of the above

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

Chapter presents some macroeconomic analyzes but presents no data that is compared with the initial projections of the budget

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

92. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 92 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 49 for the nonfinancial data on inputs included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

**Answer:**

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

The YER report does not include any information on nonfinancial data on inputs.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**93. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 93 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 92).

Refer to Question 50 for the nonfinancial data on results included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, estimate of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

Estimate of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented.

CAPÍTULO IX • IMPACTO ECONÓMICO E SOCIAL DA EXECUÇÃO DO OGE, p. 110

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are not presented.

**Comments:** This goes back to my previous point about budget and plan being disconnected in Angola. The report argues: "As verbas alocadas aos respectivos sectores, serviram para executar despesas cujo impacto para a população." While this is true in generic terms, there is no quantification as to how expenditures on health led to the listed outcomes.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are not presented.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review, despite the limited information available, there exists a sufficient level of information of nonfinancial data on results to warrant a "C" score. For example, please take note of information on : Quadro 27 - Desenvolvimento Local e Combate à Pobreza Quadro 28 - Protecção e Promoção dos Direitos da Criança Also please note, that other similar tables, don't present data in the column \*results\*, only \*ND\*.

**94. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 94 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*Refer to Question 52 for assistance to the most impoverished populations in the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between the enacted level for some but not all of the policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.*

**Answer:**

d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted level for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

No information is provided in the YER on the implementation of policies intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**95. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 95 asks whether the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

Refer to Question 33 for estimates of extra-budgetary funds in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented

**Answer:**

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome is not presented.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome is not presented.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**96. Is a financial statement included as part of the Year-End Report or released as a separate report?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 96 asks whether a financial statement is included as part of the Year-End Report, or whether it is released as a separate report. The financial statement can include some or all of the following elements: a cash flow statement, an operating statement, a balance sheet, and notes on accounting. For purposes of responding to this question, the financial statement in question does not need to be audited. For an example of a financial statement, see the document "Financial Statements of the Government of New Zealand 2013" (<https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2013-10/fsgnz-year-jun13.pdf>)

To answer "a," a financial statement must either be included in the Year-End Report or must be released as a separate report. Answer "a" applies if a financial statement is released as a separate report, even if the Year-End Report is not publicly available. Answer "b" applies if no financial statement is released either as part of the Year-End Report or as a separate report.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, a financial statement is part of the Year-End Report or is released as a separate report.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/mzq2/~edisp/minfin3346311.pdf>

**Comment:**

Several annexes detail and assist in the understanding of the information presented throughout the throughout the document, namely:

Annex 1 - Budget Balance Sheet

Annex 2 - Financial Balance Sheet

Annex 3 - Balance Sheet

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**97. What type of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) has the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) conducted and made available to the public?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 97 asks about the types of audits conducted by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). There are three basic types of audits:*

- *Financial audits are intended to determine if an entity's financial information is accurate (free from errors or fraud) and presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting and regulatory framework. See ISSAI 200 (<https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-100-fundamental-principles-of-public-sector-auditing/>) for more detail.*
- *Compliance audits look at the extent to which the relevant regulations and procedures have been followed. See ISSAI 400 (<https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-400-compliance-audit-principles/>) for more details.*
- *Performance audits assess whether activities are adhering to the principles of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness. See ISSAI 300 (<https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-300-performance-audit-principles/>) for more details.*

*Financial and compliance audits are more common than performance audits, which usually occur only once a performance framework has been agreed upon. In some countries, the SAI's mandate limits the type of audit it can conduct.*

*To answer "a," the SAI must have conducted all three types of audit – financial, compliance, and performance – and made all of them available to the public. A "b" response applies if the SAI has conducted two of the three audit types, and a "c" applies if it has conducted only one type of audit. Answers "b" and "c" may be selected even if the Audit Report is not publicly available, as long as the SAI has conducted compliance or performance audits and made them available to the public. A "d" response applies if the SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.*

**Answer:**

d. The SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Court of Auditors' reports are not available to the public. For the purpose of answering the following questions (97-102). We consider as publicly available by the Open Budget Survey methodology that the Audit Report should be made public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it relates.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Sadly this is a big and persistent issue... Consulting the website of the Tribunal de Contas there seem to be some audit statements published from 2017, which are compliance oriented in nature.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. The answer is complemented with the information provided. Furthermore, a link to the Tribunal de Contas is supplied, where the information appears to be outdated: <https://tcontas.ao/biblioteca>

**98. What percentage of expenditures within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 98 focuses on the coverage of audits by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), asking what percentage of expenditures within the SAI's mandate has been audited.*

*The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to budgetary central government (ministries, departments, and agencies) that are within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 99 addresses audits of extra-budgetary funds.) Further, the question does not apply to "secret programs" (for example, security-related expenditures that are confidential). Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.*

*Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. Financial audits and compliance audits, or a hybrid of the two, can be taken into account to answer this question. Performance audits should not be considered for this question.*

*To answer "a," all expenditures within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if at least two-thirds, but not all, expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response is appropriate when less than two-thirds of expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies when no expenditures have been audited.*

**Answer:**

d. No expenditures have been audited.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Court of Auditors' reports are not available to the public. For the purpose of answering the following questions (97-102). We consider as publicly available by the Open Budget Survey methodology that the Audit Report should be made public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it relates.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**99. What percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 99 focuses on audits of extra-budgetary funds, asking what percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited. These funds, although technically outside the budget, are governmental in nature and thus should be subject to the same audit requirement as other government programs.*

*The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 98 addresses audits of budgetary central government.) Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.*

*To answer "a," all extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies if extra-budgetary funds have not been audited.*

**Answer:**

d. No extra-budgetary funds have been audited.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Court of Auditors' reports are not available to the public. For the purpose of answering the following questions (97-102). We consider as publicly available by the Open Budget Survey methodology that the Audit Report should be made public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it relates.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**100. Does the annual Audit Report(s) prepared by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) include an executive summary?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 100 asks whether the annual Audit Report includes an executive summary. Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. The Audit Report can be a fairly technical document, and an executive summary of the report's findings can help make it more accessible to the media and the public.*

*To answer "a," the Audit Report must include at least one executive summary summarizing the report's content. Answer "b" applies if the Audit Report does not include an executive summary, or the Audit Report is not made publicly available.*

**Answer:**

b. No, the annual Audit Report(s) does not include an executive summary.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Court of Auditors' reports are not available to the public. For the purpose of answering the following questions (97-102). We consider as publicly available by the Open Budget Survey methodology that the Audit Report should be made public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it relates.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**101. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 101 asks whether the executive reports to the public on the steps it has taken to address audit recommendations made by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). The ultimate purpose of audits is to verify that the budget was executed in a manner consistent with existing law, and to hold the government accountable for this execution and its future improvement. The extent to which audits achieve the latter depends on whether there is adequate and timely follow-up on the recommendations provided in the SAI's audit reports.*

*To answer "a," the executive must report publicly on the steps it has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address audit finding, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if the executive does not report at all on its steps to address audit findings.*

**Answer:**

d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Court of Auditors' reports are not available to the public. For the purpose of answering the following questions (97-102). We consider as publicly available by the Open Budget Survey methodology that the Audit Report should be made public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it relates.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**102. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 102 asks whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or the legislature track actions by the executive to address audit recommendations. After audit results and recommendations are discussed and validated by the legislature, the executive is normally asked to take certain actions to address the audit findings. For accountability purposes, the public needs to be informed about the status of those actions, and steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. In addition to the executive reporting on its actions (see Question 101), the SAI and legislature – as the key oversight institutions – have a responsibility to keep the public informed by tracking the executive's progress in addressing audit recommendations.*

*To answer "a," the SAI or legislature must report publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the SAI or legislature reports publicly on the steps the executive has taken, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if neither the SAI nor the legislature reports on the executive's steps to address audit findings.*

**Answer:**

d. No, neither the SAI nor legislature reports on steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The Court of Auditors' reports are not available to the public. For the purpose of answering the following questions (97-102). We consider as publicly available by the Open Budget Survey methodology that the Audit Report should be made public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it relates.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**103. Is there an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) that conducts budget analyses for the budget formulation and/or approval process?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 103 examines whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) exists that contributes budget analyses to the budget formulation and/or approval process. According to the Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions, adopted by the OECD Council in 2014, "independent fiscal institutions are publicly funded, independent bodies under the statutory authority of the executive or the legislature which provide non-partisan oversight and analysis of, and in some cases advice on, fiscal policy and performance"; and with "a forward-looking ex ante diagnostic task". In practice, they come in two main forms:

- Parliamentary budget offices (also known as PBOs) such as the Congressional Budget Office in the United States (<https://www.cbo.gov/>), the Parliamentary Budget Office in South Africa (<https://www.parliament.gov.za/parliamentary-budget-office>), and the Center for Public Finance Studies in Mexico (Centro de Estudios de las Finanzas Públicas, <http://www.cefp.gob.mx/>); or
- Fiscal councils such as the Office for Budget Responsibility in the United Kingdom (<https://obr.uk/>) and the High Council for Public Finances in France (Haut Conseil des finances publiques, <https://www.hcfp.fr/>).

For more information, see von Trapp et al. 'Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions and Case Studies', OECD Journal on Budgeting 15:2 (special issue, 2016), <https://doi.org/10.1787/budget-15-5jm2795tv625>.

To answer "a," there must be an IFI, and its independence must be set in law. In addition, it must have sufficient staffing and resources, including funding, to carry out its tasks. Answer "b" applies if an IFI exists, but either its independence is not set in law or its staffing and resources are insufficient to carry out its tasks. Answer "c" applies if an IFI exists, but its independence is not set in law and it lacks sufficient staffing and resources. Answer "d" applies if no IFI exists.

If the answer is "a," "b," or "c," please specify in the comments the name and type of IFI that exists (e.g., parliamentary budget office or fiscal council). If the answer is "a" or "b," identify the law that guarantees its independence, and provide evidence in support of the assessment of the adequacy of its staffing and resources. This can include the IFI's total budget allocation over recent years, any press reports that discuss perceived funding shortfalls, assessments by international organizations, and/or information from interviews with staff of the IFI.

**Answer:**

d. No, there is no IFI.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

There is no IFI in Angola

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

#### 104. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 104 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a role in producing the macroeconomic forecast (e.g., GDP growth, inflation, interest rates, etc.) and/or the fiscal forecast (revenues, expenditure, deficits, and debt), and if so, what kind of role it has. Macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasting is a typical core function across IFIs, but their role in forecasting takes several forms (von Trapp et al. 2016, p. 17 and Table 2). Some IFIs produce just a macroeconomic forecast, while others produce a complete fiscal forecast (which also typically requires an underlying macroeconomic forecast). In some cases, the fiscal forecast reflects continuation of current budget policies; such forecasts can be used by the legislature, the media, or the public to assess the projections in the executive's budget reflecting the government's policy proposals.

Some IFIs produce the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts used in the executive's budget. In other cases, IFIs do not prepare their own independent forecasts, but rather produce an assessment of the official estimates, or provide an opinion on, or endorsement of, the government's forecasts. Some others have no role at all in forecasting.

To answer "a," there must be an IFI that publishes both its own macroeconomic AND fiscal forecasts. Answer "b" applies if an IFI publishes its own macroeconomic OR fiscal forecast (but not both). Answer "c" applies if the IFI does not publish a macroeconomic or fiscal forecast, but rather publishes an assessment of the official forecasts produced by the executive and used in the budget. Choose option "d" if there is no IFI; or if there is an IFI that neither

*publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts for the budget.*

*Macroeconomic forecasts may include indicators relating to economic output and economic growth, inflation, and the labor market, amongst others. Fiscal forecasts may include estimates of revenues, expenditures, the budget balance, and debt. If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify which indicators and estimates are included in the forecasts and whether the forecast is used by government as the official forecast. If the answer is "c," please describe the nature and depth of the assessment (e.g., the length of the commentary, or whether it covers both economic and fiscal issues).*

**Answer:**

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts produced by the executive.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

There is no IFI in Angola

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**105. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish its own costings of new policy proposals, to assess their impact on the budget?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 105 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a costing function that involves assessing the budgetary implications of new policy proposals for both revenues and expenditures, and if so, what kind of role it has. Many IFIs have a costing role, but with substantial diversity in the nature and extent of this work (von Trapp et al 2016, pp. 17-18 and Table 2). Some assess virtually all new policy proposals, while others cost only a selection of new policy proposals. Others only publish opinions on, or scrutinize the costings of, budget measures produced by the executive.*

*To answer "a," the IFI must publish its own costings of all (or virtually all) new policy proposals. Answer "b" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only for major new policy proposals – for instance, only those proposals that cost or save above a certain amount. Answer "c" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only on a limited number of proposals. This could occur, for instance, if the IFI lacked the capacity to assess proposals dealing with certain sectors. Instead of producing a cost estimate, it can also publish an assessment of the estimates produced by the executive. Answer "d" applies if there is no IFI; or if the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals or provide an assessment of the official costings of new policy proposals.*

**Answer:**

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

There is no IFI in Angola

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**106. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 106 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Almost all IFIs interact with the legislature in some form (von Trapp et al 2016, p. 18), but the intensity of the interaction varies. This question assesses this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the IFI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the IFI staff member in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). As evidence to support your answer, you can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the IFI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; and "c" for once or twice. Answer "d" should be selected if the head or a senior staff member of the IFI never took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature, or if there is no IFI.*

**Answer:**

d. Never, or there is no IFI.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

There is no IFI in Angola

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**107. Does the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 107 asks whether the legislature debated budget policies prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal for the most recent budget year before the research cut-off date. In general, prior to discussing the Executive's Budget Proposal for the coming year, the legislature should have an opportunity to review the government's broad budget priorities and fiscal parameters. Often times this information is laid out in a Pre-Budget Statement, which the executive presents to the legislature for debate. (See Questions 54-58.)*

*A number of countries conduct a pre-budget debate in the legislature around six months before the start of the budget year. In some cases, they adopt laws that guide the upcoming budget, for example the Budget Guidelines Law in Brazil and the Spring Fiscal Policy Bill in Sweden. A pre-budget debate can serve two main purposes: 1) to allow the executive to inform the legislature of its fiscal policy intentions by presenting updated reports on its annual and medium-term budget strategy and policy priorities; and 2) to establish "hard" multi-year fiscal targets or spending ceilings, which the government must adhere to when preparing its detailed spending estimates for the upcoming budget year.*

*To answer "a," the full legislature must debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.*

*Answer "b" applies if a legislative committee (but not the full legislature) debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, and approves recommendations for the budget. Option "b" also applies if, in addition to the action by the committee, the full legislature also debates budget policy in advance of the budget, but does not approve recommendations.*

*Answer "c" applies if the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, but does not approve recommendations for the budget. Answer "d" applies if neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

*In your comment, please indicate the dates of the budget debate, and if both the full legislature and a legislative committee held a debate. Note that a debate does not need to be open to the public, but a public record of the meeting or a public notice that the meeting occurred is required. In addition, please indicate whether the budget debate was focused on a Pre-Budget Statement published by the Executive. If the Executive did not publish a Pre-Budget Statement, then please indicate what served as the focus of the legislature's debate (for instance, a report released by an IFI or some other institution).*

**Answer:**

d. No, neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

The legislature doesn't debate budget policies prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Agree but reference to an interview with a representative of the economic and finance committee of the National Assembly.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Researcher Response**

Muitas vezes, esta informação é apresentada numa Declaração Pré-Orçamental, que o executivo apresenta ao Legislativo para debate. Vários países realizam um debate pré-orçamental na legislatura cerca de seis meses antes do início do exercício orçamental. Mas em Angola não ha debate pré-orçamental de acordo com destemunhos de alguns deputados consultados por min.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. IBP agrees with the researcher's "Response to Review." The current response of ".D" is upheld

**108. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the Executive's Budget Proposal?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 108 examines how far in advance of the start of the most recent budget year the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be submitted to the legislature far enough in advance to allow the legislature time to review it properly, or at least three months prior to the start of the fiscal year. (See, for instance, Principle 2.2.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>)).*

*For the purposes of responding to this question, if – and only if – the most recent budget submission occurred later than usual as a result of a particular event, such as an election, please use a more normal year as the basis for the response. If, however, delays have been observed for more than one budget year, and the legislature has not received the Executive's Budget Proposal in a timely manner on more than one occasion in the last three years, then "d" will be the appropriate answer.*

*To answer "a," the legislature must receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least three months in advance of the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature does not receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month prior to the start of the budget year, or does not receive it at all.*

**Answer:**

d. The legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month before the start of the budget year, or does not receive it all.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#/sala-de-imprensa/noticias/11811/proposta-de-oge-2023-entregue-a-assembleia-nacional>

**Comment:**

According to the law the Legislator receives the Executive's proposal at least one months before the beginning of the budget year. But in 2022 we had elections in September and according to the law the Legislature received the 2023 budget proposal only one month before the budget year .

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** I believe there is a typo in the comment. I believe the answer should be 1.5 months in advance (45 days) under normal circumstances (i.e. not in the election year).

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**109. When does the legislature approve the Executive's Budget Proposal?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 109 examines when the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be approved by the legislature before the start of the fiscal year the budget proposal refers to. This gives the executive time to implement the budget in its entirety, particularly new programs and policies.*

*In some countries, the expenditure and revenue estimates of the Executive's Budget Proposal are approved separately; for purposes of this question, at least the expenditure estimates must be approved. Further, approval of the budget implies approval of the full-year budget, not just a short-term continuation of spending and revenue authority.*

*To answer "a," the legislature must approve the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month before the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month after the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal more than one month after the start of the budget year, or does not approve the budget.*

**Answer:**

b. The legislature approves the budget less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/?#!/sala-de-imprensa/noticias/10276/assembleia-nacional-aprova-oge-para-o-exercicio-economico-de-2022>

**Comment:**

We considered the 2022 budget which was approved by the legislature on 14/12/2021, less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year. This date is in accordance with the law, because 2022 was an election year.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**110. Does the legislature have the authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 110 examines the legislature's power to amend—as opposed to simply accept or reject—the budget proposal presented by the executive. This question is about legal authority rather than actions the legislature takes in practice. The legislature's powers to amend the budget can vary substantially across countries.*

The "a" response is appropriate only if there are no restrictions on the right of the legislature to modify the Executive's Budget Proposal, including its right to change the size of the proposed deficit or surplus. The "b" response would be appropriate if, for instance, the legislature is restricted from changing the deficit or surplus, but it still has the power to increase or decrease funding and revenue levels. The more limited "c" response would apply if, for instance, the legislature can only re-allocate spending within the totals set in the Executive's Budget Proposal or can only decrease funding levels or increase revenues. Finally, response "d" would apply if the legislature may not make any changes (or only small technical changes), or if amendments must first be approved by the executive. In these cases, the legislature is essentially only able to approve or reject the budget as a whole. If the answer is "b" or "c", please indicate the nature of the amendment powers available to the Parliament and how they are limited.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, the legislature has authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, but its authority is very limited.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/mza5/mzuu/~edisp/leiquadroog19092016030935.pdf.pdf>

**Comment:**

In accordance with the framework law of the State Budget in its article 23  
(Presentation by the National Assembly)

1. Amendments to the proposed Budget Law or to projects amending it may only be accepted if:

a) are compatible with the economic policy options, indicate the necessary resources, only those coming from the cancellation of expenditure, excluding those relating to staff and to service the debt;  
b) specify, if applicable, the corresponding target quantified.

2. The restrictions referred to in the preceding paragraph exclude any amendments which seek to correct errors or change the text of the proposed law.

Considering the law, legislature has limited authority to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal. In practice, there are other limitations.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**111. During the most recent budget approval process, did the legislature use its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 111 assesses whether any formal authority of the legislature to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal is used in practice. The responses to this question should be determined based on action by the legislature related to the Enacted Budget used in the OBS. Choose answer "a" if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal during the most recent budget approval process, and amendments were adopted (all, or at least some of them). Answer "a" also applies if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, but the amendments were rejected by executive veto. Answer "b" applies if the legislature used its authority in law to propose amendments to the Executive's Budget Proposal, but none of these amendments were adopted. Answer "c" applies if the legislature has the authority in law to amend the budget, but no amendments were proposed during its consideration. Answer "d" applies when the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget (that is, Question 110 is answered "d").

If the answer is "a" or "b", please specify in the comments the number of amendments introduced by the legislature (and in the case of an "a" response, the number adopted, or if applicable, information about an executive veto) and describe their nature. For example, did the amendments result in an increase or decrease of the deficit? What were the most significant amendments to revenues and to expenditures in terms of the sums involved? How did amendments affect the composition of expenditures? If the answer is "a", please specify which amendments were adopted, and provide evidence for it.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, and (at least some of) its amendments were adopted.

**Source:**

<https://estamosjuntos.co.ao/parlamento-aprova-oge-2023-com-votos-contra-da-unita/#:~:text=Parlamento%20aprova%20OGE-2023%20com%20votos%20contra%20da%20UNITA,2023.%20Por%20Redac%C3%A7%C3%A3o%C3%9Altima%20Atualiza%C3%A7%C3%A3o%2015%3A11%2C%2013%20Fev%202023>

**Comment:**

According to the law the legislature has formal authority to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, but in the most recent budget process it did not put it in practice. Some members of legislature proposed amendments to the EBP, but no amendments were approved by the Parliament. The political party who sustained the government has the majority seats in the parliament.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, and (at least some of) its amendments were adopted.

**Researcher Response**

we're talking about the 2023 budget and not 2022

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after a new review, is noted that: 1) Given that 2022 was an election year, the Approval process for 2023 was prolonged until February 2023. For this reason, the EB 2022 is used in the assessment, furthermore, the actions that occurred in earlier 2023 took place beyond the OBS cutoff date and therefore cannot be considered for this assessment. Therefore, our focus remains on scrutinizing the actions during the 2022 Budget approval process. 2) In this sense, IBP found the following evidence: <https://www.novojornal.co.ao/politica/interior/oge2022-documento-que-define-linhas-mestras-para-o-proximo-ano-economico-no-parlamento-para-votacao-final-global-105953.html> According to the note: "The OGE 2022 proposal underwent many modifications". The changes in OGE2022 were significant, covering several sectors, from \*\*education and health\*\* to \*\*public works and energy\*\*. In light of this evidence, the response is adjusted from "B" to "A".

**112. During the last budget approval process, did a specialized budget or finance committee in the legislature examine the Executive's Budget Proposal?****GUIDELINES:**

*Question 112 assesses the role of a specialized budget or finance committee during the budget approval stage. Effective committee involvement is an essential condition for legislative influence in the budget process. Specialized committees provide opportunities for individual legislators to gain relevant expertise, and to examine budgets and policy in depth. Yet, the involvement of committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures have separate committees to examine spending and tax proposals, while others have a single finance committee. Not all legislatures have a specialized budget or finance committee to examine the budget. In addition, there can be differences in the time available for the committee's analysis of the budget.*

*A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, therefore it must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget.*

*Response "a" requires that, in the last budget approval process, a specialized budget or finance committee had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such a committee examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if a committee examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where a specialized budget or finance committee did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

*Please specify in your comment the name of the committee and the number of days it had available to examine the budget. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a copy of the report. Please note also if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, a specialized budget or finance committee examined the Executive's Budget Proposal, but it did not publish a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

**Source:**

<https://www.tpa.ao/ao/noticias/assembleia-nacional-aprova-na-especialidade-oge-2022-com-emendas/#:~:text=A%20proposta%20do%20Or%C3%A7amento%20Geral%20do%20Estado%2028OGE%29,absten%C3%A7%C3%B5es%2C%20com%20sugest%C3%B5es%20de%20altera%C3%A7%C3%B5es%20em%20alguns%20aspectos.>

**Comment:**

The National Assembly of Angola has a Commission of Economy and Finance that is responsible for analyzing the budget proposal, but there are no records of its agenda and meetings.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

Please see additional information at: <https://www.novojornal.co.ao/politica/interior/oge2022-documento-que-define-linhas-mestras-para-o-proximo-ano-economico-no-parlamento-para-votacao-final-global-105953.html>

**113. During the last approval process, did legislative committees, responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.), examine spending in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the sector for which they are responsible?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 113 assesses the role of committees of the legislature that are responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.) during the budget approval stage. The role of sectoral committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures do not involve them in the budget approval process, while others do. In addition, the time available for committee analysis differs.*

*A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, so therefore must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget. Response "a" requires that sector committees had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and published a report with findings and recommendations prior the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such committees examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if sectoral committees examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where sectoral committees did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

*Please note that the examination of sectoral budgets by a specialized budget or finance committee is assessed in Question 112 and should not be considered for this question.*

*Please provide in the comments a brief overview of the committee structure and specify the number of days that sectoral committees had available to examine the budget and to publish their reports. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a sample copy of at least one of the reports. Please note if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.*

*For purposes of responding to this question, use those sectoral committees that are best performing – that is, the ones that examine the budget the longest and that publish reports.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, sector committees examined the Executive's Budget Proposal, but they did not publish reports with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

**Source:**

<https://www.parlamento.ao/>

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/?#!/sala-de-imprensa/noticias/10276/assembleia-nacional-aprova-oge-para-o-exercicio-economico-de-2022>

**Comment:**

The National Assembly has more than ten sectoral commissions, namely:

1st Committee on Constitutional and Legal Affairs;

2nd Committee on Defense, Security, Internal Order, Former Combatants and Veterans of the Homeland Committee 3rd Committee on Foreign

Relations, International Cooperation and Angolan Communities Abroad

4th Committee on State Administration and Local Government  
5th Committee on Economy and Finance  
6th Committee on Health, Education, Higher Education, Science and Technology  
7th Committee on Culture, Religious Affairs, Media, Youth and Sports  
8th Committee on Family, Children and Social Action  
9th Committee on Mandates, Ethics and Parliamentary Decree  
0th Committee on Human Rights, Petitions, Complaints and Suggestions of Citizens  
All these committees examine the budget proposal that the executive presents.

They provide comments and recommendations on the proposal, but they do not publish any reports.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

Please see additional information at: <https://www.novojornal.co.ao/politica/interior/oge2022-documento-que-define-linhas-mestras-para-o-proximo-ano-economico-no-parlamento-para-votacao-final-global-105953.html>

**114. In the past 12 months, did a committee of the legislature examine in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 114 is about legislative oversight of budget execution. It assesses whether and how often a committee examined the implementation of the budget during the budget execution period (i.e., financial year) for which it was approved, and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. This question does not apply to the ex post review of implementation following the end of the budget year as part of the audit stage, which is assessed separately. Nor does it apply to the legislature's review of the budget that it may undertake as part of the process of considering a supplemental budget during the year. In-year monitoring by the legislature will be affected by the frequency that the executive publishes In-Year Reports.*

*To answer "a," a committee must have examined in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget at least three times during the course of the relevant budget year and published reports with findings and recommendations. Answer "b" applies where this occurred only once or twice during the year.*

*Exception: If a legislature is in session only twice during the year, and it examines the implementation of the budget during both sessions, then it would be eligible for an "a" response.*

*Choose "c" if a committee examined in-year implementation (without regard to frequency), but did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined in-year implementation.*

*If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation.*

*For purposes of responding to this question, if more than one committee holds in-year reviews of the budget, use the committee that is best performing – that is, the one that examines in-year implementation the most times and that publishes a report.*

**Answer:**

d. No, a committee did not examine in-year implementation.

**Source:**

<https://parlamento.ao/>

**Comment:**

We have no evidence that in the last 12 months any committee of the legislature has examined the execution of the approved budget.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** I assume this is correct but believe there should be evidence presented that it did not happen, for example through an interview with a member of the 5ta Comissão.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Researcher Response**

My comment is based on an interview with members of the 5th committee of the National Assembly

**115. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units that receive explicit funding in the Enacted Budget, and is it legally required to do so?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 115 examines whether the executive seeks approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and whether it is legally required to do so.*

*In some countries, the executive has the power in law to adjust funding levels for specific appropriations during the execution of the budget. This question examines rules around shifting funds between administrative units (ministries, departments, or agencies) or whatever funding unit (or "vote") is specified in the Enacted Budget.*

*The conditions under which the executive may exercise its discretion to shift funds should be clearly defined in publicly available regulations or law. In addition, the amount of funds that the executive is allowed to transfer between administrative units should not be so excessive as to undermine the accountability of the executive to the legislature.*

*To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before shifting funds, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to shift funds between administrative units and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. Answer "d" also applies if the executive is authorized to shift an amount considered so excessive as to undermine accountability (roughly equal to 3 percent of total budgeted expenditures). A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the shifting of funds after it has already occurred.*

*In the comments, please indicate any law or regulation that provides the executive with standing authority to shift funds between administrative units and, if so, describe that authority. Similarly, legislative approval for shifting funds between administrative units typically occurs with the adoption of legislation such as a supplemental budget. But if other formal procedures for gaining approval from the legislature exist, then please provide information about that approval process.*

**Answer:**

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and in practice the executive shifts funds between administrative units before obtaining approval from the legislature.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4x/mjcw/~edisp/minfin1270850.pdf>

**Comment:**

Chapter II (Budget Adjustments) Article 3 of the annual budget law gives to the Executive broad powers to make adjustments in the budget without prior approval from the legislature.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice.

**Comments:** A aprovação é dada através da aprovação do OGE

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. The initial approval of the Budget Proposal leading to the Enacted Budget is excluded from consideration for this indicator. Question 115 assesses whether the executive seeks legislative approval before reallocating funds between administrative units within the already Enacted Budget and whether such approval is a legal requirement. In accordance with the OBS methodology, the score "D" is upheld, considering that Chapter II (Budget Adjustments) Article 3 of the annual budget law grants the Executive substantial authority to make budget adjustments without requiring prior approval from the legislature.

**116. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue (that is, amounts higher than originally anticipated) that may become available during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?****GUIDELINES:**

*Question 116 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if additional revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, which often happens in oil/mineral-dependent countries, and it was not accounted for in the Enacted Budget, there should be a procedure in place to ensure that the legislature approves any proposed use of these "new" funds. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might deliberately underestimate revenue in the budget proposal it submits to the legislature, in order to have additional resources to spend at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.*

*To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before spending any funds resulting from higher-than-expected revenues, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if prior legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to spend excess revenue and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the additional spending after it has already occurred.*

*Typically, legislative approval of additional spending beyond what was reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur with the adoption of a supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.*

**Answer:**

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, and in practice the executive spends these funds before obtaining approval from the legislature.

**Source:**

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4x/mjcw/~edisp/minfin1270850.pdf>  
<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/>

**Comment:**

According to Art. 3 of the budget law, the executive is generally authorized to spend excess revenues without seeking specific prior approval. See for example commas e) and h).

In the budget law there is a chapter "CHAPTER II BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS"

Whose Article 3 (Basic Rules) For the execution of the OGE 2022, says that the President of the Republic, as Holder of the Executive Power, is authorized to: c) Make adjustments, whenever necessary, in the amounts inserted in the parts contained in article 2 of this law, with a view to the full implementation of the budgetary rules, especially the uniqueness and universality;

d) Adjust the budget to supplement authorized expenditures, when there are changes in revenue, by changing the exchange rate used;

e) Register new projects of significant importance for the achievement of the objectives of the National Development Plan 2018-2022, with a source of funding assured, in return for other projects;

f) Adjust the budget of the organs to supplement expenses necessary for the use of corresponding disbursements;

g) Adjust the budget of the agencies to supplement expenses necessary for the use of disbursements corresponding to unforeseen donations, or to an increase in oil tax revenue;

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, and it does so in practice.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. In accordance with the OBS methodology, the score "D" is upheld, considering that Chapter II (Budget Adjustments) Article 3 of the annual budget law grants the Executive substantial authority to make budget adjustments without requiring prior approval from the legislature. Given the changes on the Angolan MinFin website, the updated links to the EB 2022 are:

<https://cms.minfin.gov.ao/api/assets/portal-minfin/7765ad7d-f7c9-4f45-8a32-271e7a205256/>

<https://www.ucm.minfin.gov.ao/cs/groups/public/documents/document/aw4z/ndy5/~edisp/minfin3469485.pdf>

**117. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls (that is, revenues lower than originally anticipated) or other reasons during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 117 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to cutting spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls or for any other reason, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if less revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, the legislature should approve or reject any proposed reductions in expenditures that are implemented as a result. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might substantially change the composition of the budget at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.*

*Typically, legislative approval of proposals to reduce spending below the levels reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur as part of the supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.*

*To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue shortfalls or for other reasons, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive received legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to obtain legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to implement such cuts and the executive does not obtain such approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the spending cuts after they have already occurred.*

**Answer:**

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels, and in practice the executive implements these spending cuts before seeking prior approval from the legislature.

**Source:**

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-do-ano-corrente>

<https://www.minfin.gov.ao/PortalMinfin/#!/materias-de-realce/orcamento-geral-do-estado/oge-passados>

**Comment:**

The executive isn't required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue shortfalls or for other reasons. However, sometimes there are revisions to the budget and these are presented by the executive to the legislature.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels, and in practice the executive implements these spending cuts before seeking prior approval from the legislature.

**Comments:** I assume the researcher is referring to the historic practice of revised budgets being taken to the National Assembly but this has not happened since 2020.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the enacted levels in response to revenue shortfalls or other reasons, and it does so in practice.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency,

no evidence could be identified to support a "B" response. -The executive isn't required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue shortfalls or for other reasons. - No evidence could be identified that revisions to the budget took place in 2022. Accordingly, the current response is adjusted from "B" to "D".

**118. Did a committee of the legislature examine the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 118 is about ex post oversight following the implementation of the budget. It probes whether a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. A key issue is how soon after the SAI releases the report does it legislature review it. This question does not apply to the legislative scrutiny of in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period, which is assessed separately. Also, the question is asking specifically about the SAI's annual report on the execution of the budget, not about other audit reports that the SAI may produce. (This is the Audit Report used for responding to Question 98.)*

*To answer "a," a legislative committee must have examined the annual Audit Report within three months of it being released by the SAI, and then published a report (or reports) with findings and recommendations. (Note that the three-month period should only take into account time when the legislature is in session.)*

*Answer "b" applies when the committee examines it within six months of it being released (but more than three months), and then published a report with its findings and recommendations. Choose "c" if a committee examined the annual Audit Report more than six months after it became available or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined the annual Audit Report.*

*If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed the Audit Report, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation. Answers "a," "b," or "c" may be selected if the Audit Report is produced by the SAI but not made publicly available.*

**Answer:**

d. No, a committee did not examine the Audit Report on the annual budget.

**Source:**

<https://www.novojornal.co.ao/politica/interior/conta-geral-do-estado-e-relatorio-de-balanco-de-execucao-do-oge-2022-seguem-para-o-parlamento-para-apreciacao-110342.html>

<https://www.jornaldeangola.ao/ao/noticias/conselho-de-ministros-aprova-Conta-geral-do-estado-de-2021/>

**Comment:**

The Council of Ministers approved the General State Account for the year 2021 and the Balance Sheet Report on the Execution of the General State Budget for the second quarter of 2022. Only on 10/10/2022. This means that it will take some time to be analyzed by the Judicial and approved by the legislative.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

d. No, a committee did not examine the Audit Report on the annual budget.

**Comments:** The Council of Ministers is an organ of the Executive (not the legislative). Audit reports are thoroughly delayed, the Conta Geral do Estado (Financial Statement) from 2020 is still considered "preliminary". The question should be rated "d" and the issue with delayed audit reports should be addressed urgently.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, no evidence could be identified to support a "C" response. <https://www.verangola.net/va/pt/072021/Politica/26389/Conta-Geral-do-Estado-de-2019-aprovada-pela-Assembleia-Nacional.htm> <https://www.jornaldeangola.ao/ao/noticias/parlamento-aprova-parecer-conjunto-sobre-Conta-geral-do-estado-de-2020/>

**119. Was the process of appointing (or re-appointing) the current head of the SAI carried out in a way that ensures his or her independence?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 119 concerns the appointment process of the current head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). Appointment procedures vary greatly across countries, as well as across different types of SAIs. Moreover, conventions and informal practices can greatly affect the de facto independence of the head of the SAI. While these factors make it difficult to devise a single metric against which all SAIs can be assessed with regard to this particular aspect, this question focuses on whether the legislature or judiciary must appoint or approve the appointment of the head of the SAI as a way to ensure the SAI's independence from the executive. However, if the appointment is carried out in another way that nonetheless ensures the independence of the SAI head, then that approach could be also considered.

To answer "a," the legislature or judiciary must appoint (or re-appoint) the head of the SAI, or approve the recommendation of the executive, as a way that ensure his or her independence from the executive. (As noted above, alternative approaches may also be acceptable.) Choose "b" if the appointment process does not ensure the independence of the head of the SAI, e.g. the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

Irrespective of which answer you selected, provide a description of how the head of the SAI is appointed.

**Answer:**

b. No, the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

**Source:**

<https://governo.gov.ao/documentos/constitui%C3%A7%C3%A3o>

<https://www.angola.or.jp/pt/2022/02/08/constituicao-da-republica-de-angola-edicao-especial-2022/>

**Comment:**

According to the Constitutional Law of the Republic of Angola in its Article 119 (Powers as Head of State) It shall be incumbent upon the President of the Republic, as Head of State to appoint the Chief Justice of the Court of Auditors, the Deputy Chief Justice and the other judges of the said Court, under the terms of the Constitution;

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**120. Must a branch of government other than the executive (such as the legislature or the judiciary) give final consent before the head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) can be removed from office?**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 120 covers the manner in which the head or senior members of the SAI may be removed from office. This question draws on best practices identified in the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>), including measures intended to guarantee the office's independence from the executive.

To answer "a," the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the head of the SAI is removed. For example, the legislature or judiciary may give final consent following a certain external process, such as a criminal proceeding. So while the executive may initiate a criminal proceeding, the final consent of a member of the judiciary – or a judge – is necessary to render a verdict of wrongdoing that may lead to the removal from office of the head of the SAI. Answer "b" applies if the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

**Answer:**

b. No, the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

**Source:**

[https://governo.gov.ao/fotos/frontend\\_1/editor2/constituicao\\_da\\_republica\\_de\\_angola.pdf](https://governo.gov.ao/fotos/frontend_1/editor2/constituicao_da_republica_de_angola.pdf)

<https://www.voaportugues.com/a/chefe-de-estado-angolano-convida-presidente-do-tribunal-de-contas-a-pedir-demiss%C3%A3o/6981200.html>

<https://www.novojornal.co.ao/politica/interior/pr-convida-juiza-presidente-do-tribunal-de-contas-a-renunciar-o-que-nao-aconteceu-ate-a-presente-data-112159.html>

<https://www.bing.com/search?pglt=41&q=Presidente+do+Tribunal+de+Contas+pede+Demiss%C3%A3o&cvid=6f6f846d792846bf93e08e7eb5245863&aqs=edge..69i57.13458j0j1&FORM=ANNTA1&PC=EDGEEDB>

**Comment:**

According to the Angolan constitution Judges cannot be dismissed, they are elected for a 7-year term.

According to the article 179 paragraph 2 of Judicial Magistrates: Judges can not be transferred, promoted, suspended, retired or dismissed under the constitution and law. However, in light of the recent events that led to the resignation of the President of the Court of Auditors, the interpretation of this norm has been controversial in practical terms.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** The OBS guidelines are not clear on whether this is a de jure or de facto question. If de jure, the researcher is correct, if de facto, the rating should definitely be "b".

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**IBP Comment**

During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, the current response is adjusted from (a) to (b), given that while the Constitution establishes that judges can not be transferred, promoted, suspended, retired, or dismissed, per the evidence provided Angola executive have significant authority over the constitution to do so. Therefore, this discrepancy must be accounted for in the consistency check to reflect the actual state of the SAI independence.

**121. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 121 asks who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). To ensure objective audits of government budgets, another important component of the SAI's independence from the executive is the extent to which the SAI's budget is determined by a body other than the executive, and whether the SAI has adequate resources to fulfill its mandate.*

*Answer "a" applies if the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate, AND either the SAI determines its own budget and then submits it to the executive (which accepts it with little or no change) or directly to the legislature, or the budget of the SAI is determined directly by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body). Answer "b" applies if the SAI's budget is determined by the executive (absent a recommendation from the SAI), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "c" applies if the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body) determines the SAI's budget, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "d" applies if the executive determines the SAI's budget, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Please provide evidence in support of the assessment that the funding level is or is not broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.*

**Answer:**

d. The budget of the SAI is determined by the executive, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

**Source:**

<https://www.tcontas.ao/>

**Comment:**

According to the Organic Law on the Audit Court (Art. 34) the SAI needs to send a budget proposal to the Ministry of Finance which then includes it in the overall budget, although, at the end of day the executive determines the budget level for the SAI.

The long delays in producing audit reports testify about the scarcity of resources available to the SAI. Representatives from the SAI (Tribunal de Contas de Angola) have expressed not possess the necessary means (human and financial resources) to fulfill its mandate.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Does the last sentence imply that a representative from the SAI was interviewed? That would be prudent to ascertain the answer to this question.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. The SAI determines its own budget (i.e., submits it to the executive, which accepts it with little or no change, or directly to the legislature), or the budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

**Researcher Response**

A member of the legislature was interviewed

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher, as per the OBS guidelines, the current response of "D" is maintained. No evidence could be identified to support a change in response.

**122. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) have the discretion in law to undertake those audits it may wish to?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 122 explores the scope of the investigative powers of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) as prescribed in law.*

*Question 97 asks which of the three types of audits – financial, compliance, and performance – the SAI conducts. This question asks if the SAI is constrained by law (rather than by a lack of capacity or an inadequate budget) from undertaking any form of audit or investigating irregularities in any program or activity.*

*There are numerous examples of limitations. For instance, some SAIs are not permitted by their legal mandate to audit joint ventures or other public-private arrangements. Others are only allowed to undertake financial audits, precluded from conducting performance or value-for-money audits. The SAIs in some countries do not have the legal mandate to review arrangements involving oil or stabilization funds, or other types of special or extra-budgetary funds. The SAI may also not have the ability to audit commercial projects involving the public and private sector.*

*To answer "a," the SAI must have full discretion in law to decide which audits to undertake. Answer "b" applies if some limitations exist, but the SAI enjoys significant discretion to undertake those audits it wishes to. Answer "c" applies if the SAI has some discretion, but significant legal limitations exist. Answer "d" applies if the SAI has no power at all to choose which audits to undertake*

*Consulting the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>) may be useful in answering this question as its provisions serve to define the appropriate scope of a SAI's legal mandate and jurisdiction.*

**Answer:**

a. The SAI has full discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.

**Source:**

<https://www.tcontas.ao/>

[http://www.saflii.org/ao/legis/num\\_act/lostdcelc461.pdf](http://www.saflii.org/ao/legis/num_act/lostdcelc461.pdf)

**Comment:**

The law (Lei Organica e do Processo do Tribunal de Contas), especially article 2, does not impose any limitation on the SAI to make the audits it deems pertinent.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
**Opinion:** Agree

**123. Are the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) reviewed by an independent agency?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 123 assesses whether and to what extent the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) are subject to review by an independent agency. The latter could be a peer SAI, an international organization, an academic institution with relevant expertise, or an independent domestic agency with quality assurance functions in the area of financial reporting.*

*To answer "a," an independent agency must conduct and publish a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis. Answer "b" applies if a review was carried out within the past five years, and published, but it is not conducted annually, but. Choose answer "c" if the SAI has an internal unit that reviews the audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis, but an independent agency does not conduct such a review. Answer "d" applies if the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.*

*If the answer is either "a" or "b," please specify the name of the independent agency and when last it conducted such a review, and provide a copy of the published report. If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the unit within the SAI that is tasked with conducting such reviews.*

**Answer:**

d. No, the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

**Source:**

n/a

**Comment:**

There is no independent agency.

**Peer Reviewer**  
**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**  
**Opinion:** Agree

**124. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 124 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Many SAIs interact with the legislature in some form, but the nature and intensity of the interaction varies. This question probes this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the SAI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the SAI representative in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). You can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the SAI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; "c" for once or twice, and "d" for never.*

**Answer:**

d. Never.

**Source:**

n/a

**Comment:**

n/a

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Again, I fully agree but believe an interview should be used as evidence.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Researcher Response**

according to the interviews with members of both institutions

**125. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation of the annual budget (prior to the budget being tabled in parliament)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.*

*The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:*

[https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations\\_course/IAP2\\_P2\\_Spectrum\\_FINAL.pdf](https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf).

*Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in the formulation of the annual budget, including annual pre-budget discussions. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as spending and tax policy, funding and revenue levels, and macro-fiscal planning.*

*To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.*

*Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.*

*Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:*

*1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.*

*and/or*

*2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.*

*In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.*

*Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a*

public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

[https://dw.angonet.org/sites/default/files/decreto\\_presidencial\\_n.o\\_235-19\\_-\\_regulamento\\_orcamento\\_participativo\\_a\\_nivel\\_municipal.pdf](https://dw.angonet.org/sites/default/files/decreto_presidencial_n.o_235-19_-_regulamento_orcamento_participativo_a_nivel_municipal.pdf)

**Comment:**

In 2021 the Angolan government launched a major initiative to broaden citizen participation in the process of formulating municipal budgets across the country. However, for the 2023 budget, this initiative did not take place, and there is no evidence that the budget proposal has been elaborated in a participatory way by the executive, despite the fact that the country has a legal framework and comprehensive instruction about participation in the budget process. However, in the year under review, this decree was not implemented and the Executive did not give any explanation in this regard.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Could be further elaborated and evidenced though an interview with the Ministry of Finance.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:**

**Comments:** O cidadão participa no processo de preparação do orçamento através do Orçamento participativo realizado por via de workshop, fóruns etc.

**Researcher Response**

Mas no ano em análise não se realizaram workshops ou fóruns para elaboração dos orçamentos participativos, apenas foram retomados para o orçamento de 2024

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. IBP agrees with the researcher's "Response to Review." The current response of "D" is upheld.

**126. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive take concrete steps to include vulnerable and under-represented parts of the population in the formulation of the annual budget?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on the executive's efforts to seek out the views of members of the public from socially vulnerable groups and/or who are underrepresented in the process.*

*To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives of vulnerable/underrepresented groups.*

*Answer "b" applies if the executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.*

**Answer:**

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

**Source:**

No sources.

**Comment:**

the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**127. During the budget formulation stage, which of the following key topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?**

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the formulation of the annual budget.*

*Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget formulation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.*

*Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.*

*If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.*

*Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.*

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

c. The executive's engagement with citizens covers at least one (but less than three) of the above-mentioned topics.

**Comments:** Por exemplo, a definição/elaboração do Orçamento Município incide sobre os PIP do município.

### Researcher Response

Para o período em análise não foi implementado o orçamento participativo, ele havia sido interrompido e somente retomou para o orçamento de 2024 e não é este que está a ser analisado

### 128. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

#### GUIDELINES:

*This question reflects the GIFT principles on “Inclusiveness” and “Timeliness” and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.*

*The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of “involvement” (option “a” in the responses) and “consultation” (option “b”). See:*

[https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations\\_course/IAP2\\_P2\\_Spectrum\\_FINAL.pdf](https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf)

*Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms (“the executive”) is currently using to allow the public to participate in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget. If the executive has designated a central coordinating agency to implement participation mechanisms throughout the national budget process, researchers may consider these mechanisms. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government’s efforts to incorporate citizens’ input into the implementation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenue administration, public service delivery, public investment project implementation, including procurement, and the administration of social transfer schemes.*

*To answer “a,” the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings, online, deliberative exchanges, procurement complaint mechanisms, and social monitoring and dialogue.*

*Answer “b” applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input on the implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include public hearings, surveys, focus groups, report cards, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.*

*Answer “c” applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to provide input on budget implementation, but:*

*1) The mechanisms are not structured, happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.*

*and/or*

*2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options “a” and “b” apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.*

*In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice “c”, the researcher must have evidence that the government is holding participation mechanisms that have some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.*

*Examples include hotlines, Facebook announcements, one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.*

*The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a “c” response.*

*Answer “d” applies if the requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.*

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

No sources

**Comment:**

There is no evidence that the government has used some participation mechanism during the review period.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** See previous comments about the benefit of at least three interviews: MinFin, 5ta Commissao and TdC.

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

b. Yes, the executive uses open participation mechanisms through which members of the public provide their inputs on budget implementation.

**Comments:** O orçamento participativo é um mecanismo que não serve apenas para olhar para a preparar do OGE, mas também contributos quanto ao controlo da execução.

**Researcher Response**

Sim mas não no ano em referencia

**129. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive take concrete steps to receive input from vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on national government's efforts to obtain input from members of the public who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes during the implementation of the annual budget.*

*To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives from vulnerable/underrepresented groups.*

*Answer "b" applies if the national executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.*

**Answer:**

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

**Source:**

**Comment:**

National executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

130. During the implementation of the annual budget, which of the following topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Changes in macroeconomic circumstances
2. Delivery of public services
3. Collection of revenue
4. Implementation of social spending
5. Changes in deficit and debt levels
6. Implementation of public investment projects

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the implementation of the annual budget.*

*Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget implementation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be ONLY the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.*

*Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.*

*If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.*

*Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.*

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

No sources.

**Comment:**

national executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

c. The executive's engagement with citizens covers at least one (but less than three) of the above-mentioned topics.

**IBP Comment**

Please see researcher's and IBP's comments in q.125 and q.128.

131. When the executive engages with the public, does it provide comprehensive prior information on the process of the engagement, so that the public can participate in an informed manner?

Comprehensive information must include at least three of the following elements:

1. Purpose
2. Scope
3. Constraints

**4. Intended outcomes**

**5. Process and timeline**

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question relates to the GIFT principle of "Openness," and addresses whether the executive provides relevant information on the process of the engagement before public participation takes place, in order to help citizens engage effectively. The question addresses whether the "rules of the public engagement" are clearly spelled out, in advance and in detail, so that those members of the public who want to engage know how to do so, in terms of when they can do so, what they are expected to provide input on, by when, to whom, etc. This question does not cover the substance of the engagement, which is covered by questions 127 and 130.*

*Non-comprehensive information means that the government provides information that includes at least one but less than three of the elements listed above.*

*Purpose refers to a brief explanation of why the public engagement is being undertaken, including the executive's objectives for its engagement with the public.*

*Scope refers to what is within the subject matter of the engagement as well as what is outside the subject matter of the engagement. For example, the scope may include how a current policy is administered but exclude the specifics of the policies themselves.*

*Constraints refers to whether there are any explicit limitations on the engagement. An example of a constraint could be that any policy changes must not cost (or forgo revenue) more than a specific amount or have no net fiscal cost.*

*Intended outcomes refers to what the executive hopes to achieve as a result of the engagement. Examples of intended outcomes could be revising a policy to better reflect citizen or service-user views or to improve the way in which a particular program is administered.*

*Process refers to the methods by which the public engagement will take place and the discrete steps in the process. For example, the process may simply be a one-off Internet-based consultation, with a summary published of public inputs and the official response. The process may involve simultaneous or overlapping steps, and may be conducted in one round or in two or more rounds of engagement.*

*Timeline refers to the specific dates on which the discrete steps in the process will take place, or during which they will be completed, and clear start and end dates for the overall engagement.*

*Answer "d" applies if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage.*

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

No sources.

**Comment:**

the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage during the period covered by the survey.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

c. Information is provided in a timely manner in both or one of the two phases, but it is not comprehensive.

**IBP Comment**

Please see researcher's and IBP's comments in q125 and q.128.

**132. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used in the formulation of the annual budget?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used in the formulation of the annual budget, and how/why.*

*By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.*

*Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:*

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)*

*Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:*

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used.*

*Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:*

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

*Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.*

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

No sources.

**Comment:**

the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**133. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive provide the public with information on how citizens' inputs have been used to assist in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received during the implementation of the annual budget, which ones are take into account to improve budget monitoring, and how/why.*

*By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.*

*Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:*

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received (e.g., a written transcript) from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were or were not taken into account by the executive during budget monitoring.

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

No sources

**Comment:**

the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**134. Are participation mechanisms incorporated into the timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal?**

**GUIDELINES:**

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Sustainability," "Timeliness" and "Complementarity" and addresses whether the executive is able to link participation mechanisms to the administrative processes that are used to create the annual budget.

Please note that "timetable" refers to a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. This document is sometimes referred to as the budget calendar and is the same document referenced in Question 53.

Answer "a" applies if the national executive establishes a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget. For answer choice "a", the timetable must be available to the public prior to the budget preparation process beginning.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not establish a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation or implementation stage.

**Answer:**

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

**Source:**

No sources

**Comment:**

Although there is a calendar for budget preparation, approved by presidential decree, the decree does not clearly mention guidelines to enable citizens and public servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizens' inputs to be incorporated in the annual budget.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

a. Yes, the executive incorporates participation into its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal and the timetable is available to the public.

**Comments:** Sim. No calendário de elaboração do OGE

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. In accordance with the evidence presented by the researcher, as per the OBS guidelines, the current response of "D" is maintained. No evidence could be identified to support a change in response. Please note, that beyond the publication of the calendar, this question examines whether participation mechanisms are incorporated into this calendar.

**135. Do one or more line ministries use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation or implementation of the annual budget?**

**GUIDELINES**

*While questions 125 – 134 focus only on participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency currently use to allow the public to participate in the national budget process, this question asks about participation mechanisms used by line ministries to allow the public to participate in national budget processes. Thus, participation mechanisms used by the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by a line ministry or if multiple line ministries use participation mechanisms, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation and/or implementation of the annual budget.*

*This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.*

*The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:*

[https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations\\_course/IAP2\\_P2\\_Spectrum\\_FINAL.pdf](https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf)

*To answer "a," a line ministry must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.*

*Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which a line ministry seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.*

*Answer "c" applies if a line ministry has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:*

*1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.*

*and/or*

*2) A line ministry consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the line ministry determines fully or*

partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

No sources

**Comment:**

we have no evidence that any Ministerial department has used public participation mechanisms.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Disagree

**Suggested Answer:**

b. Yes, at least one line ministry uses open participation mechanisms through which members of the public provide their inputs on the budget.

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comment is well-noted and appreciated. As the Open Budget Survey is an evidence-based assessment, and considering that no supporting source was provided by the government, and neither the researcher, peer reviewer nor IBP could uncover any evidence, in accordance with the OBS guidelines, the current response of "C" is upheld.

**136. Does the legislature or the relevant legislative committee(s) hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget (pre-budget and/or approval stages)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislature are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) has put in place and is using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the annual budget. This includes deliberations during the pre-budget phase (i.e., when the executive is still in the process of formulating the draft budget) and the budget discussions after the budget has been tabled to parliament and before it is approved. In the comment box, please specify during which stage of the budget cycle the legislature has put in place a public participation mechanism.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual Members of Parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

If there is more than one mechanism, please select a mechanism that best shows/reflects the legislature's efforts to incorporate citizens into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenues, policy selection, and macro-fiscal planning (please note that the issue of coverage is covered in a subsequent question).

To answer "a," the legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs; but
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, but
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)
- The legislature has a provision (via standing orders or in law) through which the public can submit their inputs, and members of the public or CSOs actively use it to submit opinions on the budget.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget

**Answer:**

c. Yes, public hearings on the budget are held. No testimony from the public is provided during the public hearings, and there are no other mechanisms through which public contributions are received, but the legislature invites specific individuals or groups to testify or provide input (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

**Source:**

<https://www.jornaldeangola.ao/ao/noticias/oge-parceiros-sociais-pedem-mais-inclusao-de-programas/>

**Comment:**

The public hearing happened and some CSOs were invited to provide some inputs. However, no testimony from the public was provided during the public hearings, and there was no other mechanisms through which public contributions are received.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Comments:** Good and clear evidence. It is a bit surprising that the hearings did not enable participants to provide testimony. The article references CSO members voicing concern over the budget. Was this only done to the media? Was there no opportunity to voice this in the hearing?

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. As part of an IBP consistency check aimed at ensuring methodological and cross-country coherence, this response underwent further scrutiny. Since the provided link pertains to the 2023 Budget process, occurring after the OBS cutoff date in January 2023, evidence of the public consultation within the EB 2022 budget approval process is being provided to address this query. NATIONAL ASSEMBLY STARTS PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF OGE 2022 DISCUSSION  
<https://rna.ao/rna.ao/2021/11/18/assembleia-nacional-inicia-processo-de-auscultacao-publica-no-quadro-da-discussao-do-oge-2022/>  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wasXlxLgmCw>

137. During the legislative deliberations on the annual budget (pre-budget or approval stages), which of the following key topics does the legislature's (or relevant legislative budget committee) engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider the range of mechanisms currently used by the legislature to promote public participation during legislative deliberations on the annual budget.*

*Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics, for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the legislature's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.*

*Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.*

*If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.*

*Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.*

**Answer:**

a. The legislature seeks input on all six topics.

**Source:**

<https://rna.ao/rna.ao/2021/11/18/assembleia-nacional-inicia-processo-de-auscultacao-publica-no-quadro-da-discussao-do-oge-2022/>

**Comment:**

It was organized various public hearings, inviting some organizations with specific experience as think tanks, association of economists, NGOs etc. The legislature seeks input on all six topics. There were organized meetings in different parliamentary commissions.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**Researcher Response**

The legislature seeks input on all six topics

**IBP Comment**

The reviewer's comments are well-noted and appreciated. During an IBP consistency check, to ensure methodological and cross-country consistency, and after further consultation with the researcher, it was determined, based on the researcher's experiences, that the legislative deliberations on the annual budget encompass six key topics. These discussions are arranged by theme during hearings, and although not everyone participates in every topic, the process remains inclusive and open to all.

**138. Does the legislature provide feedback to the public on how citizens' inputs have been used during legislative deliberations on the annual budget?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the legislature provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received and how inputs were used during legislative deliberations (please note that these deliberations can refer to the pre-budget and approval phases). By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the legislature.*

*Answer "a" applies when the legislature provides a written document with:*

- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).

Answer "b" applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used in legislative deliberations on the annual budget (please note that these deliberations refer to the pre-budget and approval phases).

Answer "c" applies when the legislature makes available a video recording of the relevant legislative session or provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

No sources.

**Comment:**

Legislatura does not provide feedback to the public on how citizens' inputs have been used during legislative deliberations on the annual budget

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**139. Does the legislature hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the Audit Report?**

**GUIDELINES:**

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

A key constitutional role of the legislature in almost all countries is to oversee the government's management of public resources. While the Supreme Audit Institution is responsible for checking the government's accounts and publishing the outcome of their audits, for accountability purposes it is essential that the legislature reviews and scrutinizes those reports, and checks on whether the executive is taking the appropriate corrective actions based on the Supreme Audit Institution's recommendations.

Holding public hearings to review audit findings allows the public to learn more about how the government has managed its resources for the budget years that have ended, and demand accountability in case of mismanagement and irregularities. Reviewing and discussing those reports in public is therefore a key responsibility of a legislature.

Please note that by "Audit Report" we refer to the same audit report assessed in the transparency section of this Survey, i.e., one of the eight key budget documents that all governments (in this case, the Supreme Audit Institution) must produce, according to best practice.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) have put in place and using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the Audit Report.

*Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual members of parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.*

*To answer "a," the national legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).*

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*
- *No testimony is allowed from the public; BUT*
- *There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.*

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*
- *No testimony is allowed from the public;*
- *No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, BUT*
- *The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)*

*Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the Audit Report.*

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

No sources.

**Comment:**

The legislatura does not use any mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the Audit Report

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**140. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program (for example, by bringing ideas on agencies, programs, or projects that could be audited)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question assesses whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has established mechanisms through which the public can provide suggestions on issues/topics to be included in its audit program. When deciding its audit agenda, the SAI may undertake audits for a sample of agencies, projects, and programs in the country; and such a selection could be based on complaints and suggestions made by members of the public. To receive such suggestions, the SAI may create formal mechanisms, like setting up a website, hotline, or office (or assigning staff to liaise with the public).*

*Please note that formal mechanisms that do not explicitly seek the public's input in the audit program (such as general comment submission boxes on the SAI's website) should not be considered for this question.*

**Answer:**

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

**Source:**

N/A

**Comment:**

the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has not established mechanisms through which the public can provide suggestions on issues/topics to be included in its audit program.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**141. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used to determine its audit program?**

*GUIDELINES:*

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the Supreme Audit Institution provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used to determine the Supreme Audit Institution's audit program. By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the Supreme Audit Institution.*

*Answer "a" applies when the Supreme Audit Institution provides a written document with:*

- *The inputs received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).*

*Answer "b" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:*

- *The inputs received from the public and*
- *A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used to determine the SAI's annual audit program.*

*Answer "c" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:*

- *The received from the public or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

*Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggests issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program.*

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

No sources.

**Comment:**

The Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has no mechanism for providing the public with information on how citizens' contributions were used to determine its audit programme

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question

**142. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents, witnesses, etc.)?**

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question mirrors question 140, but instead of covering public assistance in formulating the SAI's audit program, it focuses on whether the Supreme Audit Institution has established mechanisms through which the public can participate in audit investigations. In addition to seeking public input to determine its audit agenda, the SAI may wish to provide formal opportunities for the public and civil society organizations to participate in the actual audit investigations, as witnesses or respondents.*

**Answer:**

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

**Source:**

No sources

**Comment:**

No formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents, witnesses, etc.) are in place.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** I choose not to review this question