Open Budget Survey 2023

Questionnaire

Saudi Arabia

May 2024



Country Questionnaire: Saudi Arabia

PBS-1. What is the fiscal year of the PBS evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire? Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY." Answer: FY 2023 Source: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf Comment: Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

PBS-2. When is the PBS made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for a PBS to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public <u>one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration</u>. If the PBS is not released to the public at least one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the PBS.

Answer:

b. At least two months, but less than four months, in advance of the budget year, and at least one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is introduced in the legislature

Source:

MoF Media -

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/mediacenter/News/Pages/news_30092022.aspx#:~:text=The%20Ministry%20of%20Finance%20announced,about%20SAR9%20billion%20will%20be

Comment:

Pre-budget statement was released on 9/30/2022.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-3a. If the PBS is published, what is the date of publication of the PBS?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

30/9/2022

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/mediacenter/News/Pages/news_30092022.aspx#:~:text=The%20Ministry%20of%20Finance%20announced,about%20SAR9%20billion%20will%20be

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: agree, but should be written as 30/09/2022

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

PBS-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the PBS.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

MoF Media Post Regarding Launch of Pre-Budget Statement.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/mediacenter/News/Pages/news_30092022.aspx#:~:text=The%20Ministry%20of%20Finance%20announced,about%20SAR9%20billion%20will%20be

Comment:

 $Running\ javascript: alert (document.last Modified)\ yields\ the\ same\ date$

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-4. If the PBS is published, what is the URL or weblink of the PBS?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf

Source

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

PBS-5. If the PBS is published, are the numerical data contained in the PBS available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) or HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option "d" applies if the PBS is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf

Comment

The PBS itself is provided as a PDF, and not classified as machine readable. However, data provided within the PBS is accessible in machine readable formats on the MoF website. https://www.mof.gov.sa/generalservcies/open-data/Pages/home.aspx

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

IBP Comment

Many thanks to government reviewer for their comments. While this is a welcome development, IBP notes that most of the data is not for planned budget for 2023. Also, the research cut-off date for OBS this round is 31st December, 2022. IBP maintains researchers original response of C.

PBS-6a. If the PBS is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the PBS is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question PBS-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question PBS-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

Answer:

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

e. Not applicable (the	ocument is publicly available)
Source:	
Comment:	
Peer Reviewer	
Opinion: Agree	
Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
S-6b. If you selected opt t produced at all.	on "c" or "d" in question PBS-6a, please specify how you determined whether the PBS was produced for internal use only, versus
t produced at all.	on "c" or "d" in question PBS-6a, please specify how you determined whether the PBS was produced for internal use only, versus relected in question PBS-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."
t produced at all.	
t produced at all. option "a,""b," or "e" was Answer:	
t produced at all. option "a,""b," or "e" was Answer: n/a	
t produced at all. option "a,""b," or "e" was Answer: n/a Source:	
t produced at all. option "a,""b," or "e" was Answer: n/a	
t produced at all. option "a,""b," or "e" was Answer: n/a Source:	
t produced at all. option "a,""b," or "e" was Answer: n/a Source: Comment:	
Answer: n/a Source: Comment: Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
t produced at all. option "a,""b," or "e" was Answer: n/a Source: Comment:	

PBS-7. If the PBS is produced, please write the full title of the PBS.

For example, a title for the Pre-Budget Statement could be "Proposed 2023 State Budget" or "Guidelines for the Preparation of Annual Plan and Budget for 2022/23."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Pre-Budget Statement Fiscal Year 2023

Source

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf

Comment:

'البيان التمهيدي للميزانية العامة للدولة للعام المالي 2023' In arabic this is

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

PBS-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the PBS?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

https://twitter.com/MOFKSA/status/1575902170926366720/photo/1

https://twitter.com/MOFKSA/status/1575908104080097280/photo/1

Comment

There is no formal citizen's version of the PBS. This is likely because the PBS is a very simple document and straightforward to read.

It is also worth noting that at the time of the launch of the PBS the MoF on Twitter is active in sharing images and graphics from the document (see sources).

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: a. Yes

Comments: The Ministry of Finance publishes an infographic with the most important points mentioned in the Pre-Budget statement so that the information is easily accessible to citizens. We also attach to you the most important publications accompanying the Pre-Budget statement FY 2023 on the MoF account on the X platform. https://x.com/mofksa/status/1575902170926366720?s=46&t=h4xl2Jl7pKefQZkUxgcjDA https://x.com/mofksa/status/1575904087140450304?s=46&t=h4xl2Jl7pKefQZkUxgcjDA https://x.com/mofksa/status/1575908104080097280? s=46&t=h4xl2Jl7pKefQZkUxgcjDA

Researcher Response

Government review rightly points out that information from PBS is widely shared widely shared in an easy to read format. As the original comment notes, the PBS is a very simple document and straightforward to read. Unfortunately, the researcher understands that the OBS methodology requires a separate document to be released as a Citizen's Version. This is not the case here, and so the answer is maintained.

EBP-	-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EBP evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?	
Plea	se enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."	
	Answer: FY 2023 Source: In accordance with IBP Guidelines. Comment:	
	Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
		

$\hbox{\it EBP-1b. When is the EBP submitted to the legislature for consideration?}$

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer: Source: N/A

The EBP is not submitted to a legislature for consideration as Saudi Arabia does not have a formal legislature. The Majlis Al Shura or Royal Court may be involved in a process of reviewing the proposed budget, but are not technically legislative bodies.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Legislature power in Saudi Arabia is the Royal Court. The government the budget proposal to the Royal Court in October and committees in Royal Court are reviewing the proposal and can make amendments before the final budget is approved. The main targets in budget

proposal is published through the pre-budget statement initiating public discussion during this period.

Researcher Response

As with previous years, the researcher maintains the current answer as the Royal Court sufficiently meet criteria to be considered legislature.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to the government for their comments. OBS methodology looks for evidence to support answer choices; Royal Court does not qualify as legislature. Shura Council is an advisory body with authority to review certain policy proposals. Looking at the Shura sessions and agenda (https://www.shura.gov.sa/wps/wcm/connect/ShuraEn/internet/Session+Agenda/), media reports, and Shura Council laws (https://shura.gov.sa/img/en/books/LawofShuraCouncilEn.pdf), no evidence suggesting that the draft budget for 2022 was shared with Shura Council.

EBP-2. When is the EBP made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EBP to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public while the legislature is still considering it and before the legislature approves (enacts) it. If the EBP is not released to the public before the legislature approves it, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EBP.

The OBS definition of an Executive's Budget Proposal is a document(s) that (i) the executive submits to the legislature as a formal part of the budget approval process and (ii) the legislature either approves or on which it approves proposed amendments.

The OBS will treat the Executive's Budget Proposal as "Not Produced," in the following cases:

- The executive does not submit the draft budget to the legislature; or
- The legislature receives the draft budget but does not approve it or does not approve recommendations on the draft budget;
- The legislature rejects the draft budget submitted by the executive, but the executive implements it without legislative approval; or
- There is no legislature, or the legislature has been dissolved.

Answer

d. The EBP is not released to the public, or is released after the budget has been approved by the legislature

Source:

Comment:

EBP is not produced.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: b. At least two months, but less than three months, in advance of the budget year, and in advance of the budget being approved by the legislature

Comments: The main targets in budget proposal is published through the pre-budget statement initiating public discussion during this period.

Researcher Response

EBP cannot be published in the PBS. According to IBP Methodology, the EBP is not produced. Answer is maintained.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to the government for their comments. OBS methodology looks for evidence to support answer choices; Royal Court does not qualify as legislature. Shura Council is an advisory body with authority to review certain policy proposals. Looking at the Shura sessions and agenda

(https://www.shura.gov.sa/wps/wcm/connect/ShuraEn/internet/Session+Agenda/), media reports, and Shura Council laws (https://shura.gov.sa/img/en/books/LawofShuraCouncilEn.pdf), no evidence suggesting that the draft budget for 2022 was shared with Shura Council. As such, answer choice D is maintained.

EBP-3a. If the EBP is published, what is the date of publication of the EBP?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their date of publication.

Source:

Comment:

EBP is not produced. A Budget Statement is produced but this represents the Enacted Budget.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: The budget statement is published and covering main requirement in the EBP. The budget statement is published in 07/12/2022.

Researcher Response

Budget Statement being referred to is not an EBP. According to IBP Methodology, the EBP is not produced. Answer is maintained.

IBP Comment

IBP agrees with researchers assessment. See indicator EBP-2a IBP comments for more information.

EBP-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EBP.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: The budget statement is published in 07/12/2022. Links are available in the twitter posts made on December 7th. Running javascript on the Enacted Budget webpage shows it was last edited on December 9th.

Researcher Response

See previous comment.

IBP Comment

IBP agrees with researchers assessment. See indicator EBP-2a IBP comments for more information.

EBP-4. If the EBP is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EBP?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their URL or weblink.

Answer:
Source:
Comment: EBP is not produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: https://x.com/mofksa/status/1600617653605134336?s=46 https://x.com/mofksa/status/1602316100057849856?s=46 https://x.com/mofksa/status/1600584380493217793?s=46

Researcher Response

Links provided refer to the Enacted Budget. According to IBP Methodology, the EBP is not produced. Answer is maintained.

IBP Comment

Comment:

IBP agrees with researchers assessment. See indicator EBP-2a IBP comments for more information.

EBP-5. If the EBP is published, are the numerical data contained in the EBP or its supporting documents available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xlsv,.xlsv, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/.

Option "d" applies if the EBP is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer: d. Not applicable
Source:

EBP is not produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Yes, all of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Comments: The all of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/en/dataset?

Researcher Response

While it is true that much of the data that might be included in an EBP is made available to the public, this is not the same as an EBP being produced and available in a machine readable format. Answer is maintained.

IBP Comment

IBP agrees with researchers assessment. See indicator EBP-2a IBP comments for more information.

EBP-6a. If the EBP is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EBP is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EBP-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EBP-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

d. Not produced at all

Source:

Researcher's own knowledge.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Produced but made available online to the public too late (published after the acceptable time frame)

Comments: Saudi budgetary process has many steps, including internal processes for budget formulation with division by administrative classification, and it required to be reviewed and approved by the legislature.

Researcher Response

Researcher maintains the current answer as neither the Majlis Al Shura nor the Royal Court sufficiently meet criteria to be considered legislature. As such, according to IBP Methodology, the EBP is not produced. Answer is maintained.

IBP Comment

IBP agrees with researchers assessment. See indicator EBP-2a IBP comments for more information.

EBP-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EBP-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EBP was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a,""b," or "e" was selected in question EBP-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

There is no need for a budget proposal to be produced as the final budget is automatically approved. The EB goes through its own internal review and revision process however at no point is it reviewed by a formal legislature.

Source:

Researcher's own knowledge.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Legislature power in Saudi Arabia is the Royal Court. The government the budget proposal to the Royal Court in October and committees in Royal Court are reviewing the proposal and can make amendments before the final budget is approved. The main targets in budget proposal is published through the pre-budget statement initiating public discussion during this period.

Researcher Response

Researcher maintains the current answer as the Royal Court sufficiently meet criteria to be considered legislature. As such, according to IBP Methodology, the EBP is not produced. Answer is maintained.

IBP Comment

IBP agrees with researchers assessment. See indicator EBP-2a IBP comments for more information.

EBP-7. If the EBP is produced, please write the full title of the EBP.

For example, a title for the Executive's Budget Proposal could be "Draft Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for BY 2022-23, produced by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development."

If there are any supporting documents to the EBP, please enter their full titles in the comment box below.

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

EBP is not produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Budget Statement FY 2023

Researcher Response

Researcher maintains the current answer as the Royal Court sufficiently meet criteria to be considered legislature. As such, according to IBP Methodology, the EBP is not produced. Answer is maintained.

IBP Comment

IBP agrees with researchers assessment. See indicator EBP-2a IBP comments for more information.

EBP-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EBP?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/.

Answer:			
b. No			
Source:			

Comment:

EBP is not produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer: a. Yes

Comments: Citizen budget 2023 kindly find the link below: https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

Researcher Response

The Citizen's Budget is the citizen's version of the Enacted Budget, not an EBP. Researcher maintains the current answer as neither the Majlis Al Shura nor the Royal Court sufficiently meet criteria to be considered legislature. As such, according to IBP Methodology, the EBP is not produced. Answer is maintained.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to the reviewer. The linked Citizens Budget is assessed in the Open Budget Survey as associated with the Budget law/ Enacted Budget.

EB-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Answer:			
FY 2023			
Source:			
IBP Guidelines			
Comment:			
EB was released in December 202	2.		
Peer Reviewer			
Opinion: Agree			
Government Reviewer			
Opinion: Agree			

EB-1b. When was the EB approved (enacted) by the legislature?

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

7/12/2022

Source:

https://twitter.com/MOFKSA/status/1600578092975562752

Comment:

The EB was not approved by legislature however it was formally adopted by the council of minister on December 7th.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EB-2. When is the EB made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EB to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public <u>three months after the budget is approved by the legislature</u>. If the EB is not released to the public at least three months after the budget is approved by the legislature, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EB.

Answer:

a. Two weeks or less after the budget has been enacted

Source:

MoF Press Site and MoF Twitter

Comment:

The EB is released to the public shortly after it is approved.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

EB-3a. If the EB is published, what is the date of publication of the EB?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

7/12/2022

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/MediaCenter/news/Pages/News_07122022.aspx

Comment:

The EB is launched to the public shortly after its approval. This appears to be on the same day, and certainly no later than the 9th of December 2022.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: It was published in the 7th of December 2022, as it appears in the link below:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/MediaCenter/news/Pages/News_07122022.aspx

EB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Links are available in the twitter posts made on December 7th.

Running javascript on the Enacted Budget webpage shows it was last edited on December 9th. Use of javascript on the EB's formal page as well as twitter release of the budget document.

Source:			
Comment:			
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree			
Government Reviewer			
Opinion: Agree			

EB-4. If the EB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

 $https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf\ https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf\ https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Budget/2023/Documents/Budget/2023/Documents/Budget/2023/Documents/Budget/2023/Documents/Budget/2023/Documents/Budget/2023/Documents/Budget/2023/Documents/Budget/2023/Documents/$

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EB-5. If the EB is published, are the numerical data contained in the EB available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option "d" applies if the EB is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Source:

Data is not provided in a machine readable format within the EB itself. although this data is available on the the official MoF website.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Yes, all of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Comments: It is possible to find data on the EB FY 2023 on the Open Data platform in all open data formats. We also attach the link for quick access to the required data. https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/en/dataset/annualbudget2023

 $https://od.data.qov.sa/Data/en/datasetq=\%D8\%A7\%D9\%84\%D9\%85\%D9\%8A\%D8\%B2\%D8\%A7\%D9\%86\%D9\%8A\%D8\%A9\&sort=metadata_modified+descaled for the control of the cont$

Researcher Response

Government reviewer rightly points out that relevant data for the 2023 EB is provided online. This is in a clearly titled dataset win .csv, . xlsx, and .json formats. However, this by no means accounts for all the data available in the EB, and so option a cannot be selected. Answer is revised to option b.

EB-6a. If the EB is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EB is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EB-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EB-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

EB-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EB-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a,""b," or "e" was selected in question EB-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source: Comment:			
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree			
Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree			

EB-7. If the EB is produced, please write the full title of the EB.

For example, a title for the Enacted Budget could be "Appropriation Act n. 10 of 2023."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Budget Statement Fiscal Year 2023

Source:

Comment:

In arabic as below: الميزانية العامة للدولة للعام المالي 2023 م

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EB-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EB?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/.

iswe	r
iswe	ľ

a. Yes

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

Front page will include a link to the citizen's budget which can be read online or downloaded.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

CB-1. What is the fiscal year of the CB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for each CB please indicate the document the CB simplifies/refers to, and the fiscal year.

Answer:

FY 2023

Source:

IBP Guidelines

Comment:

The Citizens Budget must be evaluate the same fiscal year as the Enacted Budget.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Comments: https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

CB-2a. For the fiscal year indicated in CB-1, what is the public availability status of the CB?

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document (Executive's Budget Proposal or Enacted Budget) you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its public availability status.

Remember that publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

	Source:	
	https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx	
	Comment: Citizen's version is made available on the same dedicated website established for the EB.	
	Citizen's version is made available on the same dedicated website established for the Eb.	
	Dave Davisoner	
	Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
	Government Reviewer	
	Opinion: Agree Comments: the Citizen Budget was published on the same day of the Budget Statement release (07-12-2022), at the Ministry of Finance's website.	
	Commends. the Statest Budget had published on the Sume day of the Budget Stateshell Follows (67 12 2022), at the miniotry of Findings of Resolution	
	2b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question CB-2a, please specify how you determined whether the CB was produced for internal use only, versus not	
proc	duced at all.	
16 -		
IT O	otion "a,""b," or "e" was selected in question CB-2a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."	
	Answer:	
	n/a	
	Course:	
	Source:	
	Comment:	
	Peer Reviewer	
	Opinion: Agree	
	Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
	Ophilion. Agree	
CB-3	3a. If the CB is published, what is the date of publication of the CB?	
	······································	
Not	te that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.	
Res	earchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.	
Plo:	ase enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not	
	lished or not produced, leave this question blank.	
	nore than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are	,
rere	erring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its dates of publication.	
	Answer:	
	7/12/2022	
	Source:	
	Source: https://twitter.com/M0FKSA/status/1600577841933991954	

Comment:

CB is published the same day as the EB.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

CB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the CB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

A link to the CB was published on the MoF's twitter the same day as the EB.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Also the dates of the twitter posts has been published in the MoF twitter account and SPA

https://x.com/mofksa/status/1600584380493217793?s=46 https://x.com/mofksa/status/1600577841933991954?s=46

https://x.com/spagov/status/1600576166091440137?s=46

CB-4. If the CB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the CB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its URL or weblink.

Answer

https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

CB can be downloaded from this website by selecting the 'Citizen Version' option on the home screen.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

CB-5. If the CB is produced, please write the full title of the CB.

For example, a title for the Citizens Budget could be "Budget 2022 People's Guide" or "2023 Proposed Budget in Brief: A People's Budget Publication."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for the other CB, indicate the document the CB refers to and, next to it, its full title.

Answer

Citizen's version of the fiscal year budget 1444 - 1445 AH (2023 AD).

Source:

Comment:

In Arabic: نسخة

المـــواطن ميزانيـــــة العــــام المالـــي (هـ (2023م1455 - 1444)

.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

CB-6. If the CB is produced, please indicate which budget document it corresponds to.

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and which budget document it simplifies.

Answer:

Enacted Budget (referred to as Budget Statement)

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The CB corresponds to the EB and is published at the same time. MoF announcement frames the CB as focusing on the 'most important details in the EB' for citizens. https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

IYRs-1. What is the fiscal year of the IYRs evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2022

Source:

The Fiscal Year 2022 would be the most recent year for which all in-year reports are available.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-2. When are the IYRs made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public <u>no later than three months after the reporting period ends</u>. If at least seven of the last 12 monthly IYRs, or at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the IYRs.

Answer:

c. At least every quarter, and within three months of the period covered

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

Using javascript the following dates are identified as the dates of last editing the online IYRs

Q1: 15/05 2022 Q2: 04/08 2022 Q3: 31/10 2022 Q4: 09/03 2023

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Kindly find the dates that the Quarterly reports were available to the public below: Q1: 07/05 2022 Q2: 04/08 2022 Q3: 31/10 2022 Q4:

09/03 2023

IYRs-3a. If the IYRs are published, what are the dates of publication of the IYRs?

Specifically: if quarterly In-Year Reports are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least three of the last four IYRs that were publicly available. If monthly IYRs are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least seven of the last 12 IYRs that were publicly available.

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD Month YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05 September 2022. If the document is not published or not produced, please mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Using javascript the following dates are identified as the dates of last editing the online IYRs

Q1: 15/05 2022

Q2: 04/08 2022

Q3: 31/10 2022

Q4: 09/03 2023

Source:

Q1: https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q1A2022-MOF.pdf

Q2: https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q2A2022.pdf

Q3: https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q3A2022.pdf

Q4: https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q4%20A%202022.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No formal publication date, but updated online with the quarterly dates provided for each report.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Kindly find the dates that the Quarterly reports were available to the public below: Q1: 07/05 2022 Q2: 04/08 2022 Q3: 31/10 2022

Q4: 09/03 2023

IYRs-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the IYRs.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Running Javascript on the various published IYRs

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

IYRs-4. If the IYRs are published, what is the URL or weblink of the IYRs?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Researchers should provide the weblink to the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the weblinks to older IYRs

If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Source:

- Q1: https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q1A2022-MOF.pdf
- Q2: https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q2A2022.pdf
- Q3: https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q3A2022.pdf
- Q4: https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q4%20A%202022.pdf

Comment:

All IYRs can be found in the first link. Subsequent 4 links go directly to the IYR PDFs.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: In English Q1: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q1E%202022-M0F.pdf Q2:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q2E2022.pdf Q3:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q3E2022.pdf Q4:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q4%20E%202022.pdf

IYRs-5. If the IYRs are published, are the numerical data contained in the IYRs available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xlsv,.xlsv, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option "d" applies if the IYRs are not publicly available, therefore their machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer

a. Yes, all of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment

It is commendable to note that IYRs now have an associated excel document with all IYR data in a machine readable format, clearly visible next to the PDF on the MoF website.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

IYRs-6a. If the IYRs are not publicly available, are they still produced?

If the IYRs are not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question IYRs-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question IYRs-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Easily available online, but for some reason they do not specify a publication date -- common occurrence in Saudi Arabia.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question IYRs-6a, please specify how you determined whether the IYRs were produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a,""b," or "e" was selected in question IYRs-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:			
Peer Reviewer Opinion:			
Government Reviewer Opinion:			

IYRs-7. If the IYRs are produced, please write the full title of the IYRs.

For example, a title for the In-Year Report could be "Budget Monitoring Report, Quarter 1" or "Budget Execution Report January-March 2022."

If In-Year Reports are not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Researchers should provide the full title of the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the full titles of older

Answer:

In English: Quarterly Budget Performance Q1 of FY 2022 (1443-1444 H)

(تقرير الربع الأول لأداء الميزانية 1443-1444هـ (2022) In Arabic: 2022

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

IYRs-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the IYRs?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/.

An	SW	er

b. No

Source:

Comment:

No citizen's version can be identified on MoF website or MoF Twitter. Although, it should be noted that in the IYRs themselves, information is clearly presented and understandable.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer: a. Yes

Comments: The information contained in the IYRs documents is clear and understandable. and also it's worth mentioned that there is a citizen

version of the Quarterly reports kindly find the links below: English version:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/infoE%20Q4-2021.pdf https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/infoE%20Q3-2021.pdf

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/infoE%20Q2%202021.pdf Arabic version:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2021/Documents/infoA_Q4-2021.pdf https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2021/Documents/infoA%20Q3-2021.pdf https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2021/Documents/infoA%20Q2%202021.pdf

Researcher Response

The links provided are not citizen reports of IYRs. They are snapshots of the data provided in the IYRs which are circulated on social media channels. Research recognises that the IYRs are already produced in a simplified and easy to read format, however this does meet the requirements to be considered a citizens version.

IBP Comment

IBP agrees with researchers assessment. For cross country consistency, the In-year reports themselves, or snapshots of tables are not assessed as Citizens versions.

MYR-1. What is the fiscal year of the MYR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

2022

Source:

IBP Guidelines.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

MYR-2. When is the MYR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an MYR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends (i.e., three months after the midpoint of the fiscal year). If the MYR is not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the MYR.

Answer

c. More than nine weeks, but less than three months, after the midpoint

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Mid-Bud%202022-A.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

MYR-3a. If the MYR is published, what is the date of publication of the MYR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

30/9/2022

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Comments: agree, but should be written as 30/09/2022

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

MYR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the MYR.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:		
Use of javascript on the MYR		
Source:		
Comment:		
eer Reviewer		
Opinion: Agree		
overnment Reviewer		
Opinion: Agree		

MYR-4. If the MYR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the MYR?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/financial report/2022/Documents/Mid-Bud%202022-A.pdf

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Documents/Mid-Bud%202022-A.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

 $\textbf{Comments:} \ In \ English \ https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financial report/2022/Documents/Mid-Bud\%202022-En.pdf$

MYR-5. If the MYR is published, are the numerical data contained in the MYR available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/.

Option "d" applies if the MYR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/generalservcies/open-data/Pages/home.aspx

Comment:

Unlike the IYRs, the MYR is not accompanied with a dedicated excel document providing machine readable data. However, like other documents published, much of the MYR data can be found on other public sources.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Yes, all of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Comments: It is possible to find data on the Open Data platform in all open data formats. We also attach the link for quick access to the required data. https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/ar/dataset/budget-performance-2022

https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/en/datasetq=%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%8A%D8%B2%D8%A7%D9%86%D9%8A%D8%A9&sort=metadata_modified+desc

Researcher Response

Unlike for the Enacted Budget, the links provided do not show a dataset specific to the MYR, but rather publicly available data which may include data in the MYR. However it is not clear that all data is made available and clearly corresponds to the MYR.

MYR-6a. If the MYR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the MYR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question MYR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question MYR-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

•	••		•••	_	•	•
		N	^	ŧ	-	

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question MYR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the MYR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a,""b," or "e" was selected in question MYR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer: n/a			
Source:			
Comment:			

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

MYR-7. If the MYR is produced, please write the full title of the MYR.

For example, a title for the Mid-Year Review could be "Semi-annual Budget Performance Report, FY 2021/22" or "Mid-Year Report on the 2022 National Budget."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Semi-annual financial and economic performance report for the year 2022 AD

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: The Mid-Year Economic and Fiscal Performance report FY 2022

Comments: In English: The Mid-Year Economic and Fiscal Performance report FY 2022 In Arabic: تقرير الأداء المالي والاقتصادي نصـف السـنوي للعــام 2022م

Researcher Response

Government Response is correct. Source available here: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Mid-Bud%202022-En.pdf

MYR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the MYR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is

happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/.	
mtps://www.internationalbauget.org/pablications/citizens baugets/.	
Answer:	
b. No	
Source:	
Comment:	
Comment.	
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree	
Suggested Answer: a. Yes Comments: citizen version in info graphic was published in the website and twitter account.	
Comments. Citizen version in into graphic was published in the website and twitter account.	
	_
YER-1. What is the fiscal year of the YER evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?	
Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."	_
Answer: FY 2021	
Source	
Source: IBP Guidelines.	
Comment:	
Peer Reviewer	
Opinion: Agree	
Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
Opinion . Agree	
	_
YER-2. When is the YER made available to the public?	
Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within th	e

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an YER to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public <u>no later than one year after the fiscal year to which it corresponds</u>. If the YER is not released to the public within one year after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the YER.

Answer:

a. Six months or less after the end of the budget year

Source:

Comment:

KSA follows a calendar fiscal year and the year-end Report was published on June 30th, within sox months.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-3a. If the YER is published, what is the date of publication of the YER?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

30/6/2022

Source:

 $https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financial report/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng\%202021.pdf$

Comment:

Date of publishing makes the YER just within 6 months.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Comments: agree but should be written 30/06/2022

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

YER-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the YER.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Use of javascript on the online YER document.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

YER-4. If the YER is published, what is the URL or weblink of the YER?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

YER-5. If the YER is published, are the numerical data contained in the YER available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option "d" applies if the YER is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Source

https://www.mof.gov.sa/Statistical_Reports/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

While machine readable data is not directly attached or associated with the YER - this data is available elsewhere on the MoF Website.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Yes, all of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Comments: It is possible to find data on the Open Data platform in all open data formats. We also attach the link for quick access to the required data. https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/ar/dataset/budget-performance-2021

 $https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/en/datasetq = \%D8\%A7\%D9\%84\%D9\%85\%D9\%8A\%D8\%B2\%D8\%A7\%D9\%86\%D9\%8A\%D8\%A9\&sort = metadata_modified + description of the first of the fir$

Researcher Response

Unlike for the Enacted Budget, the links provided do not show a dataset specific to the YER, but rather publicly available data which may include data in the YER. However it is not clear that all data is made available and clearly corresponds to the YER.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to the government reviewer. The data platform is a step in the direction of improved fiscal transparency. However, given that some of the data was posted after the research cut-off date (Dec 31st, 2022), it cannot be considered for this question. The answer remains B.

YER-6a. If the YER is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the YER is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question YER-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Ouestion YER-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:	
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)	
Source:	
Comment:	
Peer Reviewer	
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
Opinion: Agree	

YER-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question YER-6a, please specify how you determined whether the YER was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a,""b," or "e" was selected in question YER-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Comment:			
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree			
Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree			

YER-7. If the YER is produced, please write the full title of the YER.

For example, a title for the Year-End Report could be "Consolidated Financial Statement for the Year Ended 31 March 2022" or "Annual Report 2021 Published by the Ministry of Finance and Planning." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

End of Year Budget Report Fiscal Year 2021

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

YER-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the YER?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/.

b. No

Source:

Comment:

There is not - but it is worth noting that there need not be as the YER's content is straightforward and clearly understood.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree **Government Reviewer** Opinion: Agree AR-1. What is the fiscal year of the AR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer: FY 2021 Source: Comment:

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

AR-2. When is the AR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an AR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the AR is not released to the public at least 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the AR.

Answer:

d. Does not release to the public, or is released more than 18 months after the end of the budget year

Source:

Comment:

Saudi Arabia does not release an audit report to the public. Circulation is internal and highly limited even within government.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: c. More than 12 months, but within 18 months, after the end of the budget year

Comments: Recently, generous approval was issued for the General Court of Audit to publish audit report made it available to the public within (18)

months after the end of the relevant fiscal year.

Researcher Response

Opinion: Disagree

Researcher agreed with IBP response, noting that proof of publication and date are required to score the question anything other than option d.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to the government reviewer - this is a welcome update. However, in absence of the report being published on SAI's website, it cannot be considered as publicly available. As such, answer choice D applies.

AR-3a. If the AR is published, what is the date of publication of the AR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2022 should be entered as 05/09/2022. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank

published or not produced, leave this question blank.	
Answer:	
Source:	
Comment:	
Peer Reviewer Opinion:	
ориноп.	
Government Reviewer	
Opinion: Agree Comments: The publication date of the audit report was determined based on the recommended practices in the International Budget Partnership's Open Budget Index; The audit report will be made available to the public within (18) months after the end of the relevant fiscal year.	
IBP Comment	
IBP welcomes the step towards budget transparency.	
AR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the AR.	
All ob. In the box below, please explain now you determined the date of publication of the All.	
If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."	
Answer:	
n/a	
Source:	
Comment:	
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
Opinion. Agree	
Government Reviewer	

Sug	gested	Answer

Comments: The publication date of the audit report was determined based on the recommended practices in the International Budget Partnership's Open Budget Index; The audit report will be made available to the public within (18) months after the end of the relevant fiscal year.

IBP Comment

IBP welcomes this step towards budget transparency.

AR-4. If the AR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the AR?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

ocument is not published at all,	researchers should leave this	question blank.		
Answer:				
Source:				
Comment: n/a				
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree				

AR-5. If the AR is published, are the numerical data contained in the AR available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option "d" applies if the AR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:
d. Not applicable
Source:
Comment:
eer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-6a. If the AR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the AR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question AR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question AR-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

c. Produced for internal purposes/use only

Source:

Researcher's own understanding.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I had the same impression.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question AR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the AR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a,""b," or "e" was selected in question AR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Through previous experience with the Saudi Budget Process, Researcher is aware that an AR but is only shared with select individuals/departments internally.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Same impression here.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Recently, generous approval was issued for the General Court of Audit to publish audit report made it available to the public within (18) months after the end of the relevant fiscal year.

AR-7. If the AR is produced, please write the full title of the AR.
For example, a title for the Audit Report could be "Annual General Reports of the Controller and Auditor General." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."
Answer:
Source:
Comment: Unknown
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer: Annual report on the results of the financial audit and performance control for the fiscal year 1442/1443 (2021). Comments: Annual report on the results of the financial audit and performance control for the fiscal year 1442/1443 (2021).
AR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the AR?
While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/ .
Answer:
b. No Source:
Comment:
Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

GQ-1a. Are there one or more websites or web portals for disseminating government fiscal information? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

GQ-1a asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where fiscal information can be found. For example, in New Zealand the Treasury website (http://www.treasury.govt.nz/) hosts important budget-related information, including the Pre-Budget Statement, the Executive's Budget Proposal, the Citizens Budget, In-Year Reports, the Mid-Year Review, and the Year-End Report. In addition, New Zealand's Parliamentary Counsel Office (http://www.legislation.govt.nz/) posts the Enacted Budget while the Controller and Auditor-General website (http://www.oag.govt.nz/) publishes the annual Audit Report. The New Zealand researcher would provide the links to each of these sites. Other countries have developed portals that include fiscal information, though not in the "documents" format. For example, these portals have been created by Mexico (https://www.transparenciagresupuestaria.gob.mx/) and Brazil (https://www.transparenciagresupuestaria.gob.mx/) and Brazil (https://www.portaltransparencia.gov.br/). Some countries have both a website and a portal. The Brazilian government, for example, apart from the Transparency Portal, has a dedicated website for the federal budget, where all key documents and other information can be found (https://www.gov.br/economia/pt-br/assuntos/planejamento-e-orcamento/orcamento). Researchers should include details about all of the relevant websites and/or portals that can be used to access budget information.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

Primary Budget Website: https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/MediaCenter/news/Pages/default.aspx

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/generalservcies/open-data/Pages/home.aspx https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/Pages/Knowledge_Center.aspx

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/Statistical_Reports/Pages/default.aspx

https://www.spa.gov.sa/home.php?lang=en

https://twitter.com/MOFKSA

Comment

Various sources provided above - primarily on the MoF website, but also through Twitter and other Public news agencies

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

GQ-1b. On these websites/portals, can revenue and/or expenditure data for the current fiscal year be downloaded as a consolidated, machine readable file (or set of files)? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see: http://opendatahandbook.org/qlossary/en/terms/machine-readable/.

GQ-1b, GQ-1c, and GQ-1d ask about whether governments publish specific types of content on their websites/portals: (a) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for the current fiscal year; (b) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for multiple years in consistent formats; and (c) infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis. Researchers should provide the links to relevant webpages and some explanations of what they contain.

Answer

d. No, neither expenditure or revenue data can be downloaded as a consolidated file

Source:

https://data.gov.sa/Data/en/dataset/expenditures-by-functional-classification-yearly

Comment

A data portal is provided but not working. Previous experience notes that revenue/expenditure data is not available for the current fiscal year until the first IYR is produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Yes, both revenue and expenditure data can be downloaded as a consolidated file

Comments: Revenue/expenditure data is provided on the open data website and the Ministry of Finance website on a quarterly/annual basis for the current fiscal year. https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/en/organization/national-center-for-government-resources-systems

 $https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/en/datasetq=\%D8\%A7\%D9\%84\%D9\%85\%D9\%8A\%D8\%B2\%D8\%A7\%D9\%86\%D9\%8A\%D8\%A9\&sort=metadata_modified+desc https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/Pages/default.aspx$

Researcher Response

The link provided includes a budget performance summary which lists revenue and expenditure for 2021 and 2022. It includes a forecast for 2023, which is not the same as actual data for the current fiscal year. As noted in the original response, the first time actual revenue and expenditure data is published it is in the IYR.

GQ-1c. On these websites/portals, can disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure data in consolidated, machine readable files be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see: http://opendatahandbook.org/qlossary/en/terms/machine-readable/.

Answer:

a. Yes, both revenue and expenditure data can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/statistical_reports/Pages/default.aspx?PageIndex=4

Comment

Both can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats (2019 to 2022) as an example through the source above. There are other locations where this data can be downloaded however the open data portal is not loading.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The data is available in a readable format on both the Ministry of Finance website and the open data platform.

https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/en/organization/national-center-for-government-resources-systems

 $https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/en/datasetq = \%D8\%A7\%D9\%84\%D9\%85\%D9\%8A\%D8\%B2\%D8\%A7\%D9\%86\%D9\%8A\%D8\%A9\&sort = metadata_modified + desc https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/Pages/default.aspx$

GQ-1d. On these websites/portals, are infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.

GQ-1d asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis are present. For example, in South Africa the Vulekamali portal (https://vulekamali.gov.za) is a project by the National Treasury which contains visualizations of the Consolidated Budget Summary, by departments budget, by division of revenue and by infrastructure budget distribution. (See for example https://vulekamali.gov.za/2022-23/national/departments/basic-education/). Other countries that have developed portals that include fiscal visualization and graphics include: Brazil's Transparency Portal (https://portaldatransparency Portal (https://portaldatransparencia.gov.br/, which presents line graphs, bar graphs, pie charts, and visual maps of electronic invoices, public expenditure, public revenue, annual budget, transferred resources, resources transferred by location of beneficiary, among other information. (https://portaldatransparencia.gov.br/ or beneficiary, among other information. (https://portaldatransparencia.gov.br/ or beneficiary, and figures.

A۱	ns	W	e	r:

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

https://twitter.com/MOFKSA/status/1600578638629453827/photo/1

Comment:

There are various infographics included on the main budget website (first URL) as well as posted regularly on the MoF Twitter (second URL).

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: We agree with the researcher answer, in MoF website there are a series of data in infographics/visualizations for revenues, Consumer Price Index, Debt Balance, Expenses, GDP constant prices, GDP current prices and Public Financial Statements. Please check the links below: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/Statistical Reports/Pages/default.aspx

 $https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/en/datasetq = \%D8\%A7\%D9\%84\%D9\%85\%D9\%8A\%D8\%B2\%D8\%A7\%D9\%86\%D9\%8A\%D8\%A9\&sort = metadata_modified + desc https://od.data.gov.sa/Data/ar/dataset$

GQ-2. Are there laws in place guiding public financial management and/or auditing? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where the law(s) contains specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

GQ-2 asks about the existence of any national laws governing public financial management and auditing. These may include a public finance act, a section of the constitution, or an organic budget law. In some countries, fiscal responsibility legislation may also be relevant. For example, the Kenya researcher may include the link to its Public Finance Management Act, 2012 (http://kenyalaw.org.8181/exist/kenyalex/actview.xql?actid=No.%2018%200f%202012), and the Macedonian researcher may include a link to its State Audit Law (https://finance.gov.mk/wp-content/uploads/2009/05/Audit-law.pdf). Researchers should provide links to websites where such laws are published, if possible, or an electronic copy of the law itself. They should also indicate if and where (e.g. which article) these laws include specific provisions for budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

https://www.saudiembassy.net/basic-law-governance

https://www.gca.gov.sa/uploads/PageImages/202111070553%D9%86%D8%B8%D8%A7%D9%85%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AF%D9%88%D8%A7%D9%86%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AF%D9%85%20%D9%84%D9%84%D9%85%D8%AD%D8%A7%D8%B3%D8%A8%D8%A9.pdf

Comment:

Following Royal Decree No. (A/473) in 2019, the General Auditing Bureau (GAB) was renamed to the General Court of Audit (GCA). Further, in 2020 another Royal Decree was issued, No. (M/178) amended several articles of the GCA Statues; so that the GCA becomes directly linked to the King and enjoys legal personality and financial and administrative independence.

The current GCA websites provides a useful overview of mandate and responsibilities, including detailed statues (third URL)

The Saudi Basic Law also provides some general principles in articles 72-78.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-3. Is there at least one additional law regulating: (1) access to information; (2) government transparency; or (3) citizens participation? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where these laws contain specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

The third and last question asks researchers to list any additional laws regulating access to information, transparency, or citizens' participation that are relevant for the promotion of budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes. These might include legislation related to access to information, to planning processes, or to public administration more generally. India's Right to Information Act of 2005 (https://www.ncess.gov.in/facilities/central-public-information-officer/rti-act-details.html) is an example of this type of law. For more information on access to information legislation (constitutional provisions, laws, and regulations), including examples of model laws, see: https://www.rti-rating.org/country-data/ and https://www.constituteproject.org/.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

https://www.my.gov.sa/wps/portal/snp/aboutksa/governmentBudget/!ut/p/z0/04_Sj9CPykssy0xPLMnMz0vMAfljo8zifT2dPQ38TQz9DUzDjA0CHV39TEy8XIwMzA30g1Pz9AuyHRUBPEIqgQ!!/

https://laws.boe.gov.sa/BoeLaws/Laws/LawDetails/16b97fcb-4833-4f66-8531-a9a700f161b6/1

Comment:

While there are many references to information access, transparency and citizens participation - there are no laws specifically addressing these points around which the Saudi budget process is bound by.

The Government website states the following on this point (see first URL).

The Kingdoms budget is linked to the realization of Saudi Vision 2030 objectives and goals. The Ministry of Finance is required to highlight the transparency of its preparation and budgets to ensure sustainability to fulfil the nation's aspirations. The Kingdom's budget is subject to legislative and regulatory scrutiny and is based on the regime.

The Basic Statute of Governance and the annual laws for budget approvals require all government entities to apply the principles of budgetary governance:

Transparent, credible and predictable limits for fiscal policy.

Align with Vision 2030 and other national strategic plans and priorities.

Inclusive and participative debate on budget planning.

Budget documents and data are open, transparent and accessible.

Comprehensive, accurate and reliable account of the public finances.

Actively plan, manage and monitor budget execution.

Performance, evaluation and value for money are integral to the budget process.

Identify, assess and manage prudently longer-term sustainability and other fiscal risks.

To ensure transparency of the government spending's, each government entity must submit quarterly and annual reports to the Ministry of Finance. Following the reports of the government entities, the Ministry of Finance is legally obliged to publish quarterly financial performance reports on the execution of the budget, along with final annual account summaries in the detailed annual reports of expenses and revenues. Also, the Etimad platform plays a significant role in increasing transparency and raising the efficiency of expenditure for its users, such as government and supervisory entities, contractors, suppliers, vendors, etc. All government entities are obliged to use the platform for procurements of services, goods, works, and payments.

The basic system of governance Law (referenced in the above description and identified in the second URL) highlights some of these principles but not directly in relation to the budget process.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Yes

Comments: A Program for activating statistical units in government agencies has been prepared and its Importance to Saudi Arabia's Statistical Sector. The program's key objective is to activate the administrative statistical units of the whole statistical sector by offering the required technical,

technological and human units, to secure maximum benefit from the toured data and information; after minute processing and transforming them into stats and indicators, then use them within Saudi Arabia's statistical endeavor. Program's Main Objectives: - Meet the requirements of Saudi Arabia's 2030 Vision, and Saudi Arabia's regional and international statistical data and information commitments. - Attain integration and coordination across the national statistical endeavor. - Unify statistical classifications, definitions and methodologies according to the international standards. - Build up and train the national statistical capacities. - Improve governance and administrative organization across all statistical units. - Develop the Hi Tech infrastructure of statistical units. GASTAT Role in Setting Up/Activating a Statistical Unit in Government Agencies: - Planning and Supervising - Empowering and Training - Enhancement Government Agencies' Role in Setting Up/Activating a Statistical Unit in Government Agencies: - Organizational and Administrative works. - Statistical Works - Technological Works for more details check the link: https://www.stats.gov.sa/en/page/255

Researcher Response

The Saudi government's response, pointing to the program "Activating statistical units", doesn't directly address the criteria for laws regulating access to information, transparency, or citizens' participation as it pertains to the budget process. Instead, it describes an initiative aimed at improving statistical capacities and data governance within government agencies to support Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 and its international statistical commitments. While the program may contribute indirectly to greater budget transparency by enhancing the quality and availability of statistical data, it does not constitute a law or regulation that guarantees access to information or formalizes government transparency and citizen participation in the budgeting process.

1. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 1 addresses the presentation of expenditure by administrative unit. This information indicates which government entity (ministry, department, or agency, or MDAs) will be responsible for spending the funds and, ultimately, held accountable for their use.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all administrative units, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the administrative units shown individually, in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation, must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. In other words, the sum of the expenditures assigned to the individual MDAs (education, health, infrastructure, interior, defense, etc.) must account for at least two-thirds of the total expenditure budgeted for that particular year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents administrative units that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by administrative unit.

Answer:

d. No, expenditures are not presented by administrative unit.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

2. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by functional classification?

GUIDELINES:

Question 2 addresses the presentation of expenditure by functional classification. This classification indicates the programmatic purpose, sector, or objective for which the funds will be used, such as health, education, or defense. Administrative units are not necessarily aligned with functional classifications. For instance, in one country all functions connected with water supply (which fall into the "Housing" function) may be undertaken by a single government agency,

while in another country they may be distributed across the Ministries of Environment, Housing, and Industrial Development. In the latter case, three ministries have programs addressing water supply, so three ministries contribute to one function. Similarly, some administrative units may conduct activities that cut across more than one function. For instance, in the example above, some programs of the Ministry of Environment would also be classified in the "environmental protection" function.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by functional classification.

Answer:

b. No, expenditures are not presented by functional classification.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by functional classification.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The government sent the budget proposal to the Royal Court (as the Legislature power in Saudi Arabia is the Royal Court) in October and committees in Royal Court are reviewing the proposal and can make amendments before the final budget is approved. The main targets in budget proposal are published through the pre-budget statement initiating public discussion during this period. The budged statement is presenting the expenditures by functional classification.

3. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by functional classification, is the functional classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:

Question 3 asks whether a country's functional classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's functional classification must be aligned with the OECD and the UN's Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), or provide a cross-walk between the national functional presentation and COFOG.

The OECD Best Practices for Budget Transparency can be viewed at http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/Best%20Practices%20Budget%20Transparency%20-%20complete%20with%20cover%20page.pdf

COFOG can be viewed at https://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesM_84E.pdf or at https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/qfs/manual/pdf/ch6ann.pdf.

Answer:

 $b.\ No,\ the\ functional\ classification\ is\ not\ compatible\ with\ international\ standards,\ or\ expenditures\ are\ not\ presented\ by\ functional\ classification.$

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the functional classification is compatible with international standards.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The government sent the budget proposal to the Royal Court (as the Legislature power in Saudi Arabia is the Royal Court) in October and committees in Royal Court are reviewing the proposal and can make amendments before the final budget is approved. The main targets in budget proposal are published through the pre-budget statement initiating public discussion during this period. The budged statement is presenting the expenditures by functional classification and compatible with international standards.

4. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by economic classification?

GUIDELINES:

Question 4 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification. Economic classification provides information on the nature of the expenditure, such as whether funds are being used to pay for wages and salaries, capital projects, or social assistance benefits. Please note that a presentation of expenditures by current and capital expenditures without additional disaggregation or detail will not qualify as an economic classification.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification.

Answer:

b. No, expenditures are not presented by economic classification.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The government sent the budget proposal to the Royal Court (as the Legislature power in Saudi Arabia is the Royal Court) in October and committees in Royal Court are reviewing the proposal and can make amendments before the final budget is approved. The main targets in budget proposal are published through the pre-budget statement initiating public discussion during this period. The budged statement is presenting the expenditures by economic classification.

5. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by economic classification, is the economic classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:

Question 5 asks whether a country's economic classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's economic classification must be consistent with the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) 2001 Government Finance Statistics (GFS). The GFS economic classification is presented here: http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf. To learn more about Government Finance Statistics also refer to the entire IMF 2001 GFS manual (http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf).

	c		

b. No, the economic classification is not compatible with international standards, or expenditures are not presented by economic classification.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

a. Yes, the economic classification is compatible with international standards.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The government sent the budget proposal to the Royal Court (as the Legislature power in Saudi Arabia is the Royal Court) in October and committees in Royal Court are reviewing the proposal and can make amendments before the final budget is approved. The main targets in budget proposal are published through the pre-budget statement initiating public discussion during this period. The budged statement is presenting the expenditures by economic classification and compatible with international standards (GFS2014).

6. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?

GUIDFI INFS:

Question 6 asks whether expenditures are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should treat the term "program" as meaning any level of detail below an administrative unit — that is, any programmatic grouping that is below the ministry, department, or agency level. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Budget decisions for the upcoming year can also affect the parameters of future budgets. It is therefore useful to estimate revenues and expenditures for multi-year periods, understanding that these estimates might be revised as circumstances change. Sometimes referred to as a Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), a three-year period — that is, the budget year plus two more years — is generally considered an appropriate horizon for budgeting and planning.

Answer

d. No, expenditures are not presented by program.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.
Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting some of the programs related to kingdom vision 2030 in the section (Expenditures by sector in the Budget for FY 2023).
programs related to kingdom vision 2000 in the section (Experiances by sector in the badget for 11 2020).
7. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?
GUIDELINES:
Question 7 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on.
To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," multi-year expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.
Answer: d. No, multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any expenditure classification.
a. No, main year experience out not precented by any experience statement.
Source:
Comment:
Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: c. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by only one of the three expenditure classifications.
Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting expenditure
by economic classification for two years beyond the budget year.
7b. Based on the response to Question 7, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for a multi-year period in the
Executive's Budget Proposal?

Answer: None of the above

Source:

Comment:

Executive Bud	lget Proposal	is not formall	y produced.
----------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Economic classification.

8. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by program?

GUIDELINES.

Question 8 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures over the multi-year period. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if multi-year estimates are not presented by program.

Revenues generally are separated into two major categories: "tax" and "non-tax" revenues. Taxes are compulsory transfers that result from government exercising its sovereign power. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes. The category of non-tax revenues is more diverse, ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services. Note that some forms of revenue, such as contributions to social security funds, can be considered either a tax or non-tax revenue depending on the nature of the approach to these contributions. Particularly because different revenues have different characteristics, including who bears the burden of paying the tax and how collections are affected by economic conditions, it is helpful when estimates for revenues are disaggregated and displayed based on their sources.

For more information, please refer to the 2001 GFS manual, in particular Appendix 4 (http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf).

Answer:

d. No, multi-year estimates for programs are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree 9. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 9 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

b. Yes, individual sources of tax revenue accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, tax revenues are presented.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement presenting tax revenues by GES classification

10. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of government-produced goods and services) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 10 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "non-tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The category of non-tax revenues is diverse, and can include revenue ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of non-tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all non-tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of non-tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all non-tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of non-tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of non-tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, individual sources of non-tax revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all non-tax revenues are presented.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The Oil revenues present big portion of other

revenues.

11. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 11 evaluates whether revenue estimates are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year) by "category;" that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of revenues classified by category for at least two years following the budget year in question.

Answer:

b. No, multi-year estimates of revenue are not presented by category.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, multi-year estimates of revenue are presented by category.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement presenting revenues for a multi-year period beyond the budget year.

12. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates for individual sources of revenue presented for a multiyear period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 12 evaluates whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented for a multi-year period.

Source: Comment: Describe Budget Proposal is not formally produced. Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree 3. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the neutont of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for budget year and seasout three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include: The amount of net new borrowing required during the entire budget year; The central government's total diabit burden at the end of the budget year; The exemple government's total diabit burden at the end of the budget year; The exemple government's total diabit burden at the end of the budget year; The exemple government's not the outstanding debt for the entire budget year; The exemple government's not the outstanding debt for the entire budget year; The exemple government's not the outstanding debt for the entire budget year; The exemple government's not the outstanding debt for the entire budget year; The exemple government is not the debt and money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the underty (downsite field) or from creditions usuals the country (settlement debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, international limination limitations such as the World Bank and the IMF. The end borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing is the budget year, the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget year with the progression of the budget year, the progression of the budget year, the budget year, the progression of the budget year, the budget year, the budget year, the progression of the budget year, the progression of the budget year, the progression of the budget year,		d. No, multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue are not presented.	
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree Government Settle des Sudget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt that the budget should include: the amount of net new borrowing required during the entire budget year; the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year, and the interest payments to the understanding debt for the entire budget year, the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year, and the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year, the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year, and the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year. the central government's total debt burden at the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the unitry (formesic bedd) or from creditions outside the country (esternal debt). External debt is spically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, immensional liminations such as the Woold Bank and the Mit. In the state of the proper of the debt governments, immensional limination liminations such as the Woold Bank and the Mit. In a state of the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available ventures. Not the budget person debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the lotal of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the effect have becomeining adds to the accumulated and the Mit. In a state of the budget person debt that i		Source:	
Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree 3. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the mount of net new borrowing required during the budget year, the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year, and interest payments on the debt for budget year? UIDELINES: Luestion 13 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include: the amount of net new borrowing required during the entire budget year, and the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year, the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year, the citizens and banks and businesses within the pountry (domestic debt) or from cieditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF: tell new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available venues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt, it is distinct from gross borrowing which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt attended furning the budget year, debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the refertion may be acceeded as a proxy for not new borrowing. Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to world defaulting on the debt didigation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and twist be paid back in full. In answer: Answer: Answer: A. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented. Source: Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.			
8. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the mount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year, and interest payments on the debt for e budget year? **UIDELINES:** **uestion 13 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include:** **the amount of net new borrowing required during the entire budget year, and the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year, and the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year, and the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year, ebt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the ountry (banestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the Miff.** **et new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available venues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt tat matured during the budget year, debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the effect may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing. **Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to world defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and use the paid back in full. **Output**Depth** **Answer** **Answer	ļ		
e budget year? UIDELINES: UI	(
e budget year? UIDELINES: UI			
the amount of net new borrowing required during the entire budget year; the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year, and the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year. ethe is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the ountry (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF. et new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available evenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt att matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing. etterest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to world defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full. do answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates for a borrowing and debt is presented for the entire udget ye	mo	unt of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt i	
the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year, and the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year. ebt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the ountry (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF. let new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available evenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt att matured during the budget year, debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the elicit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing. Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to world defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and nust be paid back in full. In answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the entire udget year. Answer: d. No, none of the three es			
the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year. ebt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the ountry (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF. et new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available evenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year, debt that is replaced for rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing. Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to world defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and bust be paid back in full. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present of the entire udget year. Answer: d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented. Source: Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.		the amount of net new borrowing required during the entire budget year;	
ebt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the ountry (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF. Let new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available evenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt hat matured during the budget year, debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the effect may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing. Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to void defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and bust be paid back in full. In an acceptance of the supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the entire udget year. Answer: d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented. Source: Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.		the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and	
ountry (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF. Let new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available evenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt hat matured during the budget year debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing and each to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing and debt. For the purposes of this question, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing and debt. For the purposes of this question, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing and debt is presented and must be paid back in full. To answer and the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing and debt is presented for the entire use supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the entire use of t		the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the entire budget year.	
evenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt nat matured during the budget year, debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the efficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing. Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to void defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and vust be paid back in full. In answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the entire udget year. Answer: d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented. Source: Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.	oui	ntry (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments	
woid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and bust be paid back in full. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the entire udget year. Answer: d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented. Source: Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced. Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	eve hat	nues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing de matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question	ebt
Answer: d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented. Source: Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced. Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	voi	d defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and	
d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented. Source: Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced. Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	xed ts s	cutive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Propose upporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the en	al or
Source: Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced. Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree		Answer:	
Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced. Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree			
Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced. Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree			
Opinion: Agree			
	ı		

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal.

13b. Based on the response to Question 13, check the box(es) below to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year.

Comments: The budget Statement for FY 2023 present The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year the interest

payments on outstanding debt for the budget year.

14. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 14 focuses on the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 13, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens, banks, and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of

government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding at the end of the budget year.	
Answer: d. No, information related to composition of total debt outstanding is not presented.	
Source:	
Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.	
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer: O Yes information is presented for the composition of the total debt outstanding, but it evaluates some core elements.	
 c. Yes, information is presented for the composition of the total debt outstanding, but it excludes some core elements. Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. 	
14b. Based on the response to Question 14, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the composition of the total debt outstanding are are presented the Executive's Budget Proposal:	l in
Answer: None of the above	
Source:	
Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.	
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer: Maturity profile of the debt Whether the debt is domestic or external Information beyond the core elements.	
	_
15. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?	

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 15 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the budget's revenue and expenditure estimates, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

nominal GDP level;

- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- · interest rates.

While the core macroeconomic information should be a standard feature of the Executive's Budget Proposal, the importance of some types of macroeconomic assumptions may vary from country to country. For example, the budget estimates of some countries are particularly affected by changes in the price of oil and other commodities.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short and long-term interest rates; rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to the macroeconomic forecast is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal.

15b. Based on the response to Question 15, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer

None of the above

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Nominal GDP level Inflation rate Real GDP growth

16. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget?

(The core information must include estimates of the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 16 focuses on the issue of whether the Executive's Budget Proposal shows how different macroeconomic assumptions affect the budget estimates (known as a "sensitivity analysis"). It asks whether "core" information related to a sensitivity analysis is presented, estimating the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for:

- · inflation rate;
- · real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

A sensitivity analysis shows the effect on the budget of possible changes in some macroeconomic assumptions, and is important for understanding the impact of the economy on the budget; for instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? Or what would happen to expenditure if inflation were higher than estimated? Or how will revenue be affected by a decrease in the price of oil?

As noted for Question 15, changes in certain macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil and other commodities, can have a significant impact on the budget estimates. As a result, some sensitivity analyses may also examine the impact on the budget estimates of changes in assumptions such as the price of oil that are beyond the core elements of the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.

For an example of how a sensitivity analysis may be presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal, see the following:

- The United States in its 2021 Budget Analytical Perspectives includes a section titled Sensitivity of the Budget to Economic Assumptions along with a table that shows the impact of each economic scenario on revenues, spending, and the deficit. (see pages 16 17, https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/BUDGET-2021-PER/pdf/BUDGET-2021-PER.pdf).
- The Philippines in its 2021 Budget of Expenditures and Sources of Financing (BESF) presents the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions on expenditures, revenue, and debt (see page 131, Table A.6 titled "Budget Sensitivity to Macroeconomic Parameters, 2021,"
 (https://www.dbm.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/BESF/BESF2021/A6.pdf); pages 12-13, Technical Notes on the 2021 Proposed National Budget (https://www.dbm.gov.ph/images/pdffiles/Technical-Notes-on-the-2021-Proposed-National-Budget.pdf).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to a "sensitivity analysis" as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to a "sensitivity analysis" is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on "sensitivity analysis" is presented.

Answer

d. No, information related to different macroeconomic assumptions is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting some analysis on fiscal data.

17. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals — whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion of the impact of the new policies, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Answer

d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect expenditure is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

c. Yes, information that shows how some but not all new policy proposals affect expenditure is presented.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statements are presenting some of the cost of the new policies.

18. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals — whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion of the impact of the new policies, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all,

policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Prior-year information constitutes an important benchmark for assessing the proposals for the upcoming budget year. Estimates of prior years should be presented in the same formats (in terms of classification) as the budget year to ensure that year-to-year comparisons are meaningful. For example, if the budget proposes shifting responsibility for a particular program from one administrative unit to another — such as shifting responsibility for the training of nurses from the health department to the education department — the prior-year figures must be adjusted before year-to-year comparisons of administrative budgets can be made.

Typically, when the budget proposal is submitted, the year prior to the budget year (BY-1), also known as the current year, has not ended, so the executive will provide estimates of the anticipated outcome for BY-1. The soundness of these estimates is directly related to the degree to which they have been updated to reflect actual expenditures to date, legislative changes that have occurred, and anticipated changes in macroeconomic, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

The first year that can reflect actual outcomes, therefore, is generally two years before the budget year (BY-2). Thus the OECD recommends that data covering at least two years before the budget year (along with two years of projections beyond the budget year) are provided in order to assess fully the trends in the budget.

Answer:

d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect revenues is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how some but not all new policy proposals affect revenues are presented.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal, the budget statement is presenting some of the new policies.

19. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 19 asks if expenditure estimates for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) are presented by one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-1 by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-1 must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

d. No, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are not presented by any expenditure classification.

Source:

Comment:

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) by economic classification.

20. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 20 asks if expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-1. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-1. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:

d. No, expenditures are not presented by program for BY-1.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Cugaceted Answer:

c. Yes, programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures are presented for BY-1.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year.

21. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have expenditure estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect actual expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Question 21 asks whether the expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) have been updated from the original enacted levels. Updates can reflect actual experience to date; revised estimates due to shifting of funds by the executive, as permitted under the law; enactment of supplemental budgets; and revised assumptions regarding macroeconomic conditions, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

Answer "a" applies if the estimates have been updated; answer "b" applies if the original estimates are still being used.

Answer

b. No, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have not been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting expenditure estimates updated from the original enacted levels reflecting actual expenditures for the year prior to the budget year.

22. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 22 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by any of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any of the three classifications

Answer:

d. No, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any expenditure classification.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by only one of the three expenditure classifications.

. Based on the response to Question 22, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for more than one year prior to the get year in the Executive Budget Proposal:
 get year in the Excellence Subget 1 (Spootal).
Answer:
None of the above
Source:
Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.
Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer: Economic classification

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is present estimates of

expenditure for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years) by economic classification.

23. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for more than one year preceding the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 23 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year before the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-2 and prior years. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-2 and prior years. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer: d. No, expenditures are not presented by program for BY-2 and prior years.
Source:
Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures are presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is present estimates of expenditure for more than one year prior to the budget year.

24. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Question 24 asks for which year the actual outcomes for expenditures are shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated all its expenditure data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice

For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

Answer:

d. No actual data for all expenditures are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is present actual expenditure outcome for more two years prior to the budget year.

25. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES.

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer

b. No, revenue estimates for BY-1 are not presented by category.

Source:

Comment:

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 are presented by category.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting revenue by category (tax and non-tax) for the year preceding the budget year.

26. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, individual sources of revenue are not presented for BY-1.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all revenues for BY-1 are presented.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting individual sources of revenue for the year preceding the budget year.

27. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have the original estimates of revenue for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated to reflect actual revenue collections?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

b. No, revenue estimates for BY-1 have not been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:

Comment:

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is present actual revenue outcome for year prior to the budget year.

28. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

b. No, revenue estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by category.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by category.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting revenue by category (tax and non-tax) for more than one year prior to the budget year.

29. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, individual sources of revenue are not presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:

Comment:

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all revenues are presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is presenting individual sources of revenue for more than one year prior to the budget year.

30. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

d. No actual data for all revenues are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is present actual revenues outcome for two years prior to the budget year.

31. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

(The core information must include the total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1; the amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1; interest payments on the debt; interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 31 focuses on prior-year debt information, rather than on prior-year expenditures or revenues, asking whether "core" information is provided on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1).

The "core" information includes:

- total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1;
- amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1;
- · interest payments on the debt;

- interest rates on the debt instruments:
- maturity profile of the debt: and
- whether it is domestic or external debt.

This core information for BY-1 is consistent with the budget year information for borrowing and debt, which is examined in Questions 13 and 14. Please note that for the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

In addition, some governments provide information beyond the core elements, such as gross new borrowing required during BY-1; currency of the debt; whether the debt carries a fixed or variable interest rate; whether it is callable; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for BY-1 as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on government borrowing and debt for BY-1.

Answer:

d. No, information related to government debt is not presented.

Source:

Comment

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is present government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year.

32. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Question 32 asks for which year the actual outcome for total debt outstanding is shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated its debt data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

It is essential that all government activities that may have an impact on the budget — in the current budget year or in future budget years — be fully disclosed to the legislature and the public in budget documents. In some countries, for instance, entities outside central government (such as public corporations) undertake fiscal activities that could affect current and future budgets. Similarly, activities that can have a significant impact on the budget, such as payment arrears and contingent liabilities, sometimes are not properly captured by the regular presentations of expenditure, revenue, and debt.

Answer:

d. No actual data for government debt are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Comments: The budget statement for FY 2023 should be considered as executive budget proposal. The budget statement is present actual debt

figures outcome for two years prior to the budget year

33. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on extra-budgetary funds for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund; and complete income, expenditure, and financing data on a gross basis.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 33 focuses on extra-budgetary funds, asking whether "core" information related to these funds, which exist outside the budget, are presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund (i.e., why was a particular fund set up? what is it used for?); and
- estimates of its income, expenditure, and financing. (These estimates should be presented on a gross basis so that it is possible to tell how much money flows through each extra-budgetary fund.)

In most countries, governments engage in certain budgetary activities that are not included in the central government's budget. Known as extra-budgetary funds, they can range in size and scope. For example, countries frequently set up pension and social security programs as extra-budgetary funds, where the revenues collected and the benefits paid are recorded in a separate fund outside the budget. Another example of an extra-budgetary fund can be found in countries dependent on hydrocarbon/mineral resources, where revenues from producing and selling those resources are channeled through systems outside the annual budget.

In some cases, the separation engendered by an extra-budgetary fund serves a legitimate political purpose, and the finances and activities of these funds are well documented. In other cases, however, this structure is used for obfuscation, and little or nothing is known about a fund's finances and activities.

The availability of information related to extra-budgetary funds is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the government's true fiscal position. In addition to the core information, other information about extra-budgetary funds is also desirable. Such information includes a discussion of the risks associated with the extra-budgetary fund; expenditures classified by economic, functional, or administrative unit; and the rules and procedures that govern the operations and management of the extra-budgetary fund.

For more information about extra-budgetary funds, see the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf) and Principle 2.1.1 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xm).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to extra-budgetary funds as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. A "b" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation presents all of the core information. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to extra-budgetary funds is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on extra-budgetary funds.

Please provide in the comments a list of all known extra-budgetary funds.

Answer:

d. No, information related to extra-budgetary funds is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

34. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 34 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documents present the finances of the central government on a consolidated basis, showing both its budgetary and extra-budgetary activities. Virtually all of the questions in the OBS questionnaire focus on budgetary central government — the activities of the ministries, departments, or agencies of central government. In addition, Question 33 asks about extra-budgetary funds, such as social security funds that are not included in the budget.

Coverage is an important aspect of fiscal reporting. Budget documents should cover the full scope of government's financial activity. In many countries, extrabudgetary activities are substantial, and can represent a sizable share of the central government's activities. To get a full picture of the central government's finances, therefore, it is necessary to examine both activities that are included in the budget and those that are extra-budgetary. This question asks whether such a consolidated presentation of central government finances, including both revenues and expenditures, is provided.

The central government is only one component of the overall public sector. The public sector also includes other levels of government, such as state and local government, and public corporations. (See Box 2.1 under Principle 1.1.1 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018): https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xm. For the purpose of answering this question, please consider only the central government level.

In order to answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present central government finances (both budgetary and extrabudgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year.

Answer

b. No, central government finances are not presented on a consolidated basis.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

35. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of intergovernmental transfers for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 35 asks about intergovernmental transfers. In many cases, the central government supports the provision of a good or service by a lower level of government through an intergovernmental transfer of funds. This is necessary because, independent from the level of administrative decentralization that exists in a given country, the capacity for revenue collection of a local government is unlikely to be sufficient to pay for all its expenses. However, because the activity is not being undertaken by an administrative unit of the central government, it is unlikely to receive the same level of review in the budget. Thus it is important to include in the budget proposal a statement that explicitly indicates the amount and purposes of these transfers.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all intergovernmental transfers and a narrative discussing these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all intergovernmental transfers are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, intergovernmental transfers (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of intergovernmental transfers are presented.

•			
л	ne	A	۲.

d. No, estimates of intergovernmental transfers are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

36. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present alternative displays of expenditures (such as by gender, by age, by income, or by region) to illustrate the financial impact of budget policies on different groups of citizens, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 36 asks about "alternative displays" of expenditures that highlight the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens. As discussed above, expenditures are typically presented by at least one of three classifications — administrative, functional, and economic classifications (see Questions 1-5) — and by individual program (Question 6). In addition, governments can provide alternative displays to emphasize different aspects of expenditure policies and to show who benefits from these expenditures.

For the purpose of answering this question, the alternative presentation must differ from the presentations (such as administrative, functional, or economic classifications or presentation by program) used to answer other questions. The alternative display can cover all expenditures or only a portion of expenditures. For instance, it can show how all expenditures are distributed according to geographic region or it can show how selected expenditures (such as the health budget or the agriculture budget) are distributed to different regions. But such a geographic display must be something different than the presentation of intergovernmental transfers used to answer question 35. One exception is when a country includes a special presentation of all policies intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (and is used to answer Question 52) then that can be considered an alternative display for purposes of answering this question as well. Finally, brief fact sheets showing how proposals in the budget benefit particular groups would be insufficient; only more detailed presentations would be considered.

The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?" (https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf) includes a discussion of the importance of alternative displays of budget information and provides a number of examples. For instance,

- Bangladesh in its 2017-18 Budget included a detailed supplementary Gender Budgeting Report, which presents the spending dedicated to advancing women across various departments. (https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/3bb14732-b5b1-44df-9921-efedf1496295).
- The UK's 2017 budget included a supplementary analysis that provided a distributional analysis of the budget by households in different income groups (see
 - https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/661465/distributional_analysis_autumn_budget_2017.pdf)
- South Africa's 2017 Budget Review goes beyond the standard presentation of intergovernmental transfers, discussing the redistribution that results
 from national revenue flowing to the provinces and municipalities and presenting the allocations on a per capita basis (see chapter 6,
 http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2017/review/FullBR.pdf).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least three different presentations that impact of policies on different groups of citizens for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supmust include at least two different alternative displays of expenditures. A "c" applies is only one type of alternative display of expenditure is presented.	porting documentation
Answer:	
d. No, alternative displays of expenditures are not presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of	citizens.
Source:	
Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.	
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
36b. Based on the response to Question 36, select the box(es) below to identify which types of alternative displays are included in the Proposal:	ne Executive's Budget
Answer: None of the above	
Source:	
Comment: Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.	
Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree	
37. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of transfers to public corporate year?	ions for at least the budget
GUIDELINES:	
Question 37 asks about transfers to public corporations. It is often the case that governments have a stake in enterprises that mana particularly relevant for the public good (such as electricity water, and oil). While these public corporations can operate independent	-

government will provide direct support by making transfers to these corporations, including to subsidize capital investment and operating expenses.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all transfers to

public corporations and a narrative discussing the purposes of these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all transfers to public corporations are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, transfers to public corporations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented.

Please provide in the comments a list of all known public corporations.

Answer

d. No, estimates of transfers to public corporations are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

38. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity and the intended beneficiaries.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 38 focuses on quasi-fiscal activities, asking whether "core" information related to such activities is presented. These core components include:

- A statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity (i.e., what is the reason for engaging in this activity?);
- The identification of intended beneficiaries of the quasi-fiscal activity.

The term "quasi-fiscal activities" refers to a broad range of activities that are fiscal in character and could be carried out through the regular budget process but are not. For example, a quasi-fiscal activity could take place if, instead of providing a direct subsidy through the budget for a particular activity, a public financial institution provides an indirect subsidy by offering loans at below-market rates for that activity. Similarly, it is a quasi-fiscal activity when an enterprise provides goods or services at prices below commercial rates to certain individuals or groups to support the government's policy goals.

The above examples are policy choices that may be approved by the government and legislature. However, quasi-fiscal activities can also involve activities that violate or circumvent a country's budget process laws or are not subject to the regular legislative approval process for expenditures. For example, the executive may issue an informal order to a government entity, such as a public commercial enterprise, to provide the executive with goods and services that normally would have to be purchased with funding authorized by the legislature. All quasi-fiscal activities should be disclosed to the public and subject to public scrutiny.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about quasi-fiscal activities, including for example: the anticipated duration of the quasi-fiscal activity; a quantification of the activity and the assumptions that support these estimates; and a discussion of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the activity, including the impact on the entity carrying out the activity. Principle 3.3.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to all quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to quasi-fiscal activities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on quasi-fiscal activities.

If quasi-fiscal activities do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e."." However, please exercise caution in answering this question.

Answer: d. No, information related to quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.
Source:
Comment:

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

39. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets, and an estimate of their value.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 39 focuses on financial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. These core components include:

- A listing of the financial assets; and
- An estimate of their value.

Governments own financial assets such as cash, bonds, or equities. Unlike private sector businesses, however, few governments maintain balance sheets that show the value of their assets and liabilities.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about financial assets, including for example: a discussion of their purpose; historical information on defaults; differences between reported values and market values; and a summary of financial assets as part of the government's balance sheet.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to all financial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to financial assets is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on financial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to financial assets is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some financial assets.

Comments: The Budget Proposal include information of financial assets such as government reserves at SAMA.

40. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on nonfinancial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets by category.)

GUIDFI INFS:

Question 40 focuses on nonfinancial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. The core information is a listing of nonfinancial assets, grouped by the type (or category) of asset.

Nonfinancial assets are things of value that the government owns or controls (excluding financial assets) such as land, buildings, and machinery. The valuation of public nonfinancial assets can be problematic, particularly in cases where the asset is not typically available on the open market (such as a government monument). In these cases, it is considered acceptable to provide summary information in budget documents from a country's register of assets. But, in some cases, governments are able to value their nonfinancial assets; some present a summary of nonfinancial assets as part of their balance sheets. For an example of how nonfinancial assets are presented in one of the many supporting documents to the New Zealand Executive's Budget Proposal, see the Forecast Financial Statement 2011, Notes to the Financial Statements (Continued), Note 14, accessible here: https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2011-05/befu11-pt6of8.pdf.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year a listing by category of all nonfinancial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to nonfinancial assets is presented, but some nonfinancial assets are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on nonfinancial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to nonfinancial assets is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

41. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure arrears for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES.

Question 41 asks about estimates of expenditure arrears, which arise when government has entered into a commitment to spend funds but has not made the payment when it is due. (For more information see sections 3.49-3.50 of the IMF's GFS Manual 2001,

http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf (page 29)). Though equivalent to borrowing, this liability is often not recorded in the budget, making it difficult to assess fully a government's financial position. Moreover, the obligation to repay this debt affects the government's ability to pay for other activities.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all expenditure arrears and a narrative discussing the arrears. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all expenditure arrears are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, expenditure arrears (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of expenditure arrears are presented. Answer "d" also applies if information is only available for the changes in arrears, and not the stock or balance of arrears.

If expenditure arrears do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question. Public expenditure management laws and regulations often will allow for reasonable delays, perhaps 30 or 60 days, in the routine payment of invoices due.

Expenditure arrears impacting a small percentage of expenditure that are due to contractual disputes should not be considered a significant problem for the purpose of answering this question.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of expenditure arrears are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

42. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities, such as government loan guarantees or insurance programs, for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability; the new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments (the gross exposure) at the end of the budget year.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 42 focuses on contingent liabilities, asking whether "core" information related to these liabilities is presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability;
- the new contingent liabilities for the budget year, such as new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year, and
- the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments at the end of the budget year. This reflects the gross exposure of the
 government in the case that all guarantees or commitments come due (even though that may be unlikely to occur).

Contingent liabilities are recognized under a cash accounting method only when the contingent event occurs and the payment is made. An example of such liabilities is the case of loans guaranteed by the central government, which can include loans to state-owned banks and other state-owned commercial enterprises, subnational governments, or private enterprises. Under such guarantees, government will only make a payment if the borrower defaults. Thus a key issue for making quantitative estimates of these liabilities is assessing the likelihood of the contingency occurring.

In the budget, according to the OECD, "[w]here feasible, the total amount of contingent liabilities should be disclosed and classified by major category reflecting their nature; historical information on defaults for each category should be disclosed where available. In cases where contingent liabilities cannot be quantified, they should be listed and described."

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about contingent liabilities, including for example: historical default rates for each program, and likely default rates in the future; the maximum guarantee that is authorized by law; any special financing associated with the guarantee (e.g., whether fees are charged, whether a reserve fund exists for the purpose of paying off guarantees, etc.); the duration of each guarantee; and an estimate of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the guarantees.

For more details on contingent liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (https://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf) and page 59 (Box 11) and Principle 3.2.3 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<a href="https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-978148431859/24788-978148431859/24788-978148431859/24788-97814843189/24788-97814843189/24788-978188-978188-9781889/24788-978188-978188-978188-978188-9781888-978

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to contingent liabilities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to contingent liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on contingent liabilities.

Answer:
d. No, information related to contingent liabilities is not presented.
Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

43. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present projections that assess the government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer term?

(The core information must cover a period of at least 10 years and include the macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used and a discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 43 focuses on government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer-term, asking whether "core" information related to these issues is presented. These core components must include:

- Projections that cover a period of at least 10 years.
- The macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used in making the projections.
- A discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections. Good public financial management calls for budgets to include fiscal sustainability analyses.

The IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml) recommends that governments regularly publish the projected evolution of the public finances over the longer term (see Principle 3.1.3.). Future liabilities are a particularly important element when assessing the sustainability of public finances over the long term. Future liabilities are the result of government commitments that, unlike contingent liabilities, are virtually certain to occur at some future point and result in an expenditure. A typical example consists of government obligations to pay pension benefits or cover health care costs of future retirees. Under a cash accounting system, only current payments associated with such obligations are recognized in the budget. To capture the future impact on the budget of these liabilities, a separate statement is required.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about the sustainability of their finances, including for example: projections that cover 20 or 30 years; multiple scenarios with different sets of assumptions; assumptions about other factors (such as the depletion of natural resources) that go beyond just the core macroeconomic and demographic data; and a detailed presentation of particular programs that have long time horizons, such as civil service pensions.

For more details on future liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of government finances over the longer term as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to future liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on future liabilities and the sustainability of government's finances

Answer:

d. No, information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of finances over the longer term is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

44. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 44 asks about estimates of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind assistance. Such assistance is considered non-tax revenue, and the sources of this assistance should be explicitly identified. In terms of in-kind assistance, the concern is primarily with the provision of goods (particularly those for which there is a market that would allow goods received as in-kind aid to be sold, thereby converting them into cash) rather than with in-kind aid like advisors from a donor country providing technical assistance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all donor assistance and a narrative discussing the assistance. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all donor assistance are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, donor assistance (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if the sources of donor assistance are not presented, but the total amount of donor assistance is presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of donor assistance are presented. Select answer "e" if your country does not receive donor assistance.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the sources of donor assistance are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

45. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on tax expenditures for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each tax expenditure, the intended beneficiaries, and an estimate of the revenue foregone.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 45 focuses on tax expenditures, asking whether "core" information related to these tax preferences is presented. These core components must include for both new and existing tax expenditures:

a statement of purpose or policy rationale;

- · a listing of the intended beneficiaries; and
- an estimate of the revenue foregone.

Tax expenditures arise as a result of exceptions or other preferences in the tax code provided for specified entities, individuals, or activities. Tax expenditures often have the same impact on public policy and budgets as providing direct subsidies, benefits, or goods and services. For example, encouraging a company to engage in more research through a special tax break can have the same effect as subsidizing it directly through the expenditure side of the budget, as it still constitutes a cost in terms of foregone revenues. However, expenditure items that require annual authorization are likely to receive more scrutiny than tax breaks that are a permanent feature of the tax code.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about tax expenditures, including for example: the intended beneficiaries by sector and income class (distributional impact); a statement of the estimating assumptions, including the definition of the benchmark against which the foregone revenue is measured; and a discussion of tax expenditures as part of a general discussion of expenditures for those program areas that receive both types of government support (in order to better inform policy choices). For more details on tax expenditures, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf) and Principle 1.1.4 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<a href="https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, all of the core information related to tax expenditures as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to tax expenditures is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on tax expenditures.

P	۱	าร	W	/e	r

d. No, information related to tax expenditures is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

46. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of earmarked revenues for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 46 asks about estimates of earmarked revenues, which are revenues that may only be used for a specific purpose (for example, revenues from a tax on fuel that can only be used for building roads). This information is important in determining which revenues are available to fund the government's general expenses, and which revenues are reserved for particular purposes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all individual earmarked revenues and a narrative discussing the earmarks. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all individual earmarked revenues are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, earmarked revenues (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of earmarked revenues are presented. An "e" response applies if revenue is not earmarked or the practice is disallowed by law or regulation.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of earmarked revenues are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of all earmarked revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Comments: The budget proposal includes earmarked Zakat Revenues and the related social security spending.

47. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year?

GUIDFI INFS

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget — that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

Answer:

d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government's stated policy goals for the budget year is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how the proposed budget is linked to some but not all of the government's policy goals for the budget year is

Comments: The budget statement explains main policy goals to be achieved in the budget and the specific measures to reach this goals with identified link to the budget on the revenues an expenditures side.

48. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and

existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDFI INFS

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget — that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

Answer:

d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government's stated policy goals for a multi-year period is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how the proposed budget is linked to some but not all of the government's policy goals for a multi-year period is presented.

Comments: The budget statement explains main policy goals to be achieved in the budget and the specific measures to reach this goals with identified link to the budget (for a multi-year period) on the revenues an expenditures side.

49. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on inputs to be acquired for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 49 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on inputs for the budget year. (Nonfinancial data on outputs and outcomes are addressed in Question 50.)

The budget should disclose not only the amount of money that is being allocated on a program but also any information needed to analyze that expenditure. Nonfinancial data and performance targets associated with budget proposals are used to assess the success of a given policy. For example, even when allocated funds are spent according to plan, there remains the question of whether the policy delivered the results that it aimed to achieve.

Nonfinancial data can include information on:

Inputs - These are the resources assigned to achieve results. For example, in regards to education, nonfinancial data on inputs could include the number of books to be provided to each school or the materials to be used to build or refurbish a school.

Outputs - These are products and services delivered as a result of inputs. For example, the number of pupils taught every year; the number of children that received vaccines; or the number of beneficiaries of a social security program.

Outcomes - These are the intended impact or policy goals achieved. For example, an increase in literacy rates among children under 10, or a reduction in rates of maternal mortality.

In addition, governments that set performance targets must use nonfinancial data for outputs and outcomes to determine if these targets have been met.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program is organized by functions. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on inputs for all administrative units or all functions, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on inputs are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on inputs is presented.

Answer:			
d. No, nonfinancial data on inputs are not presente	d.		
Source:			
Comment:			
Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produce	d.		
Peer Reviewer			
Opinion: Agree			
Government Reviewer			
Opinion: Agree			

50. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on results (in terms of outputs or outcomes) for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 50 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 49).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on results for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on results for each individual program is organized by functional classification. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on results for all administrative units or all functional classifications, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on results are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on results is presented.

Answer

d. No, nonfinancial data on results are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree 51. Are performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results in the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation?

GUIDELINES:

Question 51 asks about performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. The question applies to those nonfinancial results shown in the budget, and that were identified for purposes of Question 50.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to all nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to a majority (but not all) of the nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget. A "c" response applies performance targets are assigned only to less than half of the nonfinancial data on results. Answer "d" applies if no performance targets are assigned to nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget, or the budget does not present nonfinancial results.

Answer

d. No, performance targets are not assigned to nonfinancial data on results, or the budget does not present nonfinancial data on results.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

52. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations in at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 52 asks whether the budget highlight policies, both new and existing, that benefit the poorest segments of society. This question is intended to assess only those programs that directly address the immediate needs of the poor, such as through cash assistance programs or the provision of housing, rather than indirectly, such as through a stronger national defense. This information is of particular interest to those seeking to bolster government's commitment to anti-poverty efforts. For purposes of answering this question, a departmental budget (such for the Department of Social Welfare) would not be considered acceptable. In general, this question is asking whether the EBP includes a special presentation that pulls together estimates of all the relevant policies in one place. However, if the country uses "program budgeting," where programs are presented as expenditure categories with specific and identified objectives, and it identifies anti-poverty programs within each administrative unit, then that is also acceptable for this question.

The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?" (https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf) includes a discussion of countries that have provided information on how its policies affect the poor.

For instance, Pakistan provides a detailed breakdown of pro-poor expenditure as part of its 2017-18 budget proposal. In one document, the government sets out policy priorities, expected outputs, and estimates of past and future spending for several programs aimed at poverty alleviation. Another supporting document provides a comprehensive overview of ongoing policies, including a chapter on social safety nets, covering both financial and performance information of poverty alleviation schemes over a period of eight years. (http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtbf_2018_21.pdf and http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey_1718.html).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must for at least the budget year both present estimates covering all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations and include a narrative discussion that specifically addresses these policies. (For countries using program budgeting that breaks out individual anti-poverty programs, there should be a separate narrative associated with each such program.) Answer "b" if a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented. Answer "c" if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" if no estimates of policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are

or	ese	nte	d.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations are not presented.

Source:

Comment:

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations are presented.

Comments: The budget statement is presenting fiscal policies pursued by kingdom to continue spending on social benefits and subsidies schemes. also developing the effectiveness of social spending.

53. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal (that is, a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 53 asks about the budget timetable. An internal timetable is particularly important for the executive's management of the budget preparation process, in order to ensure that the executive accounts for the views of the different departments and agencies in the proposed budget. The timetable would, for instance, set deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. So that civil society is aware of the various steps in the budget formulation process, and when opportunities may exist to engage the executive, it is essential that this timetable be made available to the public.

To answer "a," the executive must prepare a detailed budget timetable and release it to the public. A "b" answer applies if the timetable is made public, but some details are not included. A "c" response applies if the timetable is made public, but many important details are excluded, reducing its value for those outside government. Answer "d" applies if no timetable is made available to the public. As long as a timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal is released, answer "a,""b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Executive's Budget Proposal is not made publicly available.

Answer

d. No, a timetable is not issued to the public.

Source:

Comment

Executive Budget Proposal is not formally produced.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, a detailed timetable is released to the public.

Comments: The links show the budget preparation timetable process https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/budgetJourney/Pages/Journey.aspx https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/budgetJourney/Pages/default.aspx

Researcher Response

The timetable does not highlight formulation of the EBP or its submission to legislature. Answer d is maintained.

54. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 54 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- · interest rates.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short- and long-term interest rates; the rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Source

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf (English)

Comment:

The Pre-Budget Statement of Saudi Arabia, specifically the table titled "Medium-term Macroeconomic Projections" on page 21 provides essential macroeconomic forecast information, including nominal GDP, inflation rate, and real GDP growth. Additionally, the statement contains an extensive narrative and supplementary details providing an explanation for the figures.

It is acknowledged that interest rates, one of the core categories, are not explicitly mentioned in the document. However, as in previous years this can be explained by the particularities of the Saudi exchange rate peg system. Given this contextual consideration and the fact that the Pre-Budget Statement covers all other elements of the macroeconomic forecast extensively, option "b" has been selected.

In order for option "a" to be selected, inclusion of a narrative on interest rates should be included - even if to explain the underlying context.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf table titled "Medium-term Macroeconomic Projections" on page 20 provides essential macroeconomic forecast information, including nominal GDP, inflation rate, and real GDP growth.

55. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed

estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities and an estimate of total expenditures.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 55 focuses on the government's expenditure policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- · a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total expenditures.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed programmatic proposals (such detailed information is typically only presented in the budget itself), it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least total expenditures associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can include some detail, for instance, estimates provided by any of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented.

Answer

a. Yes, information beyond the core elements is presented for the government's expenditure policies and priorities.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf (English)

Comment

The PBS fulfils the criteria for option "a" through both an estimate of expenditure forecasts (see Table titled "Medium-term Fiscal Projections 2021 - 2025" on Page 22, as well as an extensive review of key expenditure priorities (various programmes and 'mega-projects') under chapter 2 of the PBS. While the PBS expenditure analysis is not broken down into classifications (administrative, economic, and functional) there is sufficient information in Chapter 2 to warrant the selection of option "a".

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

56. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's revenue policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of revenue policies and priorities and an estimate of total revenues.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 56 focuses on the government's revenue policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- · a discussion of revenue policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total revenue.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed revenue proposals, it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least the total revenue associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can also include more detail, for instance, with estimates provided by revenue category — tax and non-tax — or some of the major individual sources of revenue, such as the Value Added Tax or the income

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented.

Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the government's revenue policies and priorities.

Source:

PBS https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf

Comment:

Preliminary estimates of revenue forecasts are provided on page 23 of the PBS and provide a supporting narrative, easy visualisation, as well as 3 revenue scenarios.

Option "b" has been selected. While the PBS arguably presents details beyond what is required, namely that the non-oil revenues to non-oil GDP ratio, has increased to 18.4% in FY 2021 compared to 9.3% in FY 2023, this is not entirely sufficient for the selection of option "a", which would require detailed projections on tax and oil derived revenues for the period.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

57. Does Pre-Budget Statement present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 57 asks whether the Pre-Budget Statement includes three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing needed in the upcoming budget year;
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the upcoming budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the upcoming budget year.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt for at least the upcoming budget year. For a "b" answer, the Pre-Budget Statement must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the PBS must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the PBS.

Answer:

d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

Source

PBS https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf

Comment:

The Pre-Budget Statement for Saudi Arabia includes a dedicated section on Financing and Debt (pages 26 and 27). It presents a detailed narrative on the annual borrowing plan in accordance with the medium-term debt strategy and how this relates to predicted budget surplus for the coming budget year.

It does not however, present any actual figures for the three estimates required in the question (1. amount of net new borrowing needed in the upcoming budget year, 2. central government's total debt burden at the end of the upcoming budget year, and 3. interest payments on the outstanding debt for the upcoming budget year).

Specific estimates for any of these three would need to be included for options a, b and c to be selected. This is in contrast to the previous PBS reviewed (2021), which provided this information.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

58. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present estimates of total expenditures for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 58 asks about multi-year expenditure estimates in the Pre-Budget Statement.

To answer "a," expenditure estimates for at least two years beyond the upcoming budget year must be presented. The estimates must be for at least total expenditures, but could include more detail than just the aggregate total.

Answer:

a. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented.

Source:

PBS https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/BTM-Bud%202023%20En.pdf

Comment:

Yes, muli-year (2023 - 2025) expenditure estimates are presented in the table titled "Medium-term Fiscal Projections 2021 - 2025" on page 22 of the PBS.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

59. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 59 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of the three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

b. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents expenditure estimates by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf (English)

Comment:

The Enacted Budget provides an overview of expenditure by two of three categories. These include:

Economic classification included in Table A. titled "Fiscal Developments in FY 2022" and presenting information across various OPEX categories. Functional classification provided in the sectoral overview in section c. starting on page 51 and presenting sector allocations alongside illustrative projects.

In regards to administrative classification, while under section c. the number of administrative bodies associated with a given sector is included, specific information on the expenditure to be provided to each is not included.

As such, option "b" has been selected.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The Ministry of Finance seeks to increase disclosure and transparency in the coming years and develop content.

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf

59b. Based on the response to Question 59, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Enacted Budget:

Answer:

Economic classification

Functional classification

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf (English)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf

60. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDFI INFS:

Question 60 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all programs, which account for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Enacted Budget.

Answer

d. No, the Enacted Budget does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf (English)

Comment

The option "d" has been selected on the basis of the term 'Programs', which should refer to any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

On this basis, while the Enacted Budget presents a number of "Key Projects Planned for FY 2023" under each sector (page 51 and below), specific expenditure estimates are not attributed to these programs. Nor is it formally stated which administrative unit they fall under (understandably because many involve multiple). Nonetheless, this therefore means expenditures are not provided for 'programs' below the level of administrative unit

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents estimates for programs accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.

Comments: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf We cover expenditures as in page (51) which explained very specific and detailed programs and projects budgeted in the FY 2023 budget explaining estimates for the main projects by deferent entities and sectors. the answer B is the most appropriate.

Researcher Response

The only expenditure figures under the section C starting on page 51 is the sectoral allocation. specific initiatives are mentioned but no financial figure associated. The inclusion of sectoral expenditure does not constitute "individual programs". Option d is maintained.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to the government for their comments. OBS defines programs as any level of detail below the administrative unit. The projects highlighted on page 51 have non-financial information associated with them, but no expenditure estimates. As such, answer choice D is maintained.

GUIDELINES:

Question 61 asks whether revenue estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents revenue estimates by category.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf (English)

Comment:

Table provided on Page 43 presents revenue estimates by category.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

62. Does the Enacted Budget present individual sources of revenue?

GUIDELINES.

Question 62 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Enacted Budget. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue that account for less than two-thirds of revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented.

Answer

d. No, the Enacted Budget does not present individual sources of revenue.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf (English)

Comment

According to the OBS methodology, to qualify as an individual source of revenue and not simply a category, the tax listed should be at the 4th level of details (See GFS manual page 88 (https://www.imf.org/external/Pubs/FT/GFS/Manual/2014/gfsfinal.pdf).

Revenue sources are provided along the following categories:

Taxes on Income, Profits and Capital Gains

Taxes no Goods and Services

Taxes on International Trade and Transactions

Other Taxes

Other Revenues

However, considering the OBS methodology this does not include 4th level of details.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all revenues.

Comments: Sources of revenues as per 3rd level of details were presented. It's important to note that due to the newly introduction of some revenues, this is not much different in many cases compared to the 4th level of details. Such as Taxes on international trades, Other taxes (Zakat). Also for income tax, the breakdown is only two items.

Researcher Response

Researcher agrees with IBP response based on consistent application of its methodology. It does commend the government on its efforts to include more granular levels of revenue information.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to the government for their comments. OBS follows its methodology which is based on international good practices to assess and score the indicators. While country laws are extremely important, OBS methodology serves as a standard benchmark for all 125 countries assessed. As such, even if disaggregation of revenue is there, it is limited compared to the GFS classification good practice (Table 5.1, page 88) https://www.imf.org/external/Pubs/FT/GFS/Manual/2014/qfsfinal.pdf . Score remains D.

63. Does the Enacted Budget present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 63 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year;
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Enacted Budget must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Enacted Budget must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf (English)

Comment:

All three estimates related to borrowing and debt are provided in the enacted budget. The first two estimates are presented on 41 to 43.

Information on interest payments, referred to as Financing Expenses, can be found on page 39.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2023/Documents/Bud-En%202023MoF.pdf

64. What information is provided in the Citizens Budget?

(The core information must include expenditure and revenue totals, the main policy initiatives in the budget, the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based, and contact information for follow-up by citizens.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 64 focuses on the content of the Citizens Budget, asking whether "core" information is presented. These core components include:

- expenditure and revenue totals;
- the main policy initiatives in the budget;
- the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based; and
- contact information for follow-up by citizens.

To answer "a," the Citizens Budget or supporting documentation must present all of the above core information as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Citizens Budget must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if the Citizens Budget includes some of the core components above, but other core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if a Citizens Budget is not published.

Answer

a. The Citizens Budget provides information beyond the core elements.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx

Comment

The Citizen's Budget is a well written document, complete with infographics, background and contextual information, as well as all 'core' information required by the OBS methodology. contact information includes website address, telephone number, twitter and instagram account.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

65. How is the Citizens Budget disseminated to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 65 asks how the Citizens Budget is disseminated to the public. Citizens Budgets should be made available to a variety of audiences. Therefore paper versions and an Internet posting of a document might not be sufficient.

To answer "a," the executive must use three or more different types of creative media tools to reach the largest possible share of the population, including those who otherwise would not normally have access to budget documents or information. Dissemination would also be pursued at the very local level, so that

the coverage is targeted both by geographic area and population group (e.g., women, elderly, low income, urban, rural, etc.). Option "b" applies if significant dissemination efforts are made through a combination of two means of communications, for instance, both posting the Citizens Budget on the executive's official website and distributing printed copies of it. Option "c" applies if the Citizens Budget is disseminated through only posting on the executive's official website. Option "d" applies when the executive does not publish a Citizens Budget.

Answer:

a. A Citizens Budget is disseminated widely through a combination of at least three different appropriate tools and media (such as the Internet, billboards, radio programs, newspapers, etc.).

Source

https://www.mof.gov.sa/budget/2023/Pages/default.aspx https://twitter.com/MOFKSA/status/1600577841933991954

Comment

The Citizen's Budget is disseminated to the public through various communication channels. These include social media (twitter and instagram), publishing on a dedicated budget website, a dedicated MoF mobile app, and national media campaigns (through various media organisations).

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

66. Has the executive established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information prior to publishing the Citizens Budget?

GUIDELINES:

Question 66 asks whether the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information before publishing a Citizens Budget. What the public wants to know about the budget might differ from the information the executive includes in technical documents that comprise the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget; similarly, different perspectives might exist on how the budget should be presented, and this may vary depending on the context. For this reason the executive should consult with the public on the content and presentation of the Citizens Budget.

To answer "a," the executive must have established mechanisms to consult with the public, and these mechanisms for consultation are both accessible and widely used by the public. Such mechanisms can include focus groups, social networks, surveys, hotlines, and meetings/events in universities or other locations where people gather to discuss public issues. In countries where Citizens Budgets are consistently produced and released, it may be sufficient for the government to provide the public with contact information and feedback opportunities, and subsequently use the feedback to improve its management of public resources.

Option "b" applies if the executive has established mechanisms for consultation that are accessible to the public, but that the public nonetheless does not use frequently. That is, the public does not typically engage with the executive on the content of the Citizens Budget, even though the executive has created opportunities for such consultation. Option "c" applies if the executive has established mechanism for consultation with the public, but they are poorly designed and thus not accessible to the public. Option "d" applies if the executive has not created any mechanisms to seek feedback from the public on the content of the Citizens Budget.

Answer:

c. Yes, the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information in the Citizen's Budget, but these mechanisms are not accessible.

Source:

Researcher's own understanding and consultation with contacts in Saudi Arabia.

Comment

Although the Ministry of Finance (MoF) conducts various online workshops and events, including through the Finance Academy which has free courses on budget process, the Center for Communication and Financial Knowledge and at various universities, these primarily relate to i) presenting budget findings, and engage the public on matters related to the general budget preparation process.

There is no evidence of citizen engagement specific to the development of the Citizens Budget, which is essentially derived from the Enacted Budget. If such dedicated mechanisms/events related to the Citizen's Budget can be demonstrated, the score can be reconsidered and revised

upward.

It is important to note here that evidence of two-way information exchange will need to be provided, not just the presentation of the Citizen's budget to the public.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information in the Citizen's Budget; while these mechanisms are accessible they are not widely used by the public.

Comments: Option "B" is selected as the executive at the Ministry of Finance (MoF) has used accessible mechanisms to determine the requirements of Saudi citizens. One of these mechanisms is launching a community participation questionnaire while preparing the budget statement. This questionnaire has shown the opinion of the public including citizens, public sector, and private sector. It is concluded that the questionnaire used to prepare the budget statement is based on the opinion of the public. For example, the participants of the questionnaire were asked to identify which aspects should be focused or which elements need further explanations. Link: https://eservices.mof.gov.sa/eforms/GeneralForm/index/da60b611-fee6-4b5d-919b-7dcb71c3a71d

Researcher Response

The Government rightly points to the newly developed "Community participation in the general budget preparation process" survey. In line with the government's response, this survey engages the public to assess which information is pertinent to inform the budget process, including the citizen's report. As such, option C will be selected. The researcher commends this effort but would also encourage the Ministry to post this survey on their social media channels and to showcase the results of the survey and how these have been used.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to GR and researcher. IBP agrees with the final score of C (revised upwards from D) based on the Community Participation Survey. IBP looks forward to its expansion to wider reach.

67. Are "citizens" versions of budget documents published throughout the budget process?

GUIDELINES:

Question 67 asks if "citizens" versions of budget documents are published throughout the budget process. While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle.

To answer "a," a citizens version of at least one budget document is published for each of the four stages of the budget process (budget formulation, enactment, execution, and audit) — for a total of at least four citizens budget documents throughout the process. Option "b" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least two of the four stages of the budget process. Option "c" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least one of the four stages of the budget process. Select option "d" if no "citizens" version of budget documents is published.

Answer

c. A citizens version of budget documents is published for at least one stage of the budget process.

Source:

Extensive Review of Available Budget Documentation

Comment

There is formally only 1 citizen's version of a budget document, namely of the enacted budget. It is worth noting, however that many other budget documents (e.g. IYRs) include easily understandable information (e.g. infographics). However, based on OBS methodology, these budget documents need to be published alongside a formal citizen's version.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

68. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 68 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," actual expenditures must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if actual expenditures are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by any of the three classifications in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

b. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

In Year Reports provide a breakdown of expenditures by Economic classification (e.g. Page 8 of Q1) and Functional classification (e.g. Page 10 of Q1)

As with other budget documents, no detailed breakdown of expenditure by administrative unit is provided.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

68b. Based on the response to Question 68, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the In-Year Reports:

Answer:

Economic classification Functional classification

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

N/A

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

69. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 69 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by program in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

d. No, the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by program.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment

As stated in OBS guidelines, the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. Such information is absent in the IYRs. The option "d" has therefore been selected.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

70. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date expenditures with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 70 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual expenditures to-date with either the enacted levels or actual expenditures for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date expenditures in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast expenditures (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer "a," comparisons must be made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports

Answer:

a. Yes, comparisons are made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

IYRs are very good in this regard. Comparisons to previous year expenditures for the same period are made for both economic and functional classification. For the latter, comparisons to the original estimated budget as also included (page 10).

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

71. Do In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 71 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual revenues by "category" - that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

 $IYRs\ present\ revenue\ for\ both\ Oil\ Revenue,\ and\ Non-Oil\ Revenue\ (e.g.\ Page\ 6\ of\ Q1)\ .\ The\ latter\ is\ further\ divided\ into:$

Taxes on Income, Profit and Capital Gains

Taxes on Goods and Services

Taxes on International Trade and Transactions

Other Taxes

Other Revenues

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

72. Do In-Year Reports present the individual sources of revenue for actual revenues collected?

GUIDELINES:

Question 72 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual collections of individual sources of revenue (such as income taxes, VAT, etc.). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue collected, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue

that account for less than two-thirds of all revenue collected. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of actual revenue are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue accounting for all revenue.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

Yes, IYRs for example, individual sources of tax revenue are presented - including:

Taxes on Income, Profit and Capital Gains

Taxes on Goods and Services

Taxes on International Trade and Transactions

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with researcher answer, sources of revenues as per 3rd level of details were presented.

73. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date revenues with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 73 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual revenues to-date with either the enacted levels or actual revenues for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date revenues in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast revenues (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer "a," comparisons must be made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Answer

a. Yes, comparisons are made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

Actual revenues are compared to the same period of the previous year, alongside % Change (e.g. Page 7 for Q1).

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

74. Do In-Year Reports present three estimates related to actual government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing; the total debt outstanding;

and interest payments?

GUIDELINES:

Question 74 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing so far during the year;
- the central government's total debt burden at that point in the year; and
- the interest payments to-date on the outstanding debt.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, In-Year Reports must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, IYRs must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

IYRs present all three estimates. Taking IYR Q1 as an example,

The central government's total debt burden at that point in the year is provided on page 12.

Borrowing over the period in question can be inferred by balance at the start of the period, also on page 12.

Interest payments are represented as financing expenses under economic classification of expenditures on page 8.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

75. Do In-Year Reports present information related to the composition of the total actual debt outstanding?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 75 focuses on the composition of government debt, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 74, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens and banks and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt to-date as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment

Whether the debt is domestic or external is highlighted as well (page 12) Interest rates are presented as 'Financing Expenses' under expenditures (page 8)

IYRs report on 1) Issuances or Borrowings, 2) Principal Repayment and 3) Amortization of Government Bonds.

The maturity profile of the debt is contributed to but not sufficiently explained.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

 $b. \ Yes, the \ core \ information \ is \ presented \ for \ the \ composition \ of \ the \ total \ actual \ debt \ outstanding.$

Comments: - The reports present interest payments in Expenditures part (Financing Expenses). - Debt repayment is presented (domestic and external). Reference: Page 10-11-12-16 https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Q4%20E%202022.pdf

Researcher Response

The researcher has already acknowledged the information on interest payments and on domestic vs. international debt. However, the government response does not include maturity profile of debt, which is not listed. Answer c is maintained.

76. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 76 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated macroeconomic forecast and explain all of the differences between the initial forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal and the updated forecast. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the macroeconomic forecast must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated forecasts are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast,

but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the macroeconomic forecast has not been updated.

Answer:

a. Yes, the estimates for the macroeconomic forecast have been updated, and an explanation of all of the differences between the original and updated forecasts is presented.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment

A detailed description of the macroeconomic developments, how these have differed from EB forecasts, and updated forcasts for the end period are all included, starting on Page 9 of the MYR. direct comparisons to EB estimates can be found on Page 13.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

IBP acknowledges the improvement in practice and the subsequent improvement in scoring.

77. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 77 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of expenditure for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated expenditure estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. The expenditure estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated expenditure estimates, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the expenditure estimates have not been updated.

Answer:

b. Yes, expenditure estimates have been updated, and an explanation of some of the differences between the original and updated expenditure estimates is presented.

Source

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

The MYR does a good job of explaining (in a details narrative) the differences between the original and updated expenditure estimates (pages 18 - 21). Table on page 21 provides the actual figures.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, expenditure estimates have been updated, and an explanation of all of the differences between the original and updated expenditure

estimates is presented.

Comments: The MYR does explain (in a details narrative) the differences between the original and updated expenditure estimates (pages 18 - 21). Table on page 21 provides the actual figures.

Researcher Response

Answer revised to option a, all information included in the table on page 21 includes an update on expenditure estimates for the budget year.

78. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 78 asks if expenditure estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

c. Yes, the Mid-Year Review presents expenditure estimates by only one of the three expenditure classifications.

Source

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

updates and comparisons for functional classification is included in the table on page 21.

In the preceding narrative, information on CAPEX and OPEX expenditures would represent economic classifications, however there is no disaggregation below the two economic categories that presents differences and updates for the remaining year.

No information on administrative classifications are included.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the Mid-Year Review presents expenditure estimates by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Comments: Mid-Year Report provide a breakdown of expenditures by Economic classification (Page 26 -27) and Functional classification (Page 21). https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Mid-Bud%202022-En.pdf

Researcher Response

It is worth noting that the table on page 27 presents estimates for the current financial year, not actuals. However, government is correct that it broadly presents updated expenditures by Economic classification (Page 26 -27) and Functional classification (Page 21). Answer can be revised to h

IBP Comment

Many thanks to the government reviewer. Economic expenditures on pages 26 and 27 present the actuals and comparisons with previous years. As researcher noted, the in-year revisions to economic categories - OPEX and CAPEX - are presented in narrative. However, the methodology is looking

for disaggregation below these two broad categories. Answer choice C remains.

78b. Based on the response to Question 78, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Mid-Year Review:

Answer:

Functional classification

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

N/A

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Economic classification Functional classification

Comments: Mid-Year Report provide a breakdown of expenditures by Economic classification (Page 26-27) and Functional classification (Page 21).

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Mid-Bud%202022-En.pdf

IBP Comment

Thank you to the reviewers. Please see response to indicator 78.

79. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 79 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by program for the budget year underway. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment

No. As with other budget documents, information of the program level is not included in the MYR.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

80. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 80 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of revenue for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated revenue estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the revenue estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated revenue estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the revenue estimates have not been updated.

Answer:

b. Yes, revenue estimates have been updated, and an explanation of some of the differences between the original and updated revenue estimates is presented.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

Information comparing original estimates revenue (in the EB) and updated revenues for H1 are included on pages 15-17.

It is also included in the table provided on page 27.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

a. Yes, revenue estimates have been updated, and an explanation of all of the differences between the original and updated revenue estimates is presented.

Comments: revenue estimates have been updated, and an explanation of all of the differences between the original and updated revenue estimates is presented. Information comparing original estimates revenue (in the EB) for the updated revenues of FY 2022 and Actual revenues for H1 are included on pages 15-17. It is also included in the table provided on page 27.

Researcher Response

Researcher agrees with IBP response.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to GR for their comments. While there is impressive information on increases in revenue collections during H1 as well as narrative describing the reasons for increases, information revisions to revenue estimates for the remainder of the year is limited. IBP maintains answer choice B.

81. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 81 asks whether revenue estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by "category"—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Mid-Year Review presents revenue estimates by category.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment

This is included in the descriptive narrative on Pages 15-17.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: As the author says, there is a general discussion of revenues and their increase over the previous year pages 15-17. These are summarized in a table on page 26, but there is very little detail, only vary broad categories.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the Mid-Year Review presents revenue estimates by category.

Comments: Yes, the report does in fact present the revenue by tax and non-tax (category) as shown in page 15 for the tax, and page 17 for non tax "other revenue" also it mentioned in the tables at pages (26 & 27). https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Mid-Bud%202022-En.pdf

Researcher Response

PR is correct in noting that updated revenue estimates for the budget year are limited, including only a few tax categories and non-tax. However, technically, the GR is correct in suggesting that this therefore meets the criteria for option A to be selected.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to reviewers and researcher. Looking at narrative on page 15 - 17 closely, there is broad mention of revenue revisions - "Tax revenue is projected to increase to SAR 315 bn (11.3%) over budget based on the expectation that gradual recovery in economic activities will continue..."..."Total revenues are projected to reach SAR 1,222 bn for the full year". "Other revenues (non-tax) are expected to reach SAR 908 bn, an increase of 19 % compared to budget projections. This is mainly due to increase in oil prices." IBP agrees to revise to answer choice A.

82. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 82 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway are presented in the Mid-Year Review. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenues, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

c. Yes, the Mid-Year Review presents individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all revenues.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

This information is included on pages 15-17 for all individual revenue sources originally included in the EB.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: See comment to question 81. Other revenues are listed at 64.2% on page 26.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

Since it is less than 66% (two-thirds), answer C applies.

83. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 83 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- The interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- · whether the debt is domestic or external.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, and explain all of the differences between the initial estimates presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the estimates of borrowing and debt must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the estimates of borrowing and debt have not been updated.

Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of government borrowing and debt have been updated, and information on some of the differences between the original and updated estimates is presented.

Source:

Comment:

The Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of borrowing and debt, for H1 of the budget year. A detailed accompanying narrative explains the differences between the initial estimates presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal and the updated estimates are explicitly explained in the provided text

However, not all information required under 'composition' is included for option "a" to be selected, e.g. maturity profile.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of government borrowing and debt have been updated, and information on some of the differences between the original and updated estimates is presented.

Comments: The Mid-year Review highlights the differences between the initial estimates and the updated figures. Reference: pages 22 & 27. https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Documents/Mid-Bud%202022-En.pdf

84. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?

GUIDFI INFS:

Question 84 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual expenditures for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all expenditures, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2022/Pages/default.aspx

Comment:

This information is provided in the table on page 27, while the narrative accounting for these differences can be found in the relevant expenditure section earlier in the report.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

85. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES.

Question 85 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative,

economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. Answer "b" if expenditure estimates are presented by two of these three classifications. Answer "c" if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

b. Yes, the Year-End Report presents expenditure estimates by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Cource

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment:

As with other Saudi budget documents, estimates are presented for all categories except administrative. Economic classifications can be found on page 15 while Functional classifications can be found on page 16.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

85b. Based on the response to Question 85, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

Economic classification Functional classification

Source:

 $https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financial report/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng\%202021.pdf$

Comment:

N/A

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

86. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 86 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to

mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" if expenditures are not presented by program in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment

As is consistent with other budget documents, information at the program level is not presented in the YER.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, the Year-End Report presents estimates for programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures.

Comments: Pages (4,7,12) shows the major programs included in total spending.

87. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?

GUIDELINES:

Question 87 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all revenues, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment

Information is presented in pages 13-16 of the YER.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

88. Does the Year-End Report present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 88 asks whether revenue estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Year-End Report presents revenue estimates by category.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

89. Does the Year-End Report present individual sources of revenue?

GUIDELINES:

Question 89 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Year-End Report. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

c. Yes, the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all revenues.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment

Information on individual sources of revenue (using the same categories as in the EB and other budget documents) is presented on pages 10-12.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

For cross country consistency, IBP revised to answer choice C. The Year-End Report lists various sources of revenue across tax-revenue categories. However, according to the OBS methodology, to qualify as an individual source of revenue and not simply a category, the tax listed should be at the 4th level of details (See GFS manual page 88 (https://www.imf.org/external/Pubs/FT/GFS/Manual/2014/gfsfinal.pdf). As such, option C must be selected while noting that reasonable detail is at least provided on the broad categories.

90. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 90 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates and the actual outcome for the fiscal year for borrowing and debt, including its composition, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment:

The YER includes updated information on borrowing and debt, for the entire budget year. A detailed accompanying narrative explains the differences between the initial estimates presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal and final levels of borrowing and total debt. The FY in question is also compared to the previous.

However, not all details on composition are included, e.g. lack of information on maturity.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

b. Yes, estimates of the differences between all the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Comments: The report highlights the differences between the initial estimates and the actual performance. (All internal and external government borrowings are presented with an explanation.) Reference: Page 17-18 https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

90b. Based on the response to Question 90, check the box(es) to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, have the differences between the original forecast and the actual outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year
The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year
The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year
Whether the debt is domestic or external

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment:

N/A

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year. The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year. The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year. Maturity profile of the debt. Whether the debt is domestic or external.

Researcher Response

GR has not provided more specific guidance on where such information is listed. Interests rate can be considered to be listed based on 'financing expenses' under expenditures, however there is no inclusion of debt maturity profile.

91. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 91 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast in the Executive's Budget Proposal. Core components include estimates of the nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates, although the importance of other macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil, can vary from country to country.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of the differences between all the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment

Information comparing initial forecast estimations with eventual macroeconomic situation is provided, including nominal GDP level, inflation rate, and real GDP growth is included. While interest rates are only mentioned once on page 18, as explained previously in the survey, this can be contextualised by the particularity of the Saudi Arabian Economy. Additional information includes oil prices.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

91b. Based on the response to Question 91, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast have the differences between the original forecast and the outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

Nominal GDP level

Inflation rate

Real GDP growth

Information beyond the core elements

Source

 $https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financial report/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng\%202021.pdf$

Comment:

E.g. of information above and beyond includes oil prices.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Interest rates are mentioned on page 18 but only in passing as lower than estimated. So, I assume the author felt that was not sufficient to tick them in this question.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Information beyond the core elements are shown in page 6 to 7 https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-

Eng%202021.pdf

92. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 92 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 49 for the nonfinancial data on inputs included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates

of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment:

Nonfinancial information (inputs, outputs, outcomes) is not considered and no comparison to estimates is made.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

93. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 93 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 92).

Refer to Question 50 for the nonfinancial data on results included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment:

See previous response.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

94. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are

intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES.

Question 94 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 52 for assistance to the most impoverished populations in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between the enacted level for some but not all of the policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted level for some but not all of the policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment

There is some minor description of these policies and spending priorities included under the narrative of expenditures (relating primarily to spending on social benefits). However, given that this is far from detailed, only option "c" can be justified.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree with the author's assessment -- not much detail given under "social expenditures" --a very general overview.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Comments: In page 13 we covered detailed expenditure of the social support targeting the country's most impoverished, including detailed programs and allocation for these objectives such as citizen account and social solidarity programs. In addition, another sections of programs covered health services as well as housing that are targeting low income groups. We find it very surprising ignoring this important part of the report which was added for the first time and not to be considered in the answers.

95. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES.

Question 95 asks whether the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 33 for estimates of extra-budgetary funds in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented

٩n	S	w	eı	r
	•	••	٠.	

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome is not presented.

Source:

No estimation of extra-budgetary funds were published in any other budget documents. As such these are equally absent in the YER and option "d" is selected.

Comment:

No estimation of extra-budgetary funds were published in any other budget documents. As such these are equally absent in the YER and option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

96. Is a financial statement included as part of the Year-End Report or released as a separate report?

GUIDELINES:

Question 96 asks whether a financial statement is included as part of the Year-End Report, or whether it is released as a separate report. The financial statement can include some or all of the following elements: a cash flow statement, an operating statement, a balance sheet, and notes on accounting. For purposes of responding to this question, the financial statement in question does not need to be audited. For an example of a financial statement, see the document "Financial Statements of the Government of New Zealand 2013" (https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2013-10/fsgnz-year-jun13.pdf)

To answer "a," a financial statement must either be included in the Year-End Report or must be released as a separate report. Answer "a" applies if a financial statement is released as a separate report, even if the Year-End Report is not publicly available. Answer "b" applies if no financial statement is released either as part of the Year-End Report or as a separate report.

Answer:

b. No, a financial statement is neither part of the Year-End Report nor released as a separate report.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/financialreport/2021/Documents/END_Bud-Eng%202021.pdf

Comment:

According to OBS methodology, as graded in previous years, releasing a separate financial statement report as part of the YER should apply regardless of cash or accrual accounting. No such financial statement is provided in the YER. As such option "b" has been selected.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Not applicable as the GFS is applied on a cash basis.

97. What type of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) has the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) conducted and made available to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 97 asks about the types of audits conducted by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). There are three basic types of audits:

- Financial audits are intended to determine if an entity's financial information is accurate (free from errors or fraud) and presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting and regulatory framework. See ISSAI 200 (https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-100-fundamental-principles-of-public-sector-auditing/) for more detail.
- Compliance audits look at the extent to which the relevant regulations and procedures have been followed. See ISSAI 400 (https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-400-compliance-audit-principles/) for more details.
- Performance audits assess whether activities are adhering to the principles of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness. See ISSAI 300
 (https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-300-performance-audit-principles/) for more details.

Financial and compliance audits are more common than performance audits, which usually occur only once a performance framework has been agreed upon. In some countries, the SAI's mandate limits the type of audit it can conduct.

To answer "a," the SAI must have conducted all three types of audit — financial, compliance, and performance — and made all of them available to the public. A "b" response applies if the SAI has conducted two of the three audit types, and a "c" applies if it has conducted only one type of audit. Answers "b" and "c" may be selected even if the Audit Report is not publicly available, as long as the SAI has conducted compliance or performance audits and made them available to the public. A "d" response applies if the SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

Answer:

d. The SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

Source:

https://www.gca.gov.sa/PageDetails?id=6

Comment

The General Court of Audit conducts several types of audits, which include the following:

GCA's Annual Report on Financial and Performance Audits (submitted to the executive)

GCA's Annual Report on the State Final Account.

GCA's Annual Report on achievements and activities.

Public or private reports.

GCA's Annual Reports on the Councils of Regions (submitted to the Region's Governors)

Reports for specific audits (submitted to auditees)

However, these are not made public beyond the specific entities they are submitted to and the executive. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree **Suggested Answer**:

a. The SAI has conducted all three types of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) and made them available to the public.

Comments: Option "A" is selected as the General Court of Audit (GCA) has carried out all types of audits (compliance, financial, and performance) and keen to make them available to the public. It has published the document titled "General Court of Audit: A New Reality and an Ambitious Vision" which includes all information related to the GCA's statutory competencies, roles, activities, and explanations of each of the three types of audits.

98. What percentage of expenditures within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDEI INES

Question 98 focuses on the coverage of audits by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), asking what percentage of expenditures within the SAI's mandate has been audited.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to budgetary central government (ministries, departments, and agencies) that are within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 99 addresses audits of extra-budgetary funds.) Further, the question does not apply to "secret programs" (for example, security-related expenditures that are confidential). Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. Financial audits and compliance audits, or a hybrid of the two, can be taken

into account to answer this question. Performance audits should not be considered for this question.

To answer "a," all expenditures within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if at least two-thirds, but not all, expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response is appropriate when less than two-thirds of expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies when no expenditures have been audited.

Answer:

d. No expenditures have been audited.

Source

Researcher's own knowledge.

Comment:

Audit reports are not made available to the public, on the basis of the OBS methodology, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. All expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited.

Comments: Option "A" is selected because all expenditures within the responsibility scope of the General Court of Audit (GCA) were audited based on the audit methodology consistent with International Auditing Standards (ISA) that are approved by the International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI).

99. What percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:

Question 99 focuses on audits of extra-budgetary funds, asking what percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited. These funds, although technically outside the budget, are governmental in nature and thus should be subject to the same audit requirement as other government programs.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 98 addresses audits of budgetary central government.) Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

To answer "a," all extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies if extra-budgetary funds have not been audited.

Answer:

d. No extra-budgetary funds have been audited.

Source

See response to question 98.

Comment:

Audit reports are not made available to the public. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. All extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited.

Comments: Option "A" is selected because all extra-budget funds within the responsibility scope of the General Court of Audit (GCA) were audited based on the audit methodology consistent with International Auditing Standards (ISA) approved by the International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI).

100. Does the annual Audit Report(s) prepared by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) include an executive summary?

GUIDELINES:

Question 100 asks whether the annual Audit Report includes an executive summary. Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. The Audit Report can be a fairly technical document, and an executive summary of the report's findings can help make it more accessible to the media and the public.

To answer "a," the Audit Report must include at least one executive summary summarizing the report's content. Answer "b" applies if the Audit Report does not include an executive summary, or the Audit Report is not made publicly available.

Answer

b. No, the annual Audit Report(s) does not include an executive summary.

Source:

See response to question 98.

Comment

Audit reports are not made available to the public. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the annual Audit Report(s) includes one or more executive summaries summarizing the report's content.

Comments: Recently, generous approval was issued for the General Court of Audit to publish audit report made it available to the public within (18) months after the end of the relevant fiscal year.

101. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?

GUIDELINES:

Question 101 asks whether the executive reports to the public on the steps it has taken to address audit recommendations made by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). The ultimate purpose of audits is to verify that the budget was executed in a manner consistent with existing law, and to hold the government accountable for this execution and its future improvement. The extent to which audits achieve the latter depends on whether there is adequate and timely follow-up on the recommendations provided in the SAI's audit reports.

To answer "a," the executive must report publicly on the steps it has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address audit finding, answer "a,""b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if the executive does not report at all on its steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings.

Source:

Researcher's own knowledge

Comment

The executive formally acknowledged that audit reports have been received and findings taken into account. However, the formal audit reports and the executive's response to them are not made available to the public.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Comments: The audit report issued by the General Court of Audit includes the steps taken by audited entities to address findings that indicate the need for corrective action.

102. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations?

GUIDELINES:

Question 102 asks whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or the legislature track actions by the executive to address audit recommendations. After audit results and recommendations are discussed and validated by the legislature, the executive is normally asked to take certain actions to address the audit findings. For accountability purposes, the public needs to be informed about the status of those actions, and steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. In addition to the executive reporting on its actions (see Question 101), the SAI and legislature — as the key oversight institutions — have a responsibility to keep the public informed by tracking the executive's progress in addressing audit recommendations.

To answer "a," the SAI or legislature must report publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the SAI or legislature reports publicly on the steps the executive has taken, answer "a,""b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if neither the SAI nor the legislature reports on the executive's steps to address audit findings.

Answer

d. No, neither the SAI nor legislature reports on steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations.

Source:

Researcher's own knowledge

Comment

Audit reports are not formally made public, nor are actions taken by the executive to address audit reports. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer

a. Yes, the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit recommendations.

Comments: The audit report issued by the General Court of Audit includes the steps taken by audited entities to address findings that indicate the need for corrective action.

103. Is there an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) that conducts budget analyses for the budget formulation and/or approval process?

GUIDELINES:

Question 103 examines whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) exists that contributes budget analyses to the budget formulation and/or approval process. According to the Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions, adopted by the OECD Council in 2014, "independent fiscal institutions are publicly funded, independent bodies under the statutory authority of the executive or the legislature which provide non-partisan oversight and analysis of, and in some cases advice on, fiscal policy and performance", and with "a forward-looking ex ante diagnostic task". In practice, they come in two main forms:

- Parliamentary budget offices (also known as PBOs) such as the Congressional Budget Office in the United States (https://www.cbo.gov/), the
 Parliamentary Budget Office in South Africa (https://www.capp.gov.za/parliamentary-budget-office), and the Center for Public Finance Studies in
 Mexico (Centro de Estudios de las Finanzas Públicas, https://www.cefp.gob.mx/); or
- Fiscal councils such as the Office for Budget Responsibility in the United Kingdom (https://obr.uk/) and the High Council for Public Finances in France (Haut Conseil des finances publiques, https://www.hcfp.fr/).

For more information, see von Trapp et al. 'Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions and Case Studies', OECD Journal on Budgeting 15:2 (special issue, 2016), https://doi.org/10.1787/budget-15-5jm2795tv625.

To answer "a," there must be an IFI, and its independence must be set in law. In addition, it must have sufficient staffing and resources, including funding, to carry out its tasks. Answer "b" applies if an IFI exists, but either its independence is not set in law or its staffing and resources are insufficient to carry out its tasks. Answer "c" applies if an IFI exists, but its independence is not set in law and it lacks sufficient staffing and resources. Answer "d" applies if no IFI exists.

If the answer is "a,""b," or "c," please specify in the comments the name and type of IFI that exists (e.g., parliamentary budget office or fiscal council). If the answer is "a" or "b," identify the law that guarantees its independence, and provide evidence in support of the assessment of the adequacy of its staffing and resources. This can include the IFI's total budget allocation over recent years, any press reports that discuss perceived funding shortfalls, assessments by international organizations, and/or information from interviews with staff of the IFI.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI.

Source:

Researcher's own knowledge

Comment:

The OBS methodology draws on the OECD's definition of IFIs as 'publicly funded, independent bodies under the statutory authority of the executive or the legislature which provide non-partisan oversight and analysis of, and in some cases advice on, fiscal policy and performance'.

Based on this definition, Saudi Arabia does not have an IFI.

While entities exist which perform similar functions (i.e. particularly KAPSARC the King Abdullah Petroleum Studies and Research Center) these do not have the mandate to conduct analysis of the entire budgetary process.

As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, there is an IFI, its independence is set in law, and it has sufficient staffing and resources, including funding, to carry out its tasks.

Comments: Several entities are participating in the budget formulation process. Most importantly, KAPSARC for energy, fiscal and macroeconomic studies, are examples of independent institutions by law, is participating in the formulation of the budget concerning the fiscal & macroeconomic sectors.

IBP Commen

Based on discussion with the researcher the understanding is that KAPSARC and other bodies referenced in the Government Response do not meet

sufficient criteria to be considered IFIs. Based on the OECD definition of an IFI (referenced in the Researcher's initial response) KAPSARC does meet some criteria, such as being funded in perpetuity by an endowment provided by the Government of Saudi Arabia yet maintaining degrees of independence. However, the primary concern relates to the scope of its work. While an IFI should have a general mandate for oversight and analysis of fiscal policy that is forward-looking (covering the national budget process) KAPSARC and other referenced bodies maintain mandates much more narrow in scope. Although assessments undertaken by KAPSARC will undeniably inform budget consideration its formal mission (as observed on its website https://www.kapsarc.org/about/) is 'to advance the understanding of energy economics and to act as a catalyst for dialogue, charting a path to better welfare for societies, locally and globally.' As such researcher maintains the answer of 'd'.

104. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts?

GUIDELINES:

Question 104 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a role in producing the macroeconomic forecast (e.g., GDP growth, inflation, interest rates, etc.) and/or the fiscal forecast (revenues, expenditure, deficits, and debt), and if so, what kind of role it has. Macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasting is a typical core function across IFIs, but their role in forecasting takes several forms (von Trapp et al. 2016, p. 17 and Table 2). Some IFIs produce just a macroeconomic forecast, while others produce a complete fiscal forecast (which also typically requires an underlying macroeconomic forecast). In some cases, the fiscal forecast reflects continuation of current budget policies; such forecasts can be used by the legislature, the media, or the public to assess the projections in the executive's budget reflecting the government's policy proposals.

Some IFIs produce the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts used in the executive's budget. In other cases, IFIs do not prepare their own independent forecasts, but rather produce an assessment of the official estimates, or provide an opinion on, or endorsement of, the government's forecasts. Some others have no role at all in forecasting.

To answer "a", there must be an IFI that publishes both its own macroeconomic AND fiscal forecasts. Answer "b" applies if an IFI publishes its own macroeconomic OR fiscal forecast (but not both). Answer "c" applies if the IFI does not publish a macroeconomic or fiscal forecast, but rather publishes an assessment of the official forecasts produced by the executive and used in the budget. Choose option "d" if there is no IFI; or if there is an IFI that neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts for the budget.

Macroeconomic forecasts may include indicators relating to economic output and economic growth, inflation, and the labor market, amongst others. Fiscal forecasts may include estimates of revenues, expenditures, the budget balance, and debt. If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify which indicators and estimates are included in the forecasts and whether the forecast is used by government as the official forecast. If the answer is "c," please describe the nature and depth of the assessment (e.g., the length of the commentary, or whether it covers both economic and fiscal issues).

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts produced by the executive.

Source:

See response to question 103.

Comment:

Saudi Arabia does not formally have an IFI based on the OECD definition.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the IFI publishes its own macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts.

Comments: MEP is publishing macroeconomic, fiscal, External Sector & International Trade, FDI, Oil Prices and other indicators https://datasaudi.mep.gov.sa/en

105. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish its own costings of new policy proposals, to assess their impact on the budget?

GUIDELINES:

Question 105 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a costing function that involves assessing the budgetary implications of new policy proposals for both revenues and expenditures, and if so, what kind of role it has. Many IFIs have a costing role, but with substantial diversity in the nature and extent of this work (von Trapp et al 2016, pp. 17-18 and Table 2). Some assess virtually all new policy proposals, while others cost only a selection of new policy proposals. Others only publish opinions on, or scrutinize the costings of, budget measures produced by the executive.

To answer "a," the IFI must publish its own costings of all (or virtually all) new policy proposals. Answer "b" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only for major new policy proposals – for instance, only those proposals that cost or save above a certain amount. Answer "c" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only on a limited number of proposals. This could occur, for instance, if the IFI lacked the capacity to assess proposals dealing with certain sectors. Instead of producing a cost estimate, it can also publish an assessment of the estimates produced by the executive. Answer "d" applies if there is no IFI; or if the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

Source:

See response to question 103.

Comment:

Saudi Arabia does not formally have an IFI based on the OECD definition.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the IFI publishes its own costings of major new policy proposals.

Comments: KABSARC supports the budget process to estimate the Energy Price Reforms and oil prices/production forecasts. link below: https://www.kapsarc.org/knowledge-and-analysis/publications/

IBP Comment

Based on discussion with the researcher the understanding is that KAPSARC and other bodies referenced in the Government Response do not meet sufficient criteria to be considered IFIs. Based on the OECD definition of an IFI (referenced in the Researcher's initial response) KAPSARC does meet some criteria, such as being funded in perpetuity by an endowment provided by the Government of Saudi Arabia yet maintaining degrees of independence. However, the primary concern relates to the scope of its work. While an IFI should have a general mandate for oversight and analysis of fiscal policy that is forward-looking (covering the national budget process) KAPSARC and other referenced bodies maintain mandates much more narrow in scope. Although assessments undertaken by KAPSARC will undeniably inform budget consideration its formal mission (as observed on its website https://www.kapsarc.org/about/) is 'to advance the understanding of energy economics and to act as a catalyst for dialogue, charting a path to better welfare for societies, locally and globally.' As such researcher maintains the answer of 'd'.

106. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:

Question 106 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Almost all IFIs interact with the legislature in some form (von Trapp et al 2016, p. 18), but the intensity of the interaction varies. This question assesses this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the IFI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the IFI staff member in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). As evidence to support your answer, you can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the IFI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; and "c" for once or twice. Answer "d" should be selected if the head or a senior staff member of the IFI never took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature, or if there is no IFI.

Answer:

d. Never, or there is no IFI.

Source:

See response to question 103.

Comment:

Saudi Arabia does not formally have an IFI based on the OECD definition.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

107. Does the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 107 asks whether the legislature debated budget policies prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal for the most recent budget year before the research cut-off date. In general, prior to discussing the Executive's Budget Proposal for the coming year, the legislature should have an opportunity to review the government's broad budget priorities and fiscal parameters. Often times this information is laid out in a Pre-Budget Statement, which the executive presents to the legislature for debate. (See Questions 54-58.)

A number of countries conduct a pre-budget debate in the legislature around six months before the start of the budget year. In some cases, they adopt laws that guide the upcoming budget, for example the Budget Guidelines Law in Brazil and the Spring Fiscal Policy Bill in Sweden. A pre-budget debate can serve two main purposes: 1) to allow the executive to inform the legislature of its fiscal policy intentions by presenting updated reports on its annual and medium-term budget strategy and policy priorities; and 2) to establish "hard" multi-year fiscal targets or spending ceilings, which the government must adhere to when preparing its detailed spending estimates for the upcoming budget year.

To answer "a," the full legislature must debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.

Answer "b" applies if a legislative committee (but not the full legislature) debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, and approves recommendations for the budget. Option "b" also applies if, in addition to the action by the committee, the full legislature also debates budget policy in advance of the budget, but does not approve recommendations.

Answer "c" applies if the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, but does not approve recommendations for the budget. Answer "d" applies if neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

In your comment, please indicate the dates of the budget debate, and if both the full legislature and a legislative committee held a debate. Note that a debate does not need to be open to the public, but a public record of the meeting or a public notice that the meeting occurred is required. In addition, please indicate whether the budget debate was focused on a Pre-Budget Statement published by the Executive. If the Executive did not publish a Pre-Budget Statement, then please indicate what served as the focus of the legislature's debate (for instance, a report released by an IFI or some other institution).

Answer:

d. No, neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source

https://www.shura.gov.sa/wps/wcm/connect/shuraen/internet/Laws+and+Regulations/The+Shura+Council+and+the+Rules+and+Regulations+Job/Shura+Council+Law/

Comment

Both the Majlis Al-Shura and Royal Court of Saudi Arabia, the only entities which could possibly be considered legislative body, do not meet the requirements to be considered a formal legislative body. There are no provisions to the The Majlis Al-Shura's mandate that allow it to undertake a formal review of the Executive's budget proposal as part of the budgetary process (based on the existing law of the Majlis al-Shura, dated 27/08/1412). As such, option "d" has been selected.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

108. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 108 examines how far in advance of the start of the most recent budget year the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be submitted to the legislature far enough in advance to allow the legislature time to review it properly, or at least three months prior to the start of the fiscal year. (See, for instance, Principle 2.2.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml).

For the purposes of responding to this question, if — and only if — the most recent budget submission occurred later than usual as a result of a particular event, such as an election, please use a more normal year as the basis for the response. If, however, delays have been observed for more than one budget year, and the legislature has not received the Executive's Budget Proposal in a timely manner on more than one occasion in the last three years, then "d" will be the appropriate answer.

To answer "a," the legislature must receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least three months in advance of the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature does not receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month prior to the start of the budget year, or does not receive it at all

Answer:

d. The legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month before the start of the budget year, or does not receive it all.

Source:

See response to Question 107.

Comment

Based on the OBS methodology Saudi Arabia has no formal legislative body with the mandate to review the Executive's Budget Proposal. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. The legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year. **Comments**: The Royal Court is the legislature authority in KSA. It receives the budget at least one month before the start of the budget year.

109. When does the legislature approve the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 109 examines when the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be approved by the legislature before the start of the fiscal year the budget proposal refers to. This gives the executive time to implement the budget in its entirety, particularly new programs and policies.

In some countries, the expenditure and revenue estimates of the Executive's Budget Proposal are approved separately; for purposes of this question, at least the expenditure estimates must be approved. Further, approval of the budget implies approval of the full-year budget, not just a short-term continuation of spending and revenue authority.

To answer "a," the legislature must approve the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month before the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month after the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal more than one month after the start of the budget year, or does not approve the budget.

Answer:

d. The legislature approves the budget more than one month after the start of the budget year, or does not approve the budget.

Source

See response to Question 107.

Comment

Based on the OBS methodology Saudi Arabia has no formal legislative body with the mandate to review the Executive's Budget Proposal. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

b. The legislature approves the budget less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year.

Comments: The Royal Court approved the budget in the beginning of December 2022, almost one month in the start of the budget year.

110. Does the legislature have the authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 110 examines the legislature's power to amend—as opposed to simply accept or reject—the budget proposal presented by the executive. This question is about legal authority rather than actions the legislature takes in practice. The legislature's powers to amend the budget can vary substantially across countries.

The "a" response is appropriate only if there are no restrictions on the right of the legislature to modify the Executive's Budget Proposal, including its right to change the size of the proposed deficit or surplus. The "b" response would be appropriate if, for instance, the legislature is restricted from changing the deficit or surplus, but it still has the power to increase or decrease funding and revenue levels. The more limited "c" response would apply if, for instance, the legislature can only re-allocate spending within the totals set in the Executive's Budget Proposal or can only decrease funding levels or increase revenues. Finally, response "d" would apply if the legislature may not make any changes (or only small technical changes), or if amendments must first be approved by the executive. In these cases, the legislature is essentially only able to approve or reject the budget as a whole. If the answer is "b" or "c", please indicate the nature of the amendment powers available to the Parliament and how they are limited.

Answer:

 $\ d.\ No, the\ legislature\ does\ not\ have\ any\ authority\ in\ law\ to\ amend\ the\ Executive's\ Budget\ Proposal.$

Source:

See response to Question 107.

Comment

Based on the OBS methodology Saudi Arabia has no formal legislative body with the mandate to review the Executive's Budget Proposal. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the legislature has unlimited authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Comments: Yes. The Royal Court has the authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal.

111. During the most recent budget approval process, did the legislature use its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDFI INFS

Question 111 assesses whether any formal authority of the legislature to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal is used in practice. The responses to this question should be determined based on action by the legislature related to the Enacted Budget used in the OBS. Choose answer "a" if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal during the most recent budget approval process, and amendments were adopted (all, or at least some of them). Answer "a" also applies if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, but the amendments were rejected by executive veto. Answer "b" applies if the legislature used its authority in law to propose amendments to the Executive's Budget Proposal, but none of these amendments were adopted. Answer "c" applies if the legislature has the authority in law to amend the budget, but no amendments were proposed during its consideration. Answer "d" applies when the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget (that is, Question 110 is answered "d").

If the answer is "a" or "b", please specify in the comments the number of amendments introduced by the legislature (and in the case of an "a" response, the number adopted, or if applicable, information about an executive veto) and describe their nature. For example, did the amendments result in an increase or decrease of the deficit? What were the most significant amendments to revenues and to expenditures in terms of the sums involved? How did amendments affect the composition of expenditures? If the answer is "a," please specify which amendments were adopted, and provide evidence for it.

Answer:

d. No, the legislature does not have any such authority.

Source

See response to Question 107.

Comment:

Based on the OBS methodology Saudi Arabia has no formal legislative body with the mandate to review the Executive's Budget Proposal. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, and (at least some of) its amendments were adopted.

112. During the last budget approval process, did a specialized budget or finance committee in the legislature examine the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 112 assesses the role of a specialized budget or finance committee during the budget approval stage. Effective committee involvement is an essential condition for legislative influence in the budget process. Specialized committees provide opportunities for individual legislators to gain relevant expertise, and to examine budgets and policy in depth. Yet, the involvement of committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures have separate committees to examine spending and tax proposals, while others have a single finance committee. Not all legislatures have a specialized budget or finance committee to examine the budget. In addition, there can be differences in the time available for the committee's analysis of the budget.

A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, therefore it must be published before the

legislature has adopted the budget.

Response "a" requires that, in the last budget approval process, a specialized budget or finance committee had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such a committee examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if a committee examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where a specialized budget or finance committee did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Please specify in your comment the name of the committee and the number of days it had available to examine the budget. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a copy of the report. Please note also if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

Answer:

d. No, a specialized budget or finance committee did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source:

See response to Question 107.

Comment:

Special finance committees exist in both the Majlis Al-Shura and Royal Court. However, there is no evidence that either received, evaluated and provided any input into the EBP.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Comments: Also, it's worth mentioning that there is the Financial committee at Royal Court.

113. During the last approval process, did legislative committees, responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.), examine spending in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the sector for which they are responsible?

GUIDELINES:

Question 113 assesses the role of committees of the legislature that are responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.) during the budget approval stage. The role of sectoral committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures do not involve them in the budget approval process, while others do. In addition, the time available for committee analysis differs.

A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, so therefore must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget. Response "a" requires that sector committees had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and published a report with findings and recommendations prior the budget being adopted. Response "b"" applies where such committees examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if sectoral committees examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where sectoral committees did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Please note that the examination of sectoral budgets by a specialized budget or finance committee is assessed in Question 112 and should not be considered for this question.

Please provide in the comments a brief overview of the committee structure and specify the number of days that sectoral committees had available to examine the budget and to publish their reports. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a sample copy of at least one of the reports. Please note if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

For purposes of responding to this question, use those sectoral committees that are best performing – that is, the ones that examine the budget the longest and that publish reports.

Answer:

d. No, sector committees did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source:

See previous response.

https://shura.gov.sa/wps/wcm/connect/ShuraArabic/internet/Committees/Committees+Jurisdiction+and+Duties/

Comment:

Various sectoral committees exist in the Majlis Al-Shura however there is no evidence (nor is it written in their mandates) that they received or commented on an FBP

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

114. In the past 12 months, did a committee of the legislature examine in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period?

GUIDELINES:

Question 114 is about legislative oversight of budget execution. It assesses whether and how often a committee examined the implementation of the budget during the budget execution period (i.e., financial year) for which it was approved, and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. This question does not apply to the ex post review of implementation following the end of the budget year as part of the audit stage, which is assessed separately. Nor does it apply to the legislature's review of the budget that it may undertake as part of the process of considering a supplemental budget during the year. In-year monitoring by the legislature will be affected by the frequency that the executive publishes In-Year Reports.

To answer "a," a committee must have examined in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget at least three times during the course of the relevant budget year and published reports with findings and recommendations. Answer "b" applies where this occurred only once or twice during the year.

Exception: If a legislature is in session only twice during the year, and it examines the implementation of the budget during both sessions, then it would be eligible for an "a" response.

Choose "c" if a committee examined in-year implementation (without regard to frequency), but did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

Answer "d" applies where no committee examined in-year implementation.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation.

For purposes of responding to this question, if more than one committee holds in-year reviews of the budget, use the committee that is best performing – that is, the one that examines in-year implementation the most times and that publishes a report.

Answer:

d. No, a committee did not examine in-year implementation.

Source:

See response to question 107.

Comment:

The Majlis Al-Shura has limited involvement in budget execution, and this extends to the review of in-year reports. Any involvement is internal, and not formally within the legislatures mandate. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

115. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units that receive explicit funding in the Enacted Budget, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDFI INFS

Question 115 examines whether the executive seeks approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and whether it is legally required to do so.

In some countries, the executive has the power in law to adjust funding levels for specific appropriations during the execution of the budget. This question examines rules around shifting funds between administrative units (ministries, departments, or agencies) or whatever funding unit (or "vote") is specified in the Enacted Budget.

The conditions under which the executive may exercise its discretion to shift funds should be clearly defined in publicly available regulations or law. In addition, the amount of funds that the executive is allowed to transfer between administrative units should not be so excessive as to undermine the accountability of the executive to the legislature.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before shifting funds, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to shift funds between administrative units and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. Answer "d" also applies if the executive is authorized to shift an amount considered so excessive as to undermine accountability (roughly equal to 3 percent of total budgeted expenditures). A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the shifting of funds after it has already occurred.

In the comments, please indicate any law or regulation that provides the executive with standing authority to shift funds between administrative units and, if so, describe that authority. Similarly, legislative approval for shifting funds between administrative units typically occurs with the adoption of legislation such as a supplemental budget. But if other formal procedures for gaining approval from the legislature exist, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and in practice the executive shifts funds between administrative units before obtaining approval from the legislature.

Source:

See response to question 107.

https://www.constituteproject.org/constitution/Saudi_Arabia_2005.pdf

Comment:

Based on the OBS methodology Saudi Arabia has no formal legislative body with the mandate to review the Executive's Budget Proposal. While the royal court finance committee is required to consent, it is not formally recognised as a legislative body. Executive holds all the decision making authority. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice.

Comments: It approved by Financial committee at the Royal Court

116. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue (that is, amounts higher than originally anticipated) that may become available during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 116 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if additional revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, which often happens in oil/mineral-dependent countries, and it was not accounted for in the Enacted Budget, there should be a procedure in place to ensure that the legislature approves any proposed use of these "new" funds. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might deliberately underestimate revenue in the budget proposal it submits to the legislature, in order to have additional resources to spend at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before spending any funds resulting from higher-than-expected revenues, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if prior legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to spend excess revenue and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the additional spending after it has already occurred.

Typically, legislative approval of additional spending beyond what was reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur with the adoption of a supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, and in practice the executive spends these funds before obtaining approval from the legislature.

Source:

See Response to Question 107.

Comment

Based on the OBS methodology Saudi Arabia has no formal legislative body and Executive has all the decision making authority. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, and it does so in practice. **Comments:** Yes, approval by Financial committee at the Royal Court.

117. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls (that is, revenues lower than originally anticipated) or other reasons during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 117 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to cutting spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls or for any other reason, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if less revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, the legislature should approve or reject any proposed reductions in expenditures that are implemented as a result. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might substantially change the composition of the budget at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

Typically, legislative approval of proposals to reduce spending below the levels reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur as part of the supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue

shortfalls or for other reasons, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive received legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to obtain legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to implement such cuts and the executive does not obtain such approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the spending cuts after they have already occurred.

Answer:

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels, and in practice the executive implements these spending cuts before seeking prior approval from the legislature.

Source

See Response to Question 107.

Comment:

Based on the OBS methodology Saudi Arabia has no formal legislative body with the mandate to review the Executive's Budget Proposal and Executive has all the decision making authority. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. The executive obtains approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels, but is not required to do so by law or regulation.

Comments: Yes, approval by Financial committee at the Royal Court.

118. Did a committee of the legislature examine the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 118 is about ex post oversight following the implementation of the budget. It probes whether a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. A key issue is how soon after the SAI releases the report does it legislature review it. This question does not apply to the legislative scrutiny of in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period, which is assessed separately. Also, the question is asking specifically about the SAI's annual report on the execution of the budget, not about other audit reports that the SAI may produce. (This is the Audit Report used for responding to Question 98.)

To answer "a," a legislative committee must have examined the annual Audit Report within three months of it being released by the SAI, and then published a report (or reports) with findings and recommendations. (Note that the three-month period should only take into account time when the legislature is in session.)

Answer "b" applies when the committee examines it within six months of it being released (but more than three months), and then published a report with its findings and recommendations. Choose "c" if a committee examined the annual Audit Report more than six months after it became available or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined the annual Audit Report.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed the Audit Report, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation. Answers "a,""b," or "c" may be selected if the Audit Report is produced by the SAI but not made publicly available.

Answer:

d. No, a committee did not examine the Audit Report on the annual budget.

Source:

See Response to Question 107.

Comment:

It is worth noting the Financial Committee of the legislature has the ability to review the Audit Report after it is formally made public, however as noted in question 107, this body does not meet formal legislative requirements. Option d is therefore selected. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget, but it did so after the report had been available for more than six months or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

Comments: The Audit Report on the annual budget is examined, but findings and recommendations are not published. Recently, generous approval was issued for the General Court of Audit to publish audit report made it available to the public within (18) months after the end of the relevant fiscal year.

119. Was the process of appointing (or re-appointing) the current head of the SAI carried out in a way that ensures his or her independence?

GUIDELINES:

Question 119 concerns the appointment process of the current head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). Appointment procedures vary greatly across countries, as well as across different types of SAIs. Moreover, conventions and informal practices can greatly affect the de facto independence of the head of the SAI. While these factors make it difficult to devise a single metric against which all SAIs can be assessed with regard to this particular aspect, this question focuses on whether the legislature or judiciary must appoint or approve the appointment of the head of the SAI as a way to ensure the SAI's independence from the executive. However, if the appointment is carried out in another way that nonetheless ensures the independence of the SAI head, then that approach could be also considered.

To answer "a," the legislature or judiciary must appoint (or re-appoint) the head of the SAI, or approve the recommendation of the executive, as a way that ensure his or her independence from the executive. (As noted above, alternative approaches may also be acceptable.) Choose "b" if the appointment process does not ensure the independence of the head of the SAI, e.g. the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

Irrespective of which answer you selected, provide a description of how the head of the SAI is appointed.

Answer:

b. No, the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

Source:

https://www.gca.gov.sa/PageDetails?id=2

Comment

Despite the recent change to the General Court of Audit to increase its independence, the President of the GCA is still appointed by the executive. As state on the GCA website, the current president of the GCA, H.E. Dr. Alangari, was "appointed by the Royal Decree on May 7, 2016, and his term of service was extended by another Royal Decree on March 23, 2020".

As such, option "b" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be appointed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the appointment takes effect.

Comments: Option "A" is selected because the law of the General Court of Audit has been amended and it has become an independent regulatory body, directly linked to the king. It has a legal personality and financial and administrative independence. Thus, the head of the General Court of Audit is appointed by the king with complete independence from the executive authority.

IBP Comment

Answer choice "B" is confirmed. The legislature or judiciary do not appoint the head of the SAI or give final consent before the appointment by Royal

120. Must a branch of government other than the executive (such as the legislature or the judiciary) give final consent before the head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) can be removed from office?

GUIDFI INFS:

Question 120 covers the manner in which the head or senior members of the SAI may be removed from office. This question draws on best practices identified in the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf), including measures intended to guarantee the office's independence from the executive.

To answer "a," the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the head of the SAI is removed. For example, the legislature or judiciary may give final consent following a certain external process, such as a criminal proceeding. So while the executive may initiate a criminal proceeding, the final consent of a member of the judiciary — or a judge — is necessary to render a verdict of wrongdoing that may lead to the removal from office of the head of the SAI. Answer "b" applies if the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

Answer:

b. No, the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

Source:

https://www.gca.gov.sa/PageDetails?id=1

https://www.gca.gov.sa/PageDetails?id=2

Comment

Similar to appointment of the President of the GCA, removal of the President of the GCA can be done by royal decree. With the King and Council of Ministers representing the executive function in Saudi Arabia, option "b" has been selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before he or she is removed.

Comments: Option "A" is selected because the law of the General Court of Audit has been amended and it has become an independent regulatory body, directly linked to the king. It has a legal personality and financial and administrative independence. Thus, the head of the General Court of Audit is appointed by the king with complete independence from the executive authority.

121. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 121 asks who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). To ensure objective audits of government budgets, another important component of the SAI's independence from the executive is the extent to which the SAI's budget is determined by a body other than the executive, and whether the SAI has adequate resources to fulfill its mandate.

Answer "a" applies if the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate, AND either the SAI determines its own budget and then submits it to the executive (which accepts it with little or no change) or directly to the legislature, or the budget of the SAI is determined directly by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body). Answer "b" applies if the SAI's budget is determined by the executive (absent a recommendation from the SAI), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "c" applies if the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body) determines the SAI's budget, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to

fulfill its mandate. Answer "d" applies if the executive determines the SAI's budget, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Please provide evidence in support of the assessment that the funding level is or is not broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Answer:

b. The budget of the SAI is determined by the executive, and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate

Source:

Researcher's own knowledge.

Comment:

It should be noted that amendments to Article No. (3) Of the GCA issued in 1391 AH, made significant progress in advancing the autonomy of the GCA. However, as with presidential appointments and removal, the budget of the GCA is set by the King, but from discussion with various contacts is known to be consistent with the GCA's needs. As such, option "b" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. The SAI determines its own budget (i.e., submits it to the executive, which accepts it with little or no change, or directly to the legislature), or the budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Comments: The system of the General Court of Audit has been amended and the Bureau has become an independent regulatory body, directly linked to the king, and have legal personality and financial and administrative independence. The head of the General Court of Audit is appointed by the king with complete independence from the executive authority.

122. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) have the discretion in law to undertake those audits it may wish to?

GUIDELINES:

Question 122 explores the scope of the investigative powers of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) as prescribed in law.

Question 97 asks which of the three types of audits — financial, compliance, and performance — the SAI conducts. This question asks if the SAI is constrained by law (rather than by a lack of capacity or an inadequate budget) from undertaking any form of audit or investigating irregularities in any program or activity.

There are numerous examples of limitations. For instance, some SAIs are not permitted by their legal mandate to audit joint ventures or other public-private arrangements. Others are only allowed to undertake financial audits, precluded from conducting performance or value-for-money audits. The SAIs in some countries do not have the legal mandate to review arrangements involving oil or stabilization funds, or other types of special or extra- budgetary funds. The SAI may also not have the ability to audit commercial projects involving the public and private sector.

To answer "a," the SAI must have full discretion in law to decide which audits to undertake. Answer "b" applies if some limitations exist, but the SAI enjoys significant discretion to undertake those audits it wishes to. Answer "c" applies if the SAI has some discretion, but significant legal limitations exist. Answer "d" applies if the SAI has no power at all to choose which audits to undertake

Consulting the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf) may be useful in answering this question as its provisions serve to define the appropriate scope of a SAI's legal mandate and jurisdiction.

Answer:

b. The SAI has significant discretion, but faces some limitations.

Source:

https://www.gca.gov.sa/PageDetails?id=13

Comment:

Similar to appointment of the President of the GCA, removal of the President of the GCA can be done by royal decree. With the King and Council of

Ministers representing the executive function in Saudi Arabia, option "b" has been selected.

The responsibilities and mandate of the General Court of Audit (GCA) in Saudi Arabia encompass a wide range of audit functions, including post-audits on the state's revenues, expenditures, assets, and the verification of compliance with regulations and budgetary provisions. The GCA ensures the efficient utilization of state funds, monitors the implementation of sustainable development goals, audits privatization programs, and carries out field audits and inspections.

However, the list of functions, at least as formally stated on the GCA website, holds some limitations, such as auditing of extra-budgetary funds. If evidence of this can be provided the answer can be revised upwards.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. The SAI has full discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.

Comments: The General Court of Audit has full authority to determine audit methodologies for entities subject to its supervision without restrictions.

123. Are the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) reviewed by an independent agency?

GUIDELINES:

Question 123 assesses whether and to what extent the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) are subject to review by an independent agency. The latter could be a peer SAI, an international organization, an academic institution with relevant expertise, or an independent domestic agency with quality assurance functions in the area of financial reporting.

To answer "a," an independent agency must conduct and publish a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis. Answer "b" applies if a review was carried out within the past five years, and published, but it is not conducted annually, but. Choose answer "c" if the SAI has an internal unit that reviews the audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis, but an independent agency does not conduct such a review. Answer "d" applies if the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

If the answer is either "a" or "b," please specify the name of the independent agency and when last it conducted such a review, and provide a copy of the published report. If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the unit within the SAI that is tasked with conducting such reviews.

Answer:

c. No, but a unit within the SAI conducts a review of the audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis.

Source

http://intosaijournal.org/improving-internal-audit-departments/

https://www.gca.gov.sa/PageDetails?id=19

Comment

While the GCA maintains a strong partnership with ARABOSAI, it does not meet the requirements of a formal independent body with the mandate to review audit processes conducted by the General Court of Audit.

The GCA does however have several internal departments focussed on improving auditing processes including the Saudi Center for Financial and Performance Auditing (SCFPA).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, an independent agency conducts and publishes a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis.

Comments: There is an independent body represented by NAZAHA which is review the audit processes on an annual basis.

124. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:

Question 124 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Many SAIs interact with the legislature in some form, but the nature and intensity of the interaction varies. This question probes this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the SAI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the SAI representative in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). You can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the SAI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; "c" for once or twice, and "d" for never.

Answer:

c. Rarely (i.e., once or twice).

Source:

Researcher's discussion with relevant experts

Comment

It is known that the senior staff within the GCA will testify in front of the Majlis al-Shura. However, no information is available on the exact number of these meetings during the period being evaluated. As such, no score higher than option "c" can be confidently selected.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

a. Frequently (i.e., five times or more).

125. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation of the annual budget (prior to the budget being tabled in parliament)?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See: https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf.

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in the formulation of the annual budget, including annual pre-budget discussions. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as spending and tax policy, funding and revenue levels, and macro-fiscal planning.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Twitter engagement: https://twitter.com/mofksa?lang=en

 $MoF\ Website: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/MediaCenter/Pages/default.aspx$

TV Interviews: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/MediaCenter/Interviews_and_media_reports/Pages/default.aspx

MoF Events: https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/mediacenter/events/Pages/default.aspx

Budget Forum: https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/mediacenter/media/budget-forum-2023/

Information on respective budget allocations for the year is also provided on various Ministry websites:

https://www.mewa.gov.sa/en/Ministry/AboutMinistry/Pages/Budgets.aspx

https://www.moj.gov.sa/English/Ministry/Pages/Budget.aspx

Comment:

Based on the available information, the executive in Saudi Arabia does not sufficiently utilize open participation mechanisms for public input during the formulation of the annual budget, with an emphasis on the importance of public input.

It should be noted that the government's outreach activities, such as social media, websites (MoF and other ministries) panel discussions, and a budget mobile app, are commendable efforts. However, these are primarily focused on disseminating information about the budget rather than actively seeking public opinions to inform budget decisions.

Considering the assessments made above, it is clear that the government's participation mechanisms do not meet the requirements for options "a" or "b," which involve open and inclusive participation. However, given the extent of effort put into engagements, including an annual budget forum through which participants can interact with MoF officials, the option "c" may just be justified.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the executive uses open participation mechanisms through which members of the public and government officials exchange views on the budget.

Comments: On behalf of their excellencies the Minister of Finance (MOF), Mr. Mohammed bin Abdullah Al-Jadaan, and the Chairman of the Steering Committee at the Ministry of Finance, Mr. Abdulaziz bin Saleh Al-Furaih, H.E Al-Furaih explained that the forum comes as an extension of previous meetings aimed at informing government agencies officials of the latest developments in the budget and its preparation journey, to achieve greater efficiency and effectiveness in its preparation, as well as the ability to manage and implement the objectives of government agencies. He stated that the Kingdom's general budget is a cooperative process between the government agencies during planning phase. Several components of the general framework were targeted to develop budget preparation in order to assure the continuous interaction between different agencies and MOF in preparing the annual budget. Moreover, these components were targeted to share needed support for the government agencies and their requirements, to enable them to formulate budget requirements in an appropriate manner, and to reflect on the challenges and lessons that emerged during the preparation process for constant improvement. During the year 2021, we launched the Financial Skills Center to support the development of skills and capabilities in the public financial sector, taking into account the urgent need to bridge the knowledge and skills gaps in order to achieve appropriate harmony in all business paths between service providers such as MOF and their beneficiaries in government agencies. https://www.mof.gov.sa/Financial_Control/mediacenter/News/Pages/News_02.aspx The following links represent relevant evidence on the government efforts and initiatives in using open participation mechanisms through which members of the public and government officials exchange views on the budget. The Communication and Financial Knowledge Center held MoUs with: TADAWEL and Financial Academy, and Community participation in providing workshops to universities. https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/news2262021.aspx https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/news-25032022.aspx https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/news2062021.aspx https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/news1042021.aspx Based on the principles and fundamentals of the strategic work pursued by MOF, the focus was on the necessity of the participation of all concerned parties and relevant persons when developing general policies and strategic plans for all of the Ministry's work, projects and development initiatives in order to develop the financial sector in the Kingdom and improve its services on a continuous and integrated basis. The MOF has developed easy technical mechanisms that helped everyone to communicate their constructive views and fruitful suggestions, which is known as "electronic participation" by opening a number of electronic communication and interaction channels that will consider the views and aspirations of citizens, residents and the private sector. https://eservices.mof.gov.sa/eforms/GeneralForm/index/da60b611-fee6-4b5d-919b-7dcb71c3a71d

Researcher Response

The final answer should remain as "c." The initiatives and mechanisms described, such as forums, the Financial Skills Center, and electronic participation channels, indicate a degree of structured engagement. However, they appear to focus predominantly on agency officials and specific groups rather than the general public, there is no eveidence that these are open to everyone. While these efforts are commendable for improving inter-agency coordination and capacity building, they fall short of the inclusive, interactive public dialogue stipulated for options "a" and "b." The description of existing electronic channels does not clearly demonstrate an open, two-way dialogue with the general public that directly influences the budget formulation process. The use of MoUs and workshops, although valuable, does not necessarily equate to public engagement in policy formulation.

IBP Comment

Many thanks to government reviewer for their comments and highlighting efforts by the government. IBP acknowledges and appreciates the efforts. However, all efforts are geared towards budget literacy, not collecting inputs from citizens that would feed in to the formulation of budget proposal. For cross country consistency, this indicator scored D.

126. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive take concrete steps to include vulnerable and under-represented parts of the population in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on the executive's efforts to seek out the views of members of the public from socially vulnerable groups and/or who are underrepresented in the process.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives of vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

See previous response.

Comment

While in theory vulnerable and under-represented parts of the population are able to interact on topics of the budget e.g. through engagement on twitter or in the budget forum, there is no evidence that the executive takes 'concrete steps' to include these groups.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the executive takes concrete steps to include individuals and/or CSOs representing vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population in the formulation of the annual budget.

Comments: Option "A" is selected as the Ministry of Finance (MoF) is keen to engage the public in the budget formulation. A survey was conducted to collect information related to the budget, and published through MoF main website and social media accounts like x platform. The main reason for the survey is to enhance the transparency in both the annual budget and all the relevant reports of the budget. In addition, MoF has prioritized the process of developing the communication between the government, private sector and all Saudi Arabia's population including "under-represented parts of the population" by considering their inputs and views to improve the mechanism of the budget formulation. Website link: https://eservices.mof.gov.sa/eforms/GeneralForm/index/da60b611-fee6-4b5d-919b-7dcb71c3a71d Twitter link:

https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=7fe80385-1cb40cbc-7fefb472-74fe486813e8-07fcb36f1a863174&q=1&e=71db2991-61c3-4eac-a29d-d49295949844&u=https%3A%2F%2Fx.com%2Fmofksa%2Fstatus%2F1592101224752754689%3Fs%3D48%26t%3Dh4xl2JI7pKefQZkUxgcjDA

127. During the budget formulation stage, which of the following key topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

- 1. Macroeconomic issues
- 2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
- 3. Social spending policies
- 4. Deficit and debt levels
- 5. Public investment projects
- 6. Public services

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the formulation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics — and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget formulation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above — for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

See sources provided in question 125

Comment:

While engagement with the public outlined in previous questions may solicit some feedback, and arguably this could inform future budgeting decisions, there is little evidence that this engagement is significant in the budget formulation process (normally after the publishing of the EB).

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2022/Documents/AgendaEN.pdf Based on the Budget Forum 2022 Agenda for 2022 topics 1 and 6 are discussed.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. The executive's engagement with citizens covers all six topics.

Comments: Option "A" is selected as all the topics were covered. The Ministry of Finance (MoF) has held a number of workshops to engage citizens, represented by the Center for Communication and Financial Knowledge (MOTAMM), which is among the initiatives of MOF, as the following: Strategic objectives of the financial sector system, Risk management and its role in empowering organizations and privatization and its effects on increasing economic efficiency. https://cfkc.gov.sa/Pages/default.aspx https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediacenter/news/Pages/news_30062022.aspx https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/news_30062022.aspx https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/news3052021.aspx https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/

https://eservices.mof.gov.sa/eforms/GeneralForm/index/da60b611-fee6-4b5d-919b-7dcb71c3a71d

128. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See: https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf.

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget. If the executive has designated a central coordinating agency to implement participation mechanisms throughout the national budget process, researchers may consider these mechanisms. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the implementation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenue administration, public service delivery, public investment project implementation, including procurement, and the administration of social transfer schemes.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings, online, deliberative exchanges, procurement complaint mechanisms, and social monitoring and dialogue.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input on the implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include public hearings, surveys, focus groups, report cards, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to provide input on budget implementation, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured, happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", the researcher must have evidence that the government is holding participation mechanisms that have some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples include hotlines, Facebook announcements, one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2022/Documents/AgendaEN.pdf

Comment

On the question of monitoring the implementation of the annual budget, there is little evidence that public engagement is undertaken in this respect. See for example the Agenda for the 2022 Budget Forum.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget implementation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some adhoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Comments: Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget implementation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some Specialized views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions. There are Reports published in the MoF x platform, kindly find the links below: https://www.mof.gov.sa/mediacenter/Interviews_and_media_reports/Pages/default.aspx

129. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive take concrete steps to receive input from vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on national government's efforts to obtain input from members of the public who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes during the implementation of the annual budget.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives from vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the national executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

See previous response.

Comment:

Option "d" was selected in previous response.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the executive takes concrete steps to receive input from individuals from and/or organizations representing vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget.

Comments: Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget implementation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some Specialized views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions. There are Reports published in the MoF x platform, kindly find the links below: https://www.mof.gov.sa/mediacenter/Interviews_and_media_reports/Pages/default.aspx

130. During the implementation of the annual budget, which of the following topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

- 1. Changes in macroeconomic circumstances
- 2. Delivery of public services
- 3. Collection of revenue
- 4. Implementation of social spending
- 5. Changes in deficit and debt levels
- 6. Implementation of public investment projects

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the implementation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics – and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget implementation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above – for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be ONLY the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/budget/2022/Documents/AgendaEN.pdf

Comment

Based on the Budget Forum 2022 Agenda for 2022 topics 1 and 6 are discussed.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. The executive's engagement with citizens covers at least three (but less than six) of the above-mentioned topics.

Comments: Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget implementation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some Specialized views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions and discuses some of the subjects mentioned above. There are Reports published in the MoF x platform, kindly find the links below:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/mediacenter/Interviews_and_media_reports/Pages/default.aspx

131. When the executive engages with the public, does it provide comprehensive prior information on the process of the engagement, so that the public can participate in an informed manner?

Comprehensive information must include at least three of the following elements:

- 1. Purpose
- 2. Scope
- 3. Constraints
- 4. Intended outcomes
- 5. Process and timeline

GUIDELINES:

This question relates to the GIFT principle of "Openness," and addresses whether the executive provides relevant information on the process of the engagement before public participation takes place, in order to help citizens engage effectively. The question addresses whether the "rules of the public engagement" are clearly spelled out, in advance and in detail, so that those members of the public who want to engage know how to do so, in terms of when they can do so, what they are expected to provide input on, by when, to whom, etc. This question does not cover the substance of the engagement, which is covered by questions 127 and 130.

Non-comprehensive information means that the government provides information that includes at least one but less than three of the elements listed above.

Purpose refers to a brief explanation of why the public engagement is being undertaken, including the executive's objectives for its engagement with the public.

Scope refers to what is within the subject matter of the engagement as well as what is outside the subject matter of the engagement. For example, the scope may include how a current policy is administered but exclude the specifics of the policies themselves.

Constraints refers to whether there are there any explicit limitations on the engagement. An example of a constraint could be that any policy changes must not cost (or forgo revenue) more than a specific amount or have no net fiscal cost.

Intended outcomes refers to what the executive hopes to achieve as a result of the engagement. Examples of intended outcomes could be revising a policy to better reflect citizen or service-user views or to improve the way in which a particular program is administered.

Process refers to the methods by which the public engagement will take place and the discrete steps in the process. For example, the process may simply be a one-off Internet-based consultation, with a summary published of public inputs and the official response. The process may involve simultaneous or overlapping steps, and may be conducted in one round or in two or more rounds of engagement.

Timeline refers to the specific dates on which the discrete steps in the process will take place, or during which they will be completed, and clear start and end dates for the overall engagement.

Answer "d" applies if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

See sources in previous responses

Comment:

While comprehensive information (e.g. for a Budget Forum) is provided prior to certain forms of public engagement, this is not the case in advance of budget formulation. As such, option "b" is selected.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

132. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used in the formulation of the annual budget, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used.

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

No such reporting on the use of public inputs is done.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, the executive provides a written record which includes either the list of the inputs received or a report or summary on how they were used. Comments: The Center for Communication and Financial Knowledge, "Mutamam," through its account on the social networking site "Twitter," in cooperation with the "Financial Academy," held a knowledge competition under the title "Mutamam Challenge," as part of its efforts to engage the community interested in financial and economic topics. The competition received wide participation from the followers of the "Mutamam" account, as it addressed the definition of saving tools and methods, as well as clarifying its importance in achieving financial security for the individual. Khaled, Samar Al-Anazi, and Youssef Al-Harbi, a free training course approved by the "Finance Academy". It is worth noting that the "Completed Challenge" competition comes within a series of knowledge competitions and as one of the activities held by the Center for Communication and Financial Knowledge with the aim of enhancing sources of financial knowledge, and enriching financial and economic awareness and culture in its various fields https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/news1172021.aspx

133. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive provide the public with information on how citizens' inputs have been used to assist in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received during the implementation of the annual budget, which ones are take into account to improve budget monitoring, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received (e.g., a written transcript) from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were or were not taken into account by the executive during budget monitoring.

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

No such reporting on the use of public inputs is done.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

134. Are participation mechanisms incorporated into the timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES.

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Sustainability,""Timeliness" and "Complementarity" and addresses whether the executive is able to link participation mechanisms to the administrative processes that are used to create the annual budget.

Please note that "timetable" refers to a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. This document is sometimes referred to as the budget calendar and is the same document referenced in Question 53.

Answer "a" applies if the national executive establishes a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget. For answer choice "a", the timetable must be available to the public prior to the budget preparation process beginning.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not establish a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation or implementation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Comment:

Citizen engagement does not extend to budget formulation but is done after the fact based on the enacted budget.

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the executive incorporates participation into its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal and the timetable is available to the nublic

Comments: In the link below is the budget journey which explain the participation mechanisms and the timeline:

https://www.mof.gov.sa/financialreport/budgetJourney/Pages/Journey.aspx

135. Do one or more line ministries use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation or implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES

While questions 125 – 134 focus only on participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency currently use to allow the public to participate in the national budget process, this question asks about participation mechanisms used by line ministries to allow the public to participate in national budget processes. Thus, participation mechanisms used by the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by a line ministry or if multiple line ministries use participation mechanisms, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation

and/or implementation of the annual budget.

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf

To answer "a," a line ministry must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics. independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which a line ministry seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if a line ministry has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) A line ministry consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the line ministry determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

https://www.mewa.gov.sa/en/Ministry/AboutMinistry/Pages/Budgets.aspx

https://www.moj.gov.sa/English/Ministry/Pages/Budget.aspx

While information on respective budget allocations for the year is also provided on various Ministry websites there is no evidence of public engagement to determine budget allocation.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, at least one line ministry uses participation mechanisms during the budget formulation or implementation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some ad-hoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Comments: The Financial Communication and Knowledge Center "Mutmam" will hold a virtual meeting entitled: "Digital and Cryptocurrencies: Their Future and Impacts", as part of a series of virtual meetings through which the center aims to enrich knowledge in economic aspects. And finance. https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/Nres_06072021.aspx the Center for Communication and Financial Knowledge, in cooperation with the Individual Investors Protection Association, organized a lecture entitled: "The Individual Investors Protection Association...its roles and initiatives," presented by the Association's CEO, Mr. Abdul Razzaq Al-Fifi, via broadcast. Virtual for male and female employees of Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University. https://cfkc.gov.sa/mediaCenter/news/Pages/news-03102021.aspx

136. Does the legislature or the relevant legislative committee(s) hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget (pre-budget and/or approval stages)?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability,""Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) has put in place and is using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the annual budget. This includes deliberations during the pre-budget phase (i.e., when the executive is still in the process of formulating the draft budget) and the budget discussions after the budget has been tabled to parliament and before it is approved. In the comment box, please specify during which stage of the budget cycle the legislature has put in place a public participation mechanism.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual Members of Parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

If there is more than one mechanism, please select a mechanism that best shows/reflects the legislature's efforts to incorporate citizens into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenues, policy selection, and macro-fiscal planning (please note that the issue of coverage is covered in a subsequent question).

To answer "a," the legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs; but
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, but
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)
- The legislature has a provision (via standing orders or in law) through which the public can submit their inputs, and members of the public or CSOs actively use it to submit opinions on the budget.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

See earlier responses on the role of legislature

Comment:

Based on the OBS methodology Saudi Arabia has no formal legislative body. As such, option "d" is selected.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

137. During the legislative deliberations on the annual budget (pre-budget or approval stages), which of the following key topics does the legislature's (or relevant legislative budget committee) engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

- 1. Macroeconomic issues
- 2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
- 3. Social spending policies
- 4. Deficit and debt levels
- 5. Public investment projects
- 6. Public services

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider the range of mechanisms currently used by the legislature to promote public participation during legislative deliberations on the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics, for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the legislature's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source

See response to previous question.

Comment:

See response to previous question.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

138. Does the legislature provide feedback to the public on how citizens' inputs have been used during legislative deliberations on the annual budget?

GUIDELINES

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the legislature provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received and how inputs were used during legislative deliberations (please note that these deliberations can refer to the pre-budget and approval phases). By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the legislature.

Answer "a" applies when the legislature provides a written document with:

- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).

Answer "b" applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used in legislative deliberations on the annual budget (please note that these deliberations refer to the pre-budget and approval phases).

Answer "c" applies when the legislature makes available a video recording of the relevant legislative session or provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

See response to question 136.

Comment:

See response to question 136.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

139. Does the legislature hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the Audit Report?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability,""Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

A key constitutional role of the legislature in almost all countries is to oversee the government's management of public resources. While the Supreme Audit Institution is responsible for checking the government's accounts and publishing the outcome of their audits, for accountability purposes it is essential that the legislature reviews and scrutinizes those reports, and checks on whether the executive is taking the appropriate corrective actions based on the Supreme Audit Institution's recommendations.

Holding public hearings to review audit findings allows the public to learn more about how the government has managed its resources for the budget years that

have ended, and demand accountability in case of mismanagement and irregularities. Reviewing and discussing those reports in public is therefore a key responsibility of a legislature.

Please note that by "Audit Report" we refer to the same audit report assessed in the transparency section of this Survey, i.e., one of the eight key budget documents that all governments (in this case, the Supreme Audit Institution) must produce, according to best practice.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) have put in place and using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the Audit Report.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual members of parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

To answer "a," the national legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public; BUT
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, BUT
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the Audit Report.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

See response to question 136.

Comment:

See response to question 136.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

140. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program (for example, by bringing ideas on agencies, programs, or projects that could be audited)?

GUIDELINES:

This question assesses whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has established mechanisms through which the public can provide suggestions on issues/topics to be included in its audit program. When deciding its audit agenda, the SAI may undertake audits for a sample of agencies, projects, and programs in the country; and such a selection could be based on complaints and suggestions made by members of the public. To receive such suggestions,

the SAI may create formal mechanisms, like setting up a website, hotline, or office (or assigning staff to liaise with the public).

Please note that formal mechanisms that do not explicitly seek the public's input in the audit program (such as general comment submission boxes on the SAI's website) should not be considered for this question.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

https://www.gca.gov.sa/PageDetails?id=32

Comment

The General Court of Audit has communications channels (linked in source). While there is no evidence that this is used for the suggestion of topics in the Audit Report, they could in theory be used for this.

However, per OBS methodology the general communication channels are not seen as sufficient for option "a".

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

141. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used to determine its audit program?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the Supreme Audit Institution provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used to determine the Supreme Audit Institution's audit program. By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the Supreme Audit Institution.

Answer "a" applies when the Supreme Audit Institution provides a written document with:

- The inputs received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).

Answer "b" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used to determine the SAI's annual audit program.

Answer "c" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:

- The received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggests issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

See response to previous question.	
Comment:	
There is no evidence of such reporting.	ı
Peer Reviewer	
Opinion: Agree	
Government Reviewer	
Opinion: Agree	
42. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents	
ritnesses, etc.)?	'
GUIDELINES:	
This question mirrors question 140, but instead of covering public assistance in formulating the SAI's audit program, it focuses on whether the Supreme Au	
nstitution has established mechanisms through which the public can participate in audit investigations. In addition to seeking public input to determine its Budit agenda, the SAI may wish to provide formal opportunities for the public and civil society organizations to participate in the actual audit investigations,	
vitnesses or respondents.	<i>u</i> 3
Answer:	
b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.	
Source:	
N/A	
Comment:	
Audit investigations are considered an internal affair and there is no room for such mechanisms.	ı
Peer Reviewer	
Opinion: Agree	
Government Reviewer	
Opinion: Agree	