

Overview



Transparency:

60 /100

(Open Budget Index score)



Public Participation:

11 /100



Budget Oversight:

59 /100

About the survey

Government budget decisions – what taxes to levy, what services to provide, and how much debt to take on – have important consequences for all people in society. When governments provide information and meaningful channels for the public to engage in these decisions, we can better ensure public money is spent on public interests.

The Open Budget Survey (OBS) is the world’s only independent, comparative and fact-based research instrument that uses internationally accepted criteria to assess public access to central government budget information; formal opportunities for the public to participate in the national budget process; and the role of budget oversight institutions, such as legislatures and national audit offices, in the budget process.

The survey helps local civil society assess and confer with their government on the reporting and use of public funds. This 9th edition of the OBS covers 125 countries.


Visit www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey for more information, including the full OBS methodology, the 2023 Global Report, findings for all surveyed countries, and the Data Explorer.

Transparency


This part of the OBS measures public access to information on how the central government raises and spends public resources. It assesses the **online availability, timeliness, and comprehensiveness** of eight key budget documents using 109 equally weighted indicators and scores each country on a scale of 0 to 100. **A transparency score of 61 or above indicates a country is likely publishing enough material to support informed public debate on the budget.**

Armenia has a transparency score of **60** (out of 100).

Transparency in Armenia compared to others



How has the transparency score for Armenia changed over time?



Public availability of budget documents in Armenia

KEY	
●	Available to the Public
●	Published Late, or Not Published Online, or Produced for Internal Use Only
⊘	Not Produced

Document	2021	2023
Pre-Budget Statement	●	●
Executive's Budget Proposal	●	●
Enacted Budget	●	●
Citizens Budget	●	●
In-Year Reports	●	●
Mid-Year Review	⊘	⊘
Year-End Report	●	●
Audit Report	●	●

How comprehensive is the content of the key budget documents that Armenia makes available to the public?

KEY	
●	61-100 / 100
●	41-60 / 100
●	1-40 / 100

Key budget document	Document purpose and contents	Fiscal year assessed	Document content score
Pre-Budget Statement	Discloses the broad parameters of fiscal policies in advance of the Executive's Budget Proposal; outlines the government's economic forecast, anticipated revenue, expenditures, and debt.	2023	100
Executive's Budget Proposal	Submitted by the executive to the legislature for approval; details the sources of revenue, the allocations to ministries, proposed policy changes, and other information important for understanding the country's fiscal situation.	2023	57
Enacted Budget	The budget that has been approved by the legislature.	2023	89
Citizens Budget	A simpler and less technical version of the government's Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, designed to convey key information to the public.	2022	33
In-Year Reports	Include information on actual revenues collected, actual expenditures made, and debt incurred at different intervals; issued quarterly or monthly.	2022	85
Mid-Year Review	A comprehensive update on the implementation of the budget as of the middle of the fiscal year; includes a review of economic assumptions and an updated forecast of budget outcomes.	2022	Not Produced
Year-End Report	Describes the situation of the government's accounts at the end of the fiscal year and, ideally, an evaluation of the progress made toward achieving the budget's policy goals.	2021	74
Audit Report	Issued by the supreme audit institution, this document examines the soundness and completeness of the government's year-end accounts.	2021	57

Armenia's transparency score of **60** in the OBS 2023 is near its score in 2021.

What changed in OBS 2023?

Armenia has increased the availability of budget information by:

- Publishing the Citizens Budget online in a timely manner.

Recommendations

Armenia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:


- Produce and publish the Mid-Year Review online in a timely manner.
- Include in the Executive's Budget Proposal data on the financial position of the government and information on performance and policy.
- Include in the Executive's Budget Proposal a disaggregation presentation of revenues not just by law, but by GFS classification.
- Improve the comprehensiveness of the Citizen's Budget by publishing contact information for follow-up by citizens and establishing a formal mechanism to identify the public's requirements for budget information in the Citizen's Budget.

Public Participation

The OBS assesses the formal opportunities offered to the public for meaningful participation in the different stages of the budget process. It examines the practices of the central government’s executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution (SAI) using 18 equally weighted indicators, aligned with the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency’s [Principles of Public Participation in Fiscal Policies](#) , and scores each country on a scale from 0 to 100.


Armenia has a public participation score of **11** (out of 100).

Public participation in Armenia compared to others



For more information, see [here](#) for innovative public participation practices around the world.

Extent of opportunities for public participation in the budget process



Recommendations

Armenia's Ministry of Finance has established public consultations during budget formulation but, to further strengthen public participation in the budget process, should also prioritize the following actions:

- Pilot mechanisms to monitor budget implementation.
- Expand mechanisms during budget formulation to engage any civil society organization or member of the public who wishes to participate.
- Actively engage with vulnerable and underrepresented communities, directly or through civil society organizations representing them.
- Upload the Executive's Budget Proposal (EBP) onto the e-draft platform a minimum of 3-4 weeks prior to sending it to the National Assembly. This approach ensures a more meaningful utilization of the platform and provides a better opportunity to collect comments and integrate public input effectively.
- Publish a report on how citizens' inputs were used in the formulation of the budget.

Armenia's legislature, the National Assembly, should prioritize the following actions:

- Allow members of the public or civil society organizations to testify during its hearings on the budget proposal prior to its approval.
- Allow members of the public or civil society organizations to testify during its hearings on the Audit Report.
- Allow members of the public or civil society organizations to testify during its hearings on the Year-End Report.

Armenia's Supreme Audit Institution, the Audit Chamber of the Republic of Armenia, should prioritize the following actions to improve public participation in the budget process:


- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist in developing its audit program and to contribute to relevant audit investigations.

Budget Oversight


The OBS examines the role that legislatures and supreme audit institutions (SAIs) play in the budget process and the extent to which they provide oversight; each country is scored on a scale from 0 to 100 based on 18 equally weighted indicators. In addition, the survey collects supplementary information on independent fiscal institutions (see Box).

The legislature and supreme audit institution in Armenia, together, provide limited oversight during the budget process, with a composite oversight score of **59** (out of 100). Taken individually, the extent of each institution's oversight is shown below:

Legislative oversight



Audit oversight



KEY ● 0-40: Few ● 41-60: Limited ● 61-100: Adequate

Recommendations

Armenia's National Assembly provides adequate oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and weak oversight during the implementation stage. To improve oversight, the following actions should be prioritized:

- Legislative committees should produce and publish their findings after reviewing the Executive Budget Proposal, the In-Year Reports, the Year-End Report, and the Audit Report.
- In practice, ensure that the legislature is consulted before the executive makes any shifts in funds specified in the Enacted Budget between administrative units, spends unanticipated revenue, or reduces spending due to revenue shortfalls during the budget year by sending justification for such shifts to the relevant legislative committee for approval.

To strengthen independence and improve audit oversight by the Audit Chamber of the Republic of Armenia, the following actions are recommended:

- Ensure the Audit Chamber has adequate funding to perform its duties.
- Ensure audit processes are reviewed by an independent agency.

The emerging practice of establishing independent fiscal institutions

Armenia does not have an independent fiscal institution (IFI). IFIs are increasingly recognized as valuable independent and nonpartisan information providers to the Executive and/or Parliament during the budget process.

**These indicators are *not* scored in the Open Budget Survey.*

Methodology

- Only documents published and events, activities, or developments that took place through 31 December 2022 were assessed in the OBS 2023.
- The survey is based on a questionnaire completed in each country by an independent budget expert:
Varuzhan Hochtanyan
Transparency International Anti-corruption Center NGO
12 Saryan street, 5th floor, Yerevan 0002 Armenia
varuzh@transparency.am, info@transparency.am
- To further strengthen the research, each country's draft questionnaire is also reviewed by an anonymous independent expert, and in Armenia by a representative of the Ministry of Finance.