


Chile

Overview



Transparency: 60 /100 (Open Budget Index score)



Public Participation:

11 /100



Budget Oversight:



About the survey

Government budget decisions – what taxes to levy, what services to provide, and how much debt to take on – have important consequences for all people in society. When governments provide information and meaningful channels for the public to engage in these decisions, we can better ensure public money is spent on public interests.

The Open Budget Survey (OBS) is the world's only independent, comparative and fact-based research instrument that uses internationally accepted criteria to assess public access to central government budget information; formal opportunities for the public to participate in the national budget process; and the role of budget oversight institutions, such as legislatures and national audit offices, in the budget process.

The survey helps local civil society assess and confer with their government on the reporting and use of public funds. This 9th edition of the OBS covers 125 countries.


Visit <u>www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey</u> for more information, including the full OBS methodology, the 2023 Global Report, findings for all surveyed countries, and the Data Explorer.



Transparency

This part of the OBS measures public access to information on how the central government raises and spends public resources. It assesses the **online availability, timeliness, and comprehensiveness** of eight key budget documents using 109 equally weighted indicators and scores each country on a scale of 0 to 100. A transparency score of 61 or above indicates a country is likely publishing enough material to support informed public debate on the budget.

Chile has a transparency score of 60 (out of 100).



Transparency in Chile compared to others




Chile's ranking:

40 of 125 countries




How has the transparency score for Chile changed over time?



Public availability of budget documents in Chile

Document	2012	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023
Pre-Budget Statement					٠	٠
Executive's Budget Proposal	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠
Enacted Budget	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠
Citizens Budget			٠	٠	٠	٠
In-Year Reports		٠	٠	٠	٠	٠
Mid-Year Review	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠
Year-End Report	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠
Audit Report			٠	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc





How comprehensive is the content of the key budget documents that Chile makes available to the public?

Key budget document	Document purpose and contents	Fiscal year assessed	Docume content score
Pre-Budget Statement	Discloses the broad parameters of fiscal policies in advance of the Executive's Budget Proposal; outlines the government's economic forecast, anticipated revenue, expenditures, and debt.	2023	83
Executive's Budget Proposal	Submitted by the executive to the legislature for approval; details the sources of revenue, the allocations to ministries, proposed policy changes, and other information important for understanding the country's fiscal situation.	2023	54
Enacted Budget	The budget that has been approved by the legislature.	2023	89
Citizens Budget	A simpler and less technical version of the government's Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, designed to convey key information to the public.	2023	33
In-Year Reports	Include information on actual revenues collected, actual expenditures made, and debt incurred at different intervals; issued quarterly or monthly.	2022	96
Mid-Year Review	A comprehensive update on the implementation of the budget as of the middle of the fiscal year; includes a review of economic assumptions and an updated forecast of budget outcomes.	2022	74
Year-End Report	Describes the situation of the government's accounts at the end of the fiscal year and, ideally, an evaluation of the progress made toward achieving the budget's policy goals.	2021	62
Audit Report	Issued by the supreme audit institution, this document examines the soundness and completeness of the government's year-end accounts.	2020	Not Produced

Chile's transparency score of **60** in the OBS 2023 is largely the same as its score in 2021.

Recommendations

Chile should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:



KEY

61-100 / 100

41-60 / 100

1-40 / 100

- Produce and publish an Audit Report online and in a timely manner. This document should evaluate the completeness and accuracy of the government's final accounts, and whether the government's financial management and accounting adheres to existing laws and procedures.
- Include in the Executive's Budget Proposal a functional classification, data on the financial position of the government, information related to composition of total debt outstanding and additional data on the macroeconomic forecast, including how different macroeconomic assumptions impact the budget.
- Improve the comprehensiveness of the Citizens Budget by including information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based and expand on the participatory nature of the document, for example, by identifying the public's budget information needs before publishing the document.



Public Participation

The OBS assesses the formal opportunities offered to the public for meaningful participation in the different stages of the budget process. It examines the practices of the central government's executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution (SAI) using 18 equally weighted indicators, aligned with the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency's <u>Principles of Public Participation in</u> Fiscal Policies , and scores each country on a scale from 0 to 100.

Chile has a public participation score of 11 (out of 100).

Public participation in Chile compared to others

Global Average				15
OECD Average				24
Peru				35
Colombia				20
Ecuador				20
Argentina				17
Brazil				17
Bolivia				15
Chile				11
Paraguay				9
Venezuela				0
0	Insufficient	e	S1 Suff	icient 100

For more information, see <u>here</u> for innovative public participation practices around the world.



Extent of opportunities for public participation in the budget process



Recommendations

To further strengthen public participation in the budget process, Chile's Ministerio de Hacienda should prioritize the following actions:

- Pilot mechanisms to engage the public during budget formulation and restore previous good practices for mechanisms to monitor budget implementation.
- Actively engage with vulnerable and underrepresented communities, directly or through civil society organizations representing them.

Chile's Congreso Nacional has established public hearings related to the approval of the annual budget, but should also prioritize the following actions:

- Allow any member of the public or any civil society organization to testify during its hearings on the budget proposal prior to its approval.
- Allow members of the public or civil society organizations to testify during its hearings on the Audit Report.

Chile's Contraloría General de la República has established mechanisms for the public to assist in developing its audit program. It should prioritize the following actions to improve public participation in the budget process:


• Establish formal mechanisms for the public to contribute in the audit process by providing avenues for the public to offer relevant information, insights, or evidence for ongoing audit investigations.



Budget Oversight

The OBS examines the role that legislatures and supreme audit institutions (SAIs) play in the budget process and the extent to which they provide oversight; each country is scored on a scale from 0 to 100 based on 18 equally weighted indicators. In addition, the survey collects supplementary information on independent fiscal institutions (see Box).

The legislature and supreme audit institution in Chile, together, provide limited oversight during the budget process, with a composite oversight score of **56** (out of 100). Taken individually, the extent of each institution's oversight is shown below:



Recommendations

Chile's Congreso Nacional provides adequate oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and weak oversight during the implementation stage. To improve oversight, the following actions should be prioritized:

- The legislature should debate budget policy before the Executive's Budget Proposal is tabled and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.
- A legislative committee should examine in-year budget implementation and publish reports with their findings online.
- In practice, ensure the legislature is consulted before the executive shifts funds specified in the Enacted Budget between administrative units; spends any unanticipated revenue; or reduces spending due to revenue shortfalls during the budget year.



• Provided that it is produced and published, a legislative committee should examine the Audit Report and publish a report with their findings online.

To strengthen independence and improve audit oversight by the Chile Contraloría General de la República, the following actions are recommended:

- Ensure the supreme audit institution has adequate funding to perform its duties, as determined by an independent body (e.g., the legislature or judiciary).
- Ensure audit processes are reviewed by an independent agency.

The emerging practice of establishing independent fiscal institutions

Chile's independent fiscal institution (IFI) is the Consejo Fiscal Autónomo. Its independence is set in law, and it reports to the executive. It publishes an assessment of the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts produced by the executive.

The indicators on IFIs are not scored in the Open Budget Survey.



Methodology

- Only documents published and events, activities, or developments that took place through 31 December 2022 were assessed in the OBS 2023.
- The survey is based on a questionnaire completed in each country by an independent budget expert:
 Orlando Rojas and Manuel Henríquez
 Observatorio del Gasto Fiscal de Chile
 Don Carlos 2986 piso 2, oficina 3, Las Condes, Santiago
 orlando@observatoriofiscal.cl; manuel@observatoriofiscal.cl
- To further strengthen the research, each country's draft questionnaire is also reviewed by an anonymous independent expert, and in Chile by a representative of the Ministerio de Hacienda.

