


### Russia

#### Overview



#### **Transparency:**

66/100

(Open Budget Index score)



# Public Participation:

33/100



#### **Budget Oversight:**

81/100

## About the survey

Government budget decisions – what taxes to levy, what services to provide, and how much debt to take on – have important consequences for all people in society. When governments provide information and meaningful channels for the public to engage in these decisions, we can better ensure public money is spent on public interests.

The Open Budget Survey (OBS) is the world's only independent, comparative and fact-based research instrument that uses internationally accepted criteria to assess public access to central government budget information; formal opportunities for the public to participate in the national budget process; and the role of budget oversight institutions, such as legislatures and national audit offices, in the budget process.

The survey helps local civil society assess and confer with their government on the reporting and use of public funds. This 9th edition of the OBS covers 125 countries.

Visit <a href="www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey">www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey</a> for more information, including the full OBS methodology, the 2023 Global Report, findings for all surveyed countries, and the Data Explorer.




## **Transparency**

This part of the OBS measures public access to information on how the central government raises and spends public resources. It assesses the **online availability, timeliness, and comprehensiveness** of eight key budget documents using 109 equally weighted indicators and scores each country on a scale of 0 to 100. **A transparency score of 61 or above indicates a country is likely publishing enough material to support informed public debate on the budget.** 

Russia has a transparency score of 66 (out of 100).


### Transparency in Russia compared to others








# How has the transparency score for Russia changed over time?



# Public availability of budget documents in Russia



Document	2012	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023
Pre-Budget Statement						
Executive's Budget Proposal	•	•	•	•	•	•
Enacted Budget	•	•	•	•	•	•
Citizens Budget	$\Diamond$					
In-Year Reports		•	•	•	•	
Mid-Year Review		•	•	•	•	$\Diamond$
Year-End Report		•	•		•	•
Audit Report	•	•	•		•	•



### How comprehensive is the content of the key budget documents that Russia makes available to the public?

KEY
<b>61-100 / 100</b>
<u>41-60 / 100</u>
<b>1</b> -40 / 100

Key budget document	Document purpose and contents	Fiscal year assessed	Document content score
Pre-Budget Statement	Discloses the broad parameters of fiscal policies in advance of the Executive's Budget Proposal; outlines the government's economic forecast, anticipated revenue, expenditures, and debt.	2023	Published Late
Executive's Budget Proposal	Submitted by the executive to the legislature for approval; details the sources of revenue, the allocations to ministries, proposed policy changes, and other information important for understanding the country's fiscal situation.	2023	84
Enacted Budget	The budget that has been approved by the legislature.	2023	61
Citizens Budget	A simpler and less technical version of the government's Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, designed to convey key information to the public.	2023	100
In-Year Reports	Include information on actual revenues collected, actual expenditures made, and debt incurred at different intervals; issued quarterly or monthly.	2022	Published Late
Mid-Year Review	A comprehensive update on the implementation of the budget as of the middle of the fiscal year; includes a review of economic assumptions and an updated forecast of budget outcomes.	2022	Not Produced
Year-End Report	Describes the situation of the government's accounts at the end of the fiscal year and, ideally, an evaluation of the progress made toward achieving the budget's policy goals.	2021	91
Audit Report	Issued by the supreme audit institution, this document examines the soundness and completeness of the government's year-end accounts.	2021	90

Russia's transparency score of **66** in the OBS 2023 is moderately lower than its score in 2021.

### What changed in OBS 2023?

Russia has decreased the availability of budget information by:



- Failing to publish the In-Year Reports online in a timely manner.
- · Failing to produce the Mid-Year Review.

### Recommendations

Russia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish the Pre-Budget Statement and In-Year Reports online in a timely manner.
- Produce and publish the Mid-Year Review online in a timely manner.
- Strengthen mechanisms for monitoring compliance with budget transparency standards and hold accountable those responsible for delays or deficiencies in publishing budget documents.




## **Public Participation**

The OBS assesses the formal opportunities offered to the public for meaningful participation in the different stages of the budget process. It examines the practices of the central government's executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution (SAI) using 18 equally weighted indicators, aligned with the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency's <a href="Principles of Public Participation in Fiscal Policies">Principles of Public Participation in Fiscal Policies</a>, and scores each country on a scale from 0 to 100.

Russia has a public participation score of 33 (out of 100).

# Public participation in Russia compared to others



For more information, see <a href="here">here</a> for innovative public participation practices around the world.



# Extent of opportunities for public participation in the budget process



#### Recommendations

Russia's Ministry of Finance has established a council during budget formulation but, to further strengthen public participation in the budget process, should also prioritize the following actions:

- Pilot mechanisms to monitor budget implementation.
- Expand mechanisms during budget formulation to engage any civil society organization or member of the public who wishes to participate.
- Establish feedback mechanisms to ensure that inputs provided by the public during budget consultations are carefully considered and responded to by relevant government authorities.
- Actively engage with vulnerable and underrepresented communities, directly
  or through civil society organizations representing them.

Russia's Federal Assembly has established public hearings related to the approval of the annual budget, but should also prioritize the following actions:


- Allow any member of the public or any civil society organization to testify during its hearings on the budget proposal prior to its approval.
- Allow members of the public or civil society organizations to testify during its hearings on the Audit Report.



## **Budget Oversight**

The OBS examines the role that legislatures and supreme audit institutions (SAIs) play in the budget process and the extent to which they provide oversight; each country is scored on a scale from 0 to 100 based on 18 equally weighted indicators. In addition, the survey collects supplementary information on independent fiscal institutions (see Box).

The legislature and supreme audit institution in Russia, together, provide adequate oversight during the budget process, with a composite oversight score of **81** (out of 100). Taken individually, the extent of each institution's oversight is shown below:



#### Recommendations

Russia's Federal Assembly provides adequate oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and adequate oversight during the implementation stage. To further improve oversight, the following actions should be prioritized:

- The legislature should debate budget policy before the Executive's Budget Proposal is tabled and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.
- A legislative committee should examine in-year budget implementation and publish reports with their findings online.

To strengthen independence and improve audit oversight by the Russia Accounts Chamber, the following actions are recommended:

Ensure audit processes are reviewed by an independent agency.



# The emerging practice of establishing independent fiscal institutions

Russia does not have an independent fiscal institution (IFI). IFIs are increasingly recognized as valuable independent and nonpartisan information providers to the Executive and/or Parliament during the budget process.

\*These indicators are \*not\* scored in the Open Budget Survey.



## Methodology

- Only documents published and events, activities, or developments that took place through 31 December 2022 were assessed in the OBS 2023.
- The survey is based on a questionnaire completed in each country by an independent budget expert:

Tatiana Vinogradova

Consultant

t9095115@yandex.ru

• To further strengthen the research, each country's draft questionnaire is also reviewed by an anonymous independent expert, and in Russia by a representative of the Ministry of Finance.

