

Overview



Transparency:


85 /100

(Open Budget Index score)



Public Participation:

18 /100



Budget Oversight:

82 /100

About the survey

Government budget decisions – what taxes to levy, what services to provide, and how much debt to take on – have important consequences for all people in society. When governments provide information and meaningful channels for the public to engage in these decisions, we can better ensure public money is spent on public interests.

The Open Budget Survey (OBS) is the world’s only independent, comparative and fact-based research instrument that uses internationally accepted criteria to assess public access to central government budget information; formal opportunities for the public to participate in the national budget process; and the role of budget oversight institutions, such as legislatures and national audit offices, in the budget process.

The survey helps local civil society assess and confer with their government on the reporting and use of public funds. This 9th edition of the OBS covers 125 countries.



Visit www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey for more information, including the full OBS methodology, the 2023 Global Report, findings for all surveyed countries, and the Data Explorer.

Transparency


This part of the OBS measures public access to information on how the central government raises and spends public resources. It assesses the **online availability, timeliness, and comprehensiveness** of eight key budget documents using 109 equally weighted indicators and scores each country on a scale of 0 to 100. **A transparency score of 61 or above indicates a country is likely publishing enough material to support informed public debate on the budget.**

Sweden has a transparency score of **85** (out of 100).

Transparency in Sweden compared to others



How has the transparency score for Sweden changed over time?



Public availability of budget documents in Sweden

KEY	
●	Available to the Public
●	Published Late, or Not Published Online, or Produced for Internal Use Only
⊘	Not Produced

Document	2012	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023
Pre-Budget Statement	●	●	●	●	●	●
Executive's Budget Proposal	●	●	●	●	●	●
Enacted Budget	●	●	●	●	●	●
Citizens Budget	●	●	●	●	●	●
In-Year Reports	●	●	●	●	●	●
Mid-Year Review	⊘	●	●	●	●	●
Year-End Report	●	●	●	●	●	●
Audit Report	●	●	●	●	●	●

How comprehensive is the content of the key budget documents that Sweden makes available to the public?

KEY	
●	61-100 / 100
●	41-60 / 100
●	1-40 / 100

Key budget document	Document purpose and contents	Fiscal year assessed	Document content score
Pre-Budget Statement	Discloses the broad parameters of fiscal policies in advance of the Executive's Budget Proposal; outlines the government's economic forecast, anticipated revenue, expenditures, and debt.	2023	100
Executive's Budget Proposal	Submitted by the executive to the legislature for approval; details the sources of revenue, the allocations to ministries, proposed policy changes, and other information important for understanding the country's fiscal situation.	2023	82
Enacted Budget	The budget that has been approved by the legislature.	2023	95
Citizens Budget	A simpler and less technical version of the government's Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, designed to convey key information to the public.	2023	75
In-Year Reports	Include information on actual revenues collected, actual expenditures made, and debt incurred at different intervals; issued quarterly or monthly.	2022	96
Mid-Year Review	A comprehensive update on the implementation of the budget as of the middle of the fiscal year; includes a review of economic assumptions and an updated forecast of budget outcomes.	2022	89
Year-End Report	Describes the situation of the government's accounts at the end of the fiscal year and, ideally, an evaluation of the progress made toward achieving the budget's policy goals.	2021	71
Audit Report	Issued by the supreme audit institution, this document examines the soundness and completeness of the government's year-end accounts.	2021	95

Sweden's transparency score of **85** in the OBS 2023 is largely the same as its score in 2021.

Recommendations

Sweden should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:


- Improve the information provided in the Executive's Budget Proposal by increasing information on expenditure estimates (by economic and functional classification), and by providing performance information on results and outcomes.
- Increase the information provided in the Year-End Report by including comparisons between borrowing estimates and actual outcomes, and comparisons between planned nonfinancial outcomes and actual outcomes.

Public Participation

The OBS assesses the formal opportunities offered to the public for meaningful participation in the different stages of the budget process. It examines the practices of the central government’s executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution (SAI) using 18 equally weighted indicators, aligned with the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency’s [Principles of Public Participation in Fiscal Policies](#), and scores each country on a scale from 0 to 100.


Sweden has a public participation score of **18** (out of 100).

Public participation in Sweden compared to others



For more information, see [here](#) for innovative public participation practices around the world.

Extent of opportunities for public participation in the budget process



Recommendations

Sweden's Ministry of Finance (Finansdepartementet) has established pre-budget submissions during budget formulation but, to further strengthen public participation in the budget process, should also prioritize the following actions:

- Pilot mechanisms to monitor budget implementation.
- Enhance mechanisms during budget formulation to involve any civil society organization or individual interested in participating. Consider extending subnational budget participation initiatives to influence a greater portion of national budget decisions, or explore piloting methods to link subnational public participation with the central government's national budget processes, such as through consultations, forums, a public council, or a centralized portal.
- Actively engage with vulnerable and underrepresented communities, directly or through civil society organizations representing them.
- Diversify the effectiveness of existing mechanisms by incorporating line ministries into the process.

Sweden's Riksdag has established public hearings related to the approval of the annual budget, but should also prioritize the following actions:

- Allow any member of the public or any civil society organization to testify during its hearings on the budget proposal prior to its approval.
- Allow members of the public or civil society organizations to testify during its hearings on the Audit Report.

Sweden's Office of the Auditor General, the Riksrevisionen, has established mechanisms to contribute to relevant audit investigations. It should prioritize the following actions to improve public participation in the budget process:


- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist in developing its audit program.

Budget Oversight


The OBS examines the role that legislatures and supreme audit institutions (SAIs) play in the budget process and the extent to which they provide oversight; each country is scored on a scale from 0 to 100 based on 18 equally weighted indicators. In addition, the survey collects supplementary information on independent fiscal institutions (see Box).

The legislature and supreme audit institution in Sweden, together, provide adequate oversight during the budget process, with a composite oversight score of **82** (out of 100). Taken individually, the extent of each institution's oversight is shown below:

Legislative oversight



Audit oversight



KEY ● 0-40: Few ● 41-60: Limited ● 61-100: Adequate

Recommendations

Sweden's Riksdag provides adequate oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and adequate oversight during the implementation stage. To further improve oversight, the following actions should be prioritized:

- The Executive's Budget Proposal should be submitted to legislators at least two months before the start of the budget year.
- In practice, ensure the legislature is consulted before the executive reduces spending due to revenue shortfalls.

To strengthen the independence and improve audit oversight by the Riksrevisionen, Sweden's Office of the Auditor General, the following actions are recommended:

The emerging practice of establishing independent fiscal institutions

Sweden's independent fiscal institution (IFI) is the Swedish Fiscal Policy Council (Finanspolitiska rådet). Its independence is not set in law, and it reports to the Regeringen (the executive). It publishes an assessment of the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts produced by the Regeringen.

The indicators on IFIs are not scored in the Open Budget Survey.

Methodology

- Only documents published and events, activities, or developments that took place through 31 December 2022 were assessed in the OBS 2023.
- The survey is based on a questionnaire completed in each country by an independent budget expert:
Anna Schnell
Melander Schnell Consultants
- To further strengthen the research, each country's draft questionnaire is also reviewed by an anonymous independent expert, and in Sweden by a representative of the Ministry of Finance (Finansdepartementet).