


Tanzania

Overview



Transparency:

41 /100

(Open Budget Index score)



Public Participation:

13/100



Budget Oversight:

43/100

About the survey

Government budget decisions – what taxes to levy, what services to provide, and how much debt to take on – have important consequences for all people in society. When governments provide information and meaningful channels for the public to engage in these decisions, we can better ensure public money is spent on public interests.

The Open Budget Survey (OBS) is the world's only independent, comparative and fact-based research instrument that uses internationally accepted criteria to assess public access to central government budget information; formal opportunities for the public to participate in the national budget process; and the role of budget oversight institutions, such as legislatures and national audit offices, in the budget process.

The survey helps local civil society assess and confer with their government on the reporting and use of public funds. This 9th edition of the OBS covers 125 countries.

Visit <u>www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey</u> for more information, including the full OBS methodology, the 2023 Global Report, findings for all surveyed countries, and the Data Explorer.





Transparency

This part of the OBS measures public access to information on how the central government raises and spends public resources. It assesses the **online** availability, timeliness, and comprehensiveness of eight key budget documents using 109 equally weighted indicators and scores each country on a scale of 0 to 100. A transparency score of 61 or above indicates a country is likely publishing enough material to support informed public debate on the budget.

Tanzania has a transparency score of 41 (out of 100).


Transparency in Tanzania compared to others








How has the transparency score for Tanzania changed over time?



Public availability of budget documents in Tanzania



Document	2012	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023
Pre-Budget Statement	•		•		•	
Executive's Budget Proposal	•	•				•
Enacted Budget	•	•	•	•	•	
Citizens Budget	•			•		
In-Year Reports	•					
Mid-Year Review	\Diamond	\Diamond	\Diamond	\Diamond	\Diamond	\Diamond
Year-End Report	0	\Diamond	\Diamond	\Diamond	\Diamond	0
Audit Report	•	•	•	•	•	•



How comprehensive is the content of the key budget documents that Tanzania makes available to the public?

KEY
61-100 / 100
<pre>41-60 / 100</pre>
1 -40 / 100

Key budget document	Document purpose and contents	Fiscal year assessed	Document content score
Pre-Budget Statement	Discloses the broad parameters of fiscal policies in advance of the Executive's Budget Proposal; outlines the government's economic forecast, anticipated revenue, expenditures, and debt.	2023- 2024	89
Executive's Budget Proposal	Submitted by the executive to the legislature for approval; details the sources of revenue, the allocations to ministries, proposed policy changes, and other information important for understanding the country's fiscal situation.	2022-23	46
Enacted Budget	The budget that has been approved by the legislature.	2022-23	Published Late
Citizens Budget	A simpler and less technical version of the government's Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, designed to convey key information to the public.	2022-23	75
In-Year Reports	Include information on actual revenues collected, actual expenditures made, and debt incurred at different intervals; issued quarterly or monthly.	2021-22	63
Mid-Year Review	A comprehensive update on the implementation of the budget as of the middle of the fiscal year; includes a review of economic assumptions and an updated forecast of budget outcomes.	2021-22	Not Produced
Year-End Report	Describes the situation of the government's accounts at the end of the fiscal year and, ideally, an evaluation of the progress made toward achieving the budget's policy goals.	2021-22	Not Produced
Audit Report	Issued by the supreme audit institution, this document examines the soundness and completeness of the government's year-end accounts.	2020-21	81

Tanzania's transparency score of **41** in the OBS 2023 is substantially higher than its score in 2021.

What changed in OBS 2023?

Tanzania has increased the availability of budget information by:



 Publishing the Executive's Budget Proposal online and before the legislature enacts it.

However, Tanzania has decreased the availability of budget information by:

· Failing to publish the Enacted Budget online in a timely manner.

Recommendations

Tanzania should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Restore the practice of Publishing the Enacted Budget online in a timely manner.
- Produce and publish the Mid-Year Review online in a timely manner. This
 document should assess the state of the economy relative to the initial
 macroeconomic forecast and update the economic projections for the
 remainder of the year. The MYR should also provide updated estimates of
 expenditure, revenue, and debt, reflecting the impact of actual experience to
 date and revised projections for the full fiscal year.
- Include in the Executive's Budget Proposal multi-year expenditure and
 revenue information, data on the composition of total debt outstanding, data
 on financial and non-financial assets held by the government for at least the
 budget year. Additionally, the document should present information related to
 contingent and future liabilities, and alternative displays of expenditures to
 illustrate the financial impact of budget policies on different groups of citizens.
- Produce and publish the Year-End Report online in a timely manner. This
 document should include consolidated information and cover all of the major
 items included in the budget, explaining differences between the original
 estimates (as amended by the legislature during the year) and the actual
 outcomes for expenditure, revenue, debt, and macroeconomic assumptions.



Public Participation

The OBS assesses the formal opportunities offered to the public for meaningful participation in the different stages of the budget process. It examines the practices of the central government's executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution (SAI) using 18 equally weighted indicators, aligned with the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency's Principles of Public Participation in Fiscal Policies, and scores each country on a scale from 0 to 100.

Tanzania has a public participation score of 13 (out of 100).

Public participation in Tanzania compared to others

Global Average					15
Kenya					31
Rwanda					16
Uganda					15
Tanzania					13
Madagascar					9
South Sudan					9
Comoros					2
Burundi					0
Somalia					0
0	Insufficient	6	1	Sufficient	100

For more information, see here for innovative public participation practices around the world.



Extent of opportunities for public participation in the budget process



Recommendations

Tanzania's Ministry of Finance and Planning has established pre-budget submissions during budget formulation but, to further strengthen public participation in the budget process, should also prioritize the following actions:

- Pilot mechanisms aimed at involving the public in monitoring its implementation, ensuring sufficient transparency by providing clear information on engagement timelines, formats, feedback channels, and the impact of public involvement on decision-making.
- Expand mechanisms during budget formulation to engage any civil society organization or member of the public who wishes to participate.
- Actively engage with vulnerable and underrepresented communities, directly
 or through civil society organizations representing them.

Tanzania's National Assembly has established public hearings related to the approval of the annual budget, but should also prioritize the following actions:

- Allow any member of the public or any civil society organization to testify during its hearings on the budget proposal prior to its approval.
- Allow members of the public or civil society organizations to testify during its hearings on the Audit Report.

Tanzania's National Audit Office has established mechanisms for the public to assist in developing its audit program. It should prioritize the following actions to improve public participation in the budget process:

Establish formal mechanisms for the public to contribute to relevant audit
investigations by inviting public input, suggestions, or feedback on what
areas or aspects the audit program should focus on or by providing the public
with opportunities to contribute relevant information, insights, or evidence to
ongoing audit investigations.






Budget Oversight

The OBS examines the role that legislatures and supreme audit institutions (SAIs) play in the budget process and the extent to which they provide oversight; each country is scored on a scale from 0 to 100 based on 18 equally weighted indicators. In addition, the survey collects supplementary information on independent fiscal institutions (see Box).

The legislature and supreme audit institution in Tanzania, together, provide limited oversight during the budget process, with a composite oversight score of **43** (out of 100). Taken individually, the extent of each institution's oversight is shown below:



Recommendations

Tanzania's National Assembly provides limited oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and weak oversight during the implementation stage. To improve oversight, the following actions should be prioritized:

- Legislative committees should examine the Executive's Budget Proposal and publish reports with their analysis online.
- A legislative committee should examine in-year budget implementation and publish reports with their findings online.
- In practice, ensure the legislature is consulted before the executive shifts funds specified in the Enacted Budget between administrative units; spends any unanticipated revenue; or reduces spending due to revenue shortfalls during the budget year.



To strengthen independence and improve audit oversight by the Tanzania National Audit Office, the following actions are recommended:

- Require legislative or judicial approval to appoint and remove the head of the supreme audit institution.
- Ensure audit processes are reviewed by an independent agency.

The emerging practice of establishing independent fiscal institutions

Tanzania does not have an independent fiscal institution (IFI). IFIs are increasingly recognized as valuable independent and nonpartisan information providers to the Executive and/or Parliament during the budget process.

*These indicators are *not* scored in the Open Budget Survey.



Methodology

- Only documents published and events, activities, or developments that took place through 31 December 2022 were assessed in the OBS 2023.
- The survey is based on a questionnaire completed in each country by an independent budget expert:

Godfrey Boniventura

HakiElimu

739 Mathuradas Road, Upanga, P.O. Box 79401, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania godfrey.boniventura@hakielimu.or.tz

To further strengthen the research, each country's draft questionnaire is also reviewed by an anonymous independent expert, and in Tanzania by a representative of the Ministry of Finance and Planning.

