Information in Public Documents

Russia’s score on the Open Budget Index shows that the government provides the public with some information on the central government’s budget and financial activities during the course of the budget year. This makes it difficult for citizens to hold government accountable for its management of the public’s money.

The Open Budget Index 2008 evaluates the quantity and type of information that governments make available to their publics in the seven key budget documents that should be issued during the budget year. One of the most important documents is the executive’s budget proposal. It should contain the executive’s plans for the upcoming year along with the cost of the proposed activities. The proposal should be available to the public and to the legislature prior to being finalized, at least three months before the start of the budget year to allow for sufficient review and public debate.

In Russia, the proposal provides some information to the public, meaning citizens have a general, albeit incomplete, picture of the government’s plans for taxing and spending for the upcoming year.

Moreover, it is somewhat difficult to track spending, revenue collection and borrowing during the year. Although Russia publishes detailed in-year reports, it does not publish a mid-year review. Publishing this document would strengthen public accountability, since it would provide a more comprehensive update on how the budget is being implemented during the year.

It is also somewhat difficult to assess budget performance in Russia once the budget year is over. A year-end report is produced, allowing comparisons between what was budgeted and what was actually spent and collected. However, it lacks an explanation of what accounts for these differences. Also, though Russia makes its audit report public, it does not provide any information on actions taken by the executive to address the audit report’s recommendations.

Access to the highly detailed budget information needed to understand the government’s progress in undertaking a specific project or activity remains limited. This is despite the fact that Russia has codified the right to access government information into law.

Public Participation and Institutions of Accountability

Beyond improving access to key budget documents, there are other ways in which Russia’s budget process could be made more open.

Opportunities for citizen participation in budget debates could be increased. For example, the legislature does not hold hearings on the budget in which the public can participate.

Notably, Russia’s Supreme Audit Institution enjoys a fair amount of discretion to decide which audits to undertake. In addition, the SAI maintains formal mechanisms of communication with the public to receive complaints and suggestions to assist it in determining its audit program.

Overall score: 58% Provides some information to the public in its budget documents during the year.
The Open Budget Index evaluates the quantity and type of information available to the public in a country’s budget documents. A country’s placement within a performance category was determined by averaging the response to 91 questions on the Open Budget Questionnaire related to information contained in the eight key budget documents that all countries should make available to the public.
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The International Budget Project was established as part of the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, a Washington, DC-based non-partisan non-profit research organization, in 1997 to support civil society organizations around the world interested in strengthening public budget processes, institutions and outcomes. For more information on the IBP and the Open Budget Initiative 2008, please visit www.openbudgetindex.org