Change in Transparency Over Time

Bulgaria’s score of 65 out of 100 is substantially higher than the global average score of 45.

# Transparency (Open Budget Index)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

# Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

- **Executive’s Budget Proposal**
  - Substantial
- **Pre-Budget Statement**
  - Extensive
- **Citizens Budget**
  - Minimal
- **Enacted Budget**
  - Extensive
- **Audit Report**
  - Limited
- **Mid-Year Review**
  - Minimal
- **Year-End Report**
  - Substantial
- **In-Year Reports**
  - Extensive

# Regional Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Change in Transparency Over Time

- **Scant or None**
- **Minimal**
- **Limited**
- **Substantial**
- **Extensive**
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Budget Statement</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive’s Budget Proposal</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enacted Budget</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Budget</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Year Reports</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Year Review</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-End Report</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Report</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 🟢 Not produced/published late
- 🟢 Produced for internal use
- 🟢 Published

Since 2012, the Government of Bulgaria has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Citizens Budget.
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the Enacted Budget.

However, the Government of Bulgaria has decreased the availability of budget information by:
- Reducing the comprehensiveness of the Mid-Year Review.

Bulgaria’s score of 65 on the 2015 Open Budget Index has remained the same as its score in 2012.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Regional Comparison

Bulgaria’s score of 38 out of 100 indicates that the public is provided with weak opportunities to engage in budget processes. This is higher than the global average score of 25.

Elements of Public Participation

- Executive: Weak
- Legislative: Adequate
- Supreme Audit Institution: Weak
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

**Oversight by the Legislature**

The legislature provides **weak** oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and **weak** oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. The legislature does not have a specialized budget research office. A pre-budget debate by the legislature does not take place. In both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

**Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution**

The supreme audit institution provides **adequate** budget oversight. Under the law, it has significant discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with sufficient resources to fulfill its mandate but has a limited quality assurance system in place.

**Recommendations**

**Improving Transparency**

Bulgaria should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more information on sensitivity analysis on how alternative scenarios of different macroeconomic variables could affect the budget and on extra-budgetary funds.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Year-End Report by presenting more information on planned versus actual debt and interest and on planned versus actual performance.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Citizens Budget and Mid-Year Review.

**Improving Participation**

Bulgaria should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Provide detailed feedback on how public perspectives have been captured and taken into account.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and participate in audit investigations.

**Improving Oversight**

Bulgaria should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- Establish a specialized budget research office for the legislature.
- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

The Government of Bulgaria provided comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Latchezar Bogdanov
Industry Watch Group
11 Modest Musorgski Str.
1504 Sofia
Bulgaria
Bogdanov@iwatchbulgaria.com

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.