

**TRANSPARENCY**  
(OPEN BUDGET INDEX)

**76**

OUT OF 100

The Government of France provides the public with **substantial** budget information.

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

**40**

OUT OF 100

The Government of France is **weak** in providing the public with opportunities to engage in the budget process.

**BUDGET OVERSIGHT**

**91**

OUT OF 100

**BY LEGISLATURE**  
Budget oversight by the legislature in France is **adequate**.

**75**

OUT OF 100


**BY AUDIT**  
Budget oversight by the supreme audit institution in France is **adequate**.

**TRANSPARENCY (OPEN BUDGET INDEX)**


Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators are used to assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public in a timely manner and whether the data contained in these documents are comprehensive and useful.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

**Country Comparisons**



**Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle**



France’s score of 76 out of 100 is substantially higher than the global average score of 45.

**The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time**

Document	2006	2008	2010	2012	2015
Pre-Budget Statement	●	●	●	●	●
Executive's Budget Proposal	●	●	●	●	●
Enacted Budget	●	●	●	●	●
Citizens Budget	●	●	●	●	●
In-Year Reports	●	●	●	●	●
Mid-Year Review	●	●	●	●	●
Year-End Report	●	●	●	●	●
Audit Report	●	●	●	●	●

● Not produced/published late ● Produced for internal use ● Published

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document: Not produced, Published Late, Internal Use, Scant, Minimal, Limited, Substantial, or Extensive.

# TRANSPARENCY (OPEN BUDGET INDEX)


The 2015 OBI results suggest a decline of 7 points from the 2012 OBI score, but this decline appears to result from the inclusion of additional questions and the reformulation of certain existing questions in the 2015 OBS questionnaire. If the 2012 questions had been used to calculate the OBI for both 2012 and 2015, then budget transparency under that measure would have been largely unchanged.

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATION


Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

### Elements of Public Participation



### Country Comparisons




France's score of 40 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is **weak**. This is higher than the global average score of 25.

# BUDGET OVERSIGHT

The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

## Oversight by the Legislature



The legislature provides **adequate** oversight during the planning and implementation stages of the budget cycle.

## Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution



The supreme audit institution provides **adequate** budget oversight. Under the law, it has significant discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with sufficient resources to fulfill its mandate but has a weak quality assurance system in place.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

## Improving Transparency

France should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive's Budget Proposal by presenting the classification of expenditures for future years and the classification of revenues for future years.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Year-End Report by presenting more information on planned versus actual macroeconomic forecasts.

## Improving Participation

France should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and participate in audit investigations.

# METHODOLOGY

The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country's questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of France.

Research to complete this country's Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:

Michel Bouvier and Carine Riou  
FONDAFIP  
26 Rue de Lille  
75007 Paris  
France  
[michel.bouvier@fondafip.org](mailto:michel.bouvier@fondafip.org)

## Further Information

Visit [www.openbudgetsurvey.org](http://www.openbudgetsurvey.org) for more information, including:

- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.