Malawi's score of 65 out of 100 is substantially higher than the global average score of 45.

Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators are used to assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public in a timely manner and whether the data contained in these documents are comprehensive and useful.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

Regional Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Malawi’s score of 65 out of 100 is substantially higher than the global average score of 45.

Change in Transparency Over Time

- Extensive: 28
- Substantial: 47
- Limited: 52
- Minimal: 65
- Scant or None: 47
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

Malawi’s score of 65 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is substantially higher than its score in 2012.

However, the Government of Malawi has been inconsistent in which documents are made publicly available in a given year.

Since 2012, the Government of Malawi has increased the availability of budget information by:

- Publishing the Year-End Report.
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

The Government of Malawi has decreased the availability of budget information by:

- Failing to produce the Mid-Year Review and Audit Report.

Moreover, the Government of Malawi has failed to make progress in the following ways:

- Producing a Pre-Budget Statement but failing to make it available to the public in a timely manner.
- Not producing a Citizens Budget.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Malawi’s score of 44 out of 100 indicates that the public is provided with limited opportunities to engage in budget processes. However, this is higher than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

Oversight by the Legislature

The legislature provides limited oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and adequate oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle.

The Executive’s Budget Proposal is not provided to legislators at least three months before the start of the budget year. A pre-budget debate by the legislature does not take place. Finally, the executive does not receive prior approval by the legislature before implementing a supplemental budget.

Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution

The supreme audit institution provides limited budget oversight.

Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit and has a limited quality assurance system in place. However, the head of the supreme audit institution can be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which undermines its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Improving Transparency
Malawi should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:
- Publish in a timely manner a Pre-Budget Statement.
- Produce and publish a Citizens Budget, a Mid-Year Review, and an Audit Report.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more information on macroeconomic forecasts.

Improving Participation
Malawi should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:
- Provide detailed feedback on how public perspectives have been captured and taken into account.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program.
- Provide detailed feedback on how public assistance and participation have been used by the supreme audit institution.

Improving Oversight
Malawi should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:
- Ensure the Executive’s Budget Proposal is provided to legislators at least three months before the start of the budget year.
- Ensure the executive receives prior approval by the legislature before implementing a supplemental budget.
- Require legislative or judicial approval to remove the head of the supreme audit institution.
METHODOLOGY

The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

The Government of Malawi provided comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Dalitso Kubalasa
Centre House Arcade, City Centre
PO Box 20135
Lilongwe 2
Malawi
dkubalasa@mejn.mw

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.

www.internationalbudget.org info@internationalbudget.org