The Government of Slovenia provides the public with substantial budget information.

The Government of Slovenia provides the public with limited opportunities to engage in the budget process.

Budget oversight by the legislature in Slovenia is adequate.

Budget oversight by the supreme audit institution in Slovenia is adequate.

Slovenia’s score of 68 out of 100 is substantially higher than the global average score of 45.

Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

Slovenia

Change in Transparency Over Time

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document: Not produced, Published Late, Internal Use, Scant, Minimal, Limited, Substantial, or Extensive.
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Slovenia’s score of 68 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is lower than its score in 2012.

Moreover, the Government of Slovenia has been inconsistent in which documents are made publicly available in a given year.

Since 2012, the Government of Slovenia has decreased the availability of budget information by:
- Failing to produce the Pre-Budget Statement.
- Reducing the comprehensiveness of the In-Year Reports and Mid-Year Review.

Moreover, the Government of Slovenia has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not producing a Citizens Budget.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Regional Comparison

Slovenia’s score of 56 out of 100 indicates that the public is provided with limited opportunities to engage in budget processes. This is higher than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

Oversight by the Legislature

The legislature provides weak oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and adequate oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. The legislature does not have a specialized budget research office, and a pre-budget debate by the legislature does not take place. Moreover, regular consultations on budget matters between the executive and the legislature do not take place.

Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution

The supreme audit institution provides adequate budget oversight.

Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. However, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has a weak quality assurance system in place.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Improving Transparency
Slovenia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

■ Produce and publish a Pre-Budget Statement and a Citizens Budget.
■ Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more information on the classification of expenditures for future years and data on the composition of debt and interest for budget year.
■ Increase the comprehensiveness of the Year-End Report by presenting more information on planned versus actual performance.

Improving Participation
Slovenia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

■ Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
■ Ensure the public is informed of the purpose of public budget engagements and provided with sufficient information to participate effectively.
■ Provide detailed feedback on how public perspectives have been captured and taken into account for both the budget formulation and execution.

Improving Oversight
Slovenia should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

■ Establish a specialized budget research office for the legislature.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

The Government of Slovenia provided comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Mitja Čok
University of Ljubljana
Kardeljeva ploščad 17
1000 Ljubljana
Slovenia
mitja.cok@ef.uni-lj.si

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.