Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators are used to assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public in a timely manner and whether the data contained in these documents are comprehensive and useful.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Spain’s score of 58 out of 100 is substantially higher than the global average score of 45.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

Spain's score of 58 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is largely the same as its score in 2012.

However, the Government of Spain has been inconsistent in which documents are made publicly available in a given year.

Since 2012, the Government of Spain has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Pre-Budget Statement.

However, the Court of Auditors of Spain has decreased the availability of budget information by:
- Failing to publish the Audit Report in a timely manner.

Moreover, the Government of Spain has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not producing a Citizens Budget or a Mid-Year Review.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Spain's score of 17 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.

### Elements of Public Participation

- **Executive**: No
- **Legislative**: Limited
- **Supreme Audit Institution**: No

### Country Comparisons

Spain's score of 17 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

Oversight by the Legislature

The legislature provides adequate oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and weak oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. The legislature does not have a specialized budget research office, and in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution

The supreme audit institution provides adequate budget oversight. Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has a weak quality assurance system in place.

Improving Transparency

Spain should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

■ Produce and publish an Audit Report, a Citizens Budget, and a Mid-Year Review.
■ Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more information on the classification of expenditures for future years and on the classification of revenues for future years.
■ Increase the comprehensiveness of the Year-End Report by presenting more information on planned versus actual expenditures and on planned versus actual debt and interest.

Improving Participation

Spain should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

■ Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
■ Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies at which testimony from the public is heard.
■ Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and collaborate with civil society to evaluate the performance and results of the public policies and the institutions.

Improving Oversight

Spain should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

■ Establish a specialized budget research office for the legislature.
■ In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
■ Ensure the supreme audit institution has adequate funding to perform its duties, as determined by an independent body (e.g., the legislature or judiciary).
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

The Government of Spain provided comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results.
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Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.