The Government of Tanzania provides the public with limited budget information.

Tanzania’s score of 46 out of 100 is close to the global average score of 45.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document:
Not produced, Published Late, Internal Use, Scant, Minimal, Limited, Substantial, or Extensive.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time
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Tanzania’s score of 46 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is largely the same as its score in 2012. However, there were refinements made to the 2015 questionnaire, which includes new and improved questions that aim to better measure budget transparency (see the Technical Note in the global report for details). Without these refinements, Tanzania’s 2015 score for budget transparency would have been higher.

Since 2012, the Government of Tanzania has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the Pre-Budget Statement and Audit Report.

However, the Government of Tanzania has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not producing a Mid-Year Review and Year-End Report.
- Publishing an Enacted Budget that only contains scant budget information.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Tanzania’s score of 33 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. It is higher than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role—often enshrined in national constitutions—in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

**Oversight by the Legislature**

The legislature provides limited oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and weak oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. The legislature does not have a specialized budget research office, and the executive does not receive prior approval from the legislature before implementing a supplemental budget. Moreover, in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget, spending any unanticipated revenue, or spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

**Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution**

The supreme audit institution provides limited budget oversight. Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. While the supreme audit institution is provided with sufficient resources to fulfill its mandate, it has no quality assurance system in place. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution can be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which undermines its independence.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Improving Transparency**

Tanzania should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:
- Produce and publish a Mid-Year Review and Year-End Report.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Enacted Budget.

**Improving Participation**

Tanzania should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:
- Establish accessible mechanisms for capturing public perspectives and provide detailed feedback on how public inputs have been captured and taken into account.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to participate in audit investigations.

**Improving Oversight**

Tanzania should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:
- Establish a specialized budget research office for the legislature.
- Ensure the executive receives prior approval from the legislature before implementing a supplemental budget.
- Require legislative or judicial approval to remove the head of the supreme audit institution.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Tanzania.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Boniventura Godfrey
Haki Elimu
Plot 739, Mathuradas Street
P.O. Box 79401
Dar es Salaam
Tanzania
boniventura.godfrey@hakielimu.org

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.